



भारत का राजपत्र The Gazette of India

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

सं० 27]

नई दिल्ली, शनिवार, जुलाई 8, 1995/असाढ़ 17, 1917

No. 27]

NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, JULY 8, 1995/ASADHA 17, 1917

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससे कि यह अलग संकलन के रूप में
रखा जा सके
Separate Paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a
separate compilation

भाग II—खण्ड 3—उप-खण्ड (II) PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (II)

भारत सरकार के मंत्रालयों (रक्षा मंत्रालय को छोड़कर) द्वारा जारी किए गए सांविधिक आदेश और अधिसूचनाएं
Statutory Orders and Notifications Issued by the Ministries of the Government of India
(other than the Ministry of Defence)

विधि, न्याय और कंपनी कार्य मंत्रालय
(विधि कार्य विभाग)
(न्यायिक अनुभाग)
सूचना

MINISTRY OF LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS
(Department of Legal Affairs)
(Judicial Section)
NOTICE
New Delhi, the 22nd May, 1995

नई दिल्ली, 22 मई, 1995

का.आ. 1834.—नोटरीज नियम, 1956 के नियम 6क के
अनुसरण में सक्षम प्राधिकारी द्वारा यह सूचना दी जाती है कि
श्री ओम करन शर्मा, एडवोकेट ने उक्त प्राधिकारी को उक्त
नियम के नियम 4 के अधीन एक आवेदन इस बात के लिए
दिया है कि उसे दिल्ली राष्ट्रीय राजधानी में व्यवसाय
करने के लिए नोटरी के रूप में नियुक्ति पर किसी भी प्रकार का
आक्षेप इस सूचना के प्रकाशन के चौदह दिन के भीतर
लिखित रूप से मेरे पास भेजा जाए।

S.O. 1834.—Notice is hereby given by the Competent Authority in pursuance of Rule 6a of the Notaries Rules, 1956 that application has been made to the said Authority, under Rule 4 of the said Rules, by Sh. Om Karan Sharma, Advocate for appointment as a Notary to practise in Delhi i.e. NCT of Delhi.

2. Any objection to the appointment of the said person as a Notary may be submitted in writing to the undersigned within fourteen days of the publication of this notice.

[No. F. 5(83)/95-Judl.]

P. C. KANNAN, Competent Authority

सूचना

नई दिल्ली, 22 मई, 1995

[सं. 5(83)/95-न्यायिक]
पी.सी. कण्णन्, सक्षम प्राधिकारी

का.आ. 1835.—नोटरीज नियम, 1956 के नियम 6क के अनुसरण में सक्षम प्राधिकारी द्वारा यह सूचना दी जाती है

कि श्री जमरुद्दीन अस्लम, एडवोकेट ने उक्त प्राधिकारी को उक्त नियम के नियम 4 के अधीन एक आवेदन इस बात के लिए दिया है कि उसे बरां (राजस्थान) में व्यवसाय करने के लिए नोटरी के रूप में नियुक्ति पर किसी भी प्रकार का आक्षेप इस सूचना के प्रकाशन के चौदह दिन के भीतर लिखित रूप से मेरे पास भेजा जाए।

[सं. 5(84)/95-न्यायिक]

पी. सी. कण्णन्, सक्षम प्राधिकारी

NOTICE

New Delhi, the 22nd May, 1995

S.O. 1835.—Notice is hereby given by the Competent Authority in pursuance of Rule 6a of the Notaries Rules, 1956 that application has been made to the said Authority, under Rule 4 of the said Rules, by Shri Zamiruddin Aslam, Advocate for appointment as a Notary to practise in Baran (Rajasthan).

2. Any objection to the appointment of the said person as a Notary may be submitted in writing to the undersigned within fourteen days of the publication of this notice.

[No. F. 5(84)/95-Judl.]

P. C. KANNAN, Competent Authority

सूचना

नई दिल्ली, 22 मई, 1995

का.आ. 1836.—नोटरी नियम, 1956 के नियम 6क के अनुसरण में सक्षम प्राधिकारी द्वारा यह सूचना दी जाती है कि श्री जहीर हुसैन, एडवोकेट ने उक्त प्राधिकारी को उक्त नियम के नियम 4 के अधीन एक आवेदन इस बात के लिए दिया है कि उसे झुंझनू (राजस्थान) में व्यवसाय करने के लिए नोटरी के रूप में नियुक्ति पर किसी भी प्रकार का आक्षेप इस सूचना के प्रकाशन के चौदह दिन के भीतर लिखित रूप से मेरे पास भेजा जाए।

[सं. 5(85)/95-न्यायिक]

पी. सी. कण्णन्, सक्षम प्राधिकारी

NOTICE

New Delhi, the 22nd May, 1995

S.O. 1836.—Notice is hereby given by the Competent Authority in pursuance of Rule 6a of the Notaries Rules, 1956 that application has been made to the said Authority, under Rule 4 of the said Rules, by Sh. Zahir Hussain, Advocate for appointment as a Notary to practise in Jhunjhunu (Rajasthan).

2. Any objection to the appointment of the said person as a Notary may be submitted in writing to the undersigned within fourteen days of the publication of this notice.

[No. F. 5(85)/95-Judl.]

P. C. KANNAN, Competent Authority

सूचना

नई दिल्ली, 22 मई, 1995

का.आ. 1837.—नोटरीज नियम, 1956 के नियम 6क के अनुसरण में सक्षम प्राधिकारी द्वारा यह सूचना दी जाती है कि श्री नन्द किशोर सैनी, एडवोकेट ने उक्त प्राधिकारी को

उक्त नियम के नियम 4 के अधीन एक आवेदन इस बात के लिए दिया है कि उसे रामपुरा-लाडपुरा (कोटा), राजस्थान में व्यवसाय करने के लिए नोटरी के रूप में नियुक्ति पर किसी भी प्रकार का आक्षेप इस सूचना के प्रकाशन के चौदह दिन के भीतर लिखित रूप से मेरे पास भेजा जाए।

[सं. 5(84)/95-न्यायिक]

पी. सी. कण्णन्, सक्षम प्राधिकारी

NOTICE

New Delhi, the 22nd May, 1995

S.O. 1837.—Notice is hereby given by the Competent Authority in pursuance of Rule 6a of the Notaries Rules, 1956 that application has been made to the said Authority, under Rule 4 of the said Rules, by Sh. Nand Kishore Saini, Advocate for appointment as a Notary to practise in Rampura, Ladpura in (Kota) Rajasthan.

2. Any objection to the appointment of the said person as a Notary may be submitted in writing to the undersigned within fourteen days of the publication of this notice.

[No. F. 5(86)/95-Judl.]

P. C. KANNAN, Competent Authority

सूचना

नई दिल्ली, 23 मई, 1995

का.आ. 1838.—नोटरीज नियम, 1956 के नियम 6क के अनुसरण में सक्षम प्राधिकारी द्वारा यह सूचना दी जाती है कि श्री राम सहाय सिंह, एडवोकेट ने उक्त प्राधिकारी को उक्त नियम के नियम 4 के अधीन एक आवेदन इस बात के लिए दिया है कि उसे गाजीपुर (उत्तर प्रदेश) में व्यवसाय करने के लिए नोटरी के रूप में नियुक्ति पर किसी भी प्रकार का आक्षेप इस सूचना के प्रकाशन के चौदह दिन के भीतर लिखित रूप से मेरे पास भेजा जाए।

[सं. 5(87)/95-न्यायिक]

पी. सी. कण्णन्, सक्षम प्राधिकारी

NOTICE

New Delhi, the 23rd May, 1995

S.O. 1838.—Notice is hereby given by the Competent Authority in pursuance of Rule 6a of the Notaries Rules, 1956 that application has been made to the said Authority, under Rule 4 of the said Rules, by Sh. Ram Sahay Singh, Advocate for appointment as a Notary to practise in Ghazipur (U.P.).

2. Any objection to the appointment of the said person as a Notary may be submitted in writing to the undersigned within fourteen days of the publication of this notice.

[No. F. 5(87)/95-Judl.]

P. C. KANNAN, Competent Authority

सूचना

नई दिल्ली, 23 मई, 1995

का.आ. 1838.—नोटरीज नियम, 1956 के नियम 6क के अनुसरण में सक्षम प्राधिकारी द्वारा यह सूचना दी जाती है कि श्री संतोष कुमार मुखोपाध्याय, एडवोकेट ने उक्त प्राधिकारी को उक्त नियम के नियम 4 के अधीन एक आवेदन इस बात

के लिए दिया है कि उसे गुरु हरिश्चन्द्र नगर राष्ट्रीय राजधानी दिल्ली में व्यवसाय करने के लिए नोटरी के रूप में नियुक्ति पर किसी भी प्रकार का आक्षेप इस सूचना के प्रकाशन के चौदह दिन के भीतर लिखित रूप से मेरे पास भेजा जाए।

[सं. 5(88)/95-न्यायिक]

पी. सी. कण्णन्, सक्षम प्राधिकारी

NOTICE

New Delhi, the 23rd May, 1995

S.O. 1839.—Notice is hereby given by the Competent Authority in pursuance of Rule 6a of the Notaries Rules, 1956 that application has been made to the said Authority, under Rule 4 of the said Rules, by Sh. Santosh Kumar Mukhopadhyay Advocate for appointment as a Notary to practise in Guru Hari Kishan Nagar i.e. NCT of Delhi.

2. Any objection to the appointment of the said person as a Notary may be submitted in writing to the undersigned within fourteen days of the publication of this notice.

[No. F. 5(88)/95-Judl.]

P. C. KANNAN, Competent Authority

सूचना

नई दिल्ली, 23 मई, 1995

का.प्रा. 1840.—नोटरीज नियम, 1956 के नियम 6क के अनुसरण में सक्षम प्राधिकारी द्वारा यह सूचना दी जाती है कि श्री सी. एम. भागचन्दानी, एडवोकेट ने उक्त प्राधिकारी को उक्त नियम के नियम 4 के अधीन एक आवेदन इस बात के लिए दिया है कि उसे गुरु हरिश्चन्द्र नगर राष्ट्रीय राजधानी दिल्ली में व्यवसाय करने के लिए नोटरी के रूप में नियुक्ति पर किसी भी प्रकार का आक्षेप इस सूचना के प्रकाशन के चौदह दिन के भीतर लिखित रूप से मेरे पास भेजा जाए।

[सं. 5(89)/95-न्यायिक]

पी. सी. कण्णन्, सक्षम प्राधिकारी

NOTICE

New Delhi, the 23rd May, 1995

S.O. 1840.—Notice is hereby given by the Competent Authority in pursuance of Rule 6a of the Notaries Rules, 1956 that application has been made to the said Authority, under Rule 4 of the said Rules, by Sh. C. M. Bagchandani, Advocate for appointment as a Notary to practise in Naraina, New Delhi, i.e. NCT of Delhi.

2. Any objection to the appointment of the said person as a Notary may be submitted in writing to the undersigned within fourteen days of the publication of this notice.

[No. F. 5(89)/95-Judl.]

P. C. KANNAN, Competent Authority

सूचना

नई दिल्ली, 24 मई, 1995

का.प्रा. 1841.—नोटरीज नियम, 1956 के नियम 6क के अनुसरण में सक्षम प्राधिकारी द्वारा यह सूचना दी जाती है कि श्री मोहनलाल एडवोकेट ने उक्त प्राधिकारी को उक्त नियम के नियम 4 के अधीन एक आवेदन इस बात के लिए दिया है कि उसे जिला न्यायालय यमुना नगर (हरियाणा) में व्यवसाय करने

के लिए नोटरी के रूप में नियुक्ति पर किसी भी प्रकार का आक्षेप इस सूचना के प्रकाशन के चौदह दिन के भीतर लिखित रूप से मेरे पास भेजा जाए।

[सं. 5(90)/95-न्यायिक]

पी. सी. कण्णन्, सक्षम प्राधिकारी

NOTICE

New Delhi, the 24th May, 1995

S.O. 1841.—Notice is hereby given by the Competent Authority in pursuance of Rule 6a of the Notaries Rules, 1956 that application has been made to the said Authority, under Rule 4 of the said Rules, by Sh. Mohan Lal Advocate for appointment as a Notary to practise in Distt. Courts Yamunanagar (Haryana).

2. Any objection to the appointment of the said person as a Notary may be submitted in writing to the undersigned within fourteen days of the publication of this notice.

[No. F. 5(90)/95-Judl.]

P. C. KANNAN, Competent Authority

सूचना

नई दिल्ली, 24 मई, 1995

का.प्रा. 1842.—नोटरीज नियम, 1956 के नियम 6क के अनुसरण में सक्षम प्राधिकारी द्वारा यह सूचना दी जाती है कि श्री देव वीर सिंह एडवोकेट ने उक्त प्राधिकारी को उक्त नियम के नियम 4 के अधीन एक आवेदन इस बात के लिए दिया है कि उसे अलोगढ़ (उत्तर प्रदेश) में व्यवसाय करने के लिए नोटरी के रूप में नियुक्ति पर किसी भी प्रकार का आक्षेप इस सूचना के प्रकाशन के चौदह दिन के भीतर लिखित रूप से मेरे पास भेजा जाए।

[सं. 5(91)/95-न्यायिक]

पी. सी. कण्णन्, सक्षम प्राधिकारी

NOTICE

New Delhi, the 24th May, 1995

S.O. 1842.—Notice is hereby given by the Competent Authority in pursuance of Rule 6a of the Notaries Rules, 1956 that application has been made to the said Authority, under Rule 4 of the said Rules, by Sh. Deovir Singh, Advocate for appointment as a Notary to practise in Aligarh (U.P.).

2. Any objection to the appointment of the said person as a Notary may be submitted in writing to the undersigned within fourteen days of the publication of this notice.

[No. F. 5(91)/95-Judl.]

P. C. KANNAN, Competent Authority

सूचना

नई दिल्ली 24 मई, 1995

का.प्रा. 1843.—नोटरीज नियम, 1956 के नियम 6क के अनुसरण में सक्षम प्राधिकारी द्वारा यह सूचना दी जाती है कि श्री रविकांत राय, एडवोकेट ने उक्त प्राधिकारी को उक्त नियम के नियम 4 के अधीन एक आवेदन इस बात के लिए दिया है कि उसे दिलशाद कलानी, राष्ट्रीय राजधानी दिल्ली में व्यवसाय करने के लिए नोटरी के रूप में नियुक्ति पर किसी भी प्रकार का आक्षेप इस सूचना के प्रकाशन के चौदह दिन के भीतर लिखित रूप से मेरे पास भेजा जाए।

[5(92)/95-न्यायिक]

पी. सी. कण्णन्, सक्षम प्राधिकारी

NOTICE

New Delhi, the 24th May, 1995

S.O. 1843.—Notice is hereby given by the Competent Authority in pursuance of Rule 6a of the Notaries Rules, 1956 that application has been made to the said Authority, under Rule 4 of the said Rules, by Sh. Ravikant Rai, Advocate for appointment as a Notary to practise in Dilshad Colony, NCT of Delhi.

2. Any objection to the appointment of the said person as a Notary may be submitted in writing to the undersigned within fourteen days of the publication of this notice.

[No. F. 5(92)/95-Judl.]

P. C. KANNAN, Competent Authority

सूचना

नई दिल्ली, 24 मई, 1995

का. घा. 1844.—नोटरीज नियम, 1956 के नियम 6क के अनुसरण में सक्षम प्राधिकारी द्वारा यह सूचना दी जाती है कि श्री देवेन्द्र कुमार दीक्षित एडवोकेट ने उक्त प्राधिकारी को उक्त नियम के नियम 4 के अधीन एक आवेदन इस बात के लिए दिया है कि उसे बुलन्दशहर जिला (उत्तर प्रदेश) में व्यवसाय करने के लिए नोटरी के रूप में नियुक्ति पर किसी भी प्रकार का आक्षेप इस सूचना के प्रकाशन के चौदह दिन के भीतर लिखित रूप से मेरे पास भेजा जाए।

[सं. 5 (94)/95—न्यायिक]

पी. सी. कण्णन, सक्षम प्राधिकारी

NOTICE

New Delhi, the 24th May, 1995

S.O. 1844.—Notice is hereby given by the Competent Authority in pursuance of Rule 6a of the Notaries Rules, 1956 that application has been made to the said Authority, under Rule 4 of the said Rules, by Sh. Devendra Kumar Dixit for appointment as a Notary to practise in Distr. Bulandshahr (U.P.).

2. Any objection to the appointment of the said person as a Notary may be submitted in writing to the undersigned within fourteen days of the publication of this notice.

[No. F. 5(94)/95-Judl.]

P. C. KANNAN, Competent Authority

सूचना

नई दिल्ली, 25 मई, 1995

का. घा. 1845.—नोटरीज नियम, 1956 के नियम 6क के अनुसरण में सक्षम प्राधिकारी द्वारा यह सूचना दी जाती है कि जोगिन्द्र सिंह धाटिया, एडवोकेट ने उक्त प्राधिकारी को उक्त नियम के नियम 4 के अधीन एक आवेदन इस बात के लिए दिया है कि उसे जालंधर (पंजाब) में व्यवसाय करने के लिए नोटरी के रूप में नियुक्ति पर किसी भी प्रकार का आक्षेप इस सूचना के प्रकाशन के चौदह दिन के भीतर लिखित रूप से मेरे पास भेजा जाए।

[सं. 5 (82)/95—न्यायिक]

पी. सी. कण्णन, सक्षम प्राधिकारी

NOTICE

New Delhi, the 25th May, 1995

S.O. 1845.—Notice is hereby given by the Competent Authority in pursuance of Rule 6a of the Notaries Rules, 1956 that application has been made to the said Authority, under Rule 4 of the said Rules, by Sh. Joginder Singh Bhatia, Advocate for appointment as a Notary to practise in Jalandhar (Punjab).

2. Any objection to the appointment of the said person as a Notary may be submitted in writing to the undersigned within fourteen days of the publication of this notice.

[No. F. 5(82)/95-Judl.]

P. C. KANNAN, Competent Authority

सूचना

नई दिल्ली, 29 मई, 1995

का. घा. 1846.—नोटरीज नियम, 1956 के नियम 6क के अनुसरण में सक्षम प्राधिकारी द्वारा यह सूचना दी जाती है कि श्री सुभाष चन्द्र शर्मा, एडवोकेट ने उक्त प्राधिकारी को उक्त नियम के नियम 4 के अधीन एक आवेदन इस बात के लिए दिया है कि उसे चंडीगढ़ संघ क्षेत्र में व्यवसाय करने के लिए नोटरी के रूप में नियुक्ति पर किसी प्रकार का आक्षेप इस सूचना के प्रकाशन के चौदह दिन के भीतर लिखित रूप से मेरे पास भेजा जाए।

[सं. 5 (94)/95—न्यायिक]

पी. सी. कण्णन, सक्षम प्राधिकारी

NOTICE

New Delhi, the 29th May, 1995

S.O. 1846.—Notice is hereby given by the Competent Authority in pursuance of Rule 6a of the Notaries Rules, 1956 that application has been made to the said Authority, under Rule 4 of the said Rules, by Shri Subhash Chander Sharma, Advocate for appointment as a Notary to practise in U.T. of Chandigarh.

2. Any objection to the appointment of the said person as a Notary may be submitted in writing to the undersigned within fourteen days of the publication of this notice.

[No. F. 5(94)/95-Judl.]

P. C. KANNAN, Competent Authority

सूचना

नई दिल्ली, 1 जून, 1995

का. घा. 1847.—नोटरीज नियम, 1956 के नियम 6क के अनुसरण में सक्षम प्राधिकारी द्वारा यह सूचना दी जाती है कि श्री नागयण पांडुरंग पवार, एडवोकेट ने उक्त प्राधिकारी को उक्त नियम के नियम 4 के अधीन एक आवेदन इस बात के लिए दिया है कि उसे नासिक जिला (महाराष्ट्र) में व्यवसाय करने के लिए नोटरी के रूप में नियुक्ति पर किसी भी प्रकार का आक्षेप इस सूचना के प्रकाशन के चौदह दिन के भीतर लिखित रूप से मेरे पास भेजा जाए।

[सं. 5 (95)/95—न्यायिक]

पी. सी. कण्णन, सक्षम प्राधिकारी

NOTICE

New Delhi, the 1st June, 1995

S.O. 1847.—Notice is hereby given by the Competent Authority in pursuance of Rule 6a of the Notaries Rules, 1956 that application has been made to the said Authority, under Rule 4 of the said Rules, by Sh. Narayan Pandurang Pagar Advocate for appointment as a Notary to practise in Nashik Distt. (Maharashtra).

2. Any objection to the appointment of the said person as a Notary may be submitted in writing to the undersigned within fourteen days of the publication of this notice.

[No. F. 5(95)/95-Judl.]

P. C. KANNAN, Competent Authority

सूचना

नई दिल्ली, 20 जून, 1995

का. घा. 1848.—नोटरीज नियम, 1956 के नियम 6क के अनुसरण में सक्षम प्राधिकारी द्वारा यह सूचना दी जाती है कि श्री सुरेश सिंह सलिक, एडवोकेट ने उक्त प्राधिकारी को उक्त नियम के नियम 4 के अधीन एक आवेदन इस बात के लिए दिया है कि उसे उत्तर प्रदेश राज्य में व्यवसाय करने के लिए नोटरी के रूप में नियुक्ति पर किसी भी प्रकार का आक्षेप

इस सूचना के प्रकाशन के चौदह दिन के भीतर लिखित रूप से मेरे पास भेजा जाए।

[सं. 5 (96)/95-न्यायिक]

पी. सी. कण्णन, सक्षम प्राधिकारी

MINISTRY OF LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS
(Department of Legal Affairs)
Judicial Section

NOTICE

New Delhi, the 20th June, 1995

S.O. 1848.—Notice is hereby given by the Competent Authority in pursuance of Rule 6a of the Notaries Rules, 1956 that application has been made to the said Authority, under Rule 4 of the said Rules, by Sh. Surendra Singh Malik, Advocate for appointment as a Notary to practise in U.P. State.

2. Any objection to the appointment of the said person as a Notary may be submitted in writing to the undersigned within fourteen days of the publication of this notice.

[No. F. 5(96)/95-Judl.]

P. C. KANNAN, Competent Authority

सूचना

नई दिल्ली, 20 जून, 1995

का. प्रा. 1849.—नोटरीज नियम, 1956 के नियम 6 के अनुसरण में सक्षम प्राधिकारी द्वारा यह सूचना दी जाती है कि श्रीमति सरला गुप्ता, एडवोकेट ने उक्त प्राधिकारी को उक्त नियम के नियम 4 के अधीन एक आवेदन इस बात के लिए दिया है कि उसे कानपुर नगर (उत्तर प्रदेश) में व्यवसाय करने के लिए नोटरी के रूप में नियुक्ति पर किसी भी प्रकार का आक्षेप इस सूचना के प्रकाशन के चौदह दिन के भीतर लिखित रूप से मेरे पास भेजा जाए।

[सं. 5 (97)/95-न्यायिक]

पी. सी. कण्णन, सक्षम प्राधिकारी

NOTICE

New Delhi, the 20th June, 1995

S.O. 1849.—Notice is hereby given by the Competent Authority in pursuance of Rule 6a of the Notaries Rules, 1956 that application has been made to the said Authority, under Rule 4 of the said Rules, by Smt. Sarla Gupta, Advocate for appointment as a Notary to practise in Kanpur Nagar (U.P.).

2. Any objection to the appointment of the said person as a Notary may be submitted in writing to the undersigned within fourteen days of the publication of this notice.

[No. F. 5(97)/95-Judl.]

P. C. KANNAN, Competent Authority

सूचना

नई दिल्ली, 21 जून, 1995

का. प्रा. 1850.—नोटरीज नियम, 1956 के नियम 6 के अनुसरण में सक्षम प्राधिकारी द्वारा यह सूचना दी जाती है कि श्री अशोक कुमार वर्मा एडवोकेट ने उक्त प्राधिकारी को उक्त नियम के नियम 4 के अधीन एक आवेदन इस बात के लिए दिया है कि उसे धनबाद (उत्तर प्रदेश) में व्यवसाय करने के लिए नोटरी के रूप में नियुक्ति पर किसी भी प्रकार का आक्षेप इस सूचना के प्रकाशन के चौदह दिन के भीतर लिखित रूप से मेरे पास भेजा जाए।

[सं. 5 (100)/95-न्यायिक]

पी. सी. कण्णन, सक्षम प्राधिकारी

NOTICE

New Delhi, the 21st June, 1995

S.O. 1850.—Notice is hereby given by the Competent Authority in pursuance of Rule 6a of the Notaries Rules, 1956 that application has been made to the said Authority, under Rule 4 of the said Rules, by Sh. Ashok Kumar Garg, Advocate for appointment as a Notary to practise in Aligarh (U.P.).

2. Any objection to the appointment of the said person as a Notary may be submitted in writing to the undersigned within fourteen days of the publication of this notice.

[No. F. 5(100)/95-Judl.]

P. C. KANNAN, Competent Authority

सूचना

नई दिल्ली, 21 जून, 1995

का. प्रा. 1851.—नोटरीज नियम, 1956 के नियम 6 के अनुसरण में सक्षम प्राधिकारी द्वारा यह सूचना दी जाती है कि श्री रघुबीर सी. विगपास एडवोकेट ने उक्त प्राधिकारी को उक्त नियम के नियम 4 के अधीन एक आवेदन इस बात के लिए दिया है कि उसे मेरुल, नई बम्बई (महाराष्ट्र) में व्यवसाय करने के लिए नोटरी के रूप में नियुक्ति पर किसी भी प्रकार का आक्षेप इस सूचना के प्रकाशन के चौदह दिन के भीतर लिखित रूप से मेरे पास भेजा जाए।

[सं. 5 (102)/95-न्यायिक]

पी. सी. कण्णन, सक्षम प्राधिकारी

NOTICE

New Delhi, the 21st June, 1995

S.O. 1851.—Notice is hereby given by the Competent Authority in pursuance of Rule 6a of the Notaries Rules, 1956 that application has been made to the said Authority, under Rule 4 of the said Rules, by Sh. Raghubir C. Diggpal, Advocate for appointment as a Notary to practise in Nerul, New Bombay (Maharashtra).

2. Any objection to the appointment of the said person as a Notary may be submitted in writing to the undersigned within fourteen days of the publication of this notice.

[No. F. 5(102)/95-Judl.]

P. C. KANNAN, Competent Authority

सूचना

नई दिल्ली, 21 जून, 1995

का. प्रा. 1852.—नोटरीज नियम, 1956 के नियम 6 के अनुसरण में सक्षम प्राधिकारी द्वारा यह सूचना दी जाती है कि श्री बिक्रम सिंह एडवोकेट ने उक्त प्राधिकारी को उक्त नियम के नियम 4 के अधीन एक आवेदन इस बात के लिए दिया है कि उसे सफ़ीदों, जीव जिला (हरियाणा) में व्यवसाय करने के लिए नोटरी के रूप में नियुक्ति पर किसी भी प्रकार का आक्षेप इस सूचना के प्रकाशन के चौदह दिन के भीतर लिखित रूप से मेरे पास भेजा जाए।

[सं. 5 (98)/95-न्यायिक]

पी. सी. कण्णन, सक्षम प्राधिकारी

NOTICE

New Delhi, the 21st June, 1995

S.O. 1852.—Notice is hereby given by the Competent Authority in pursuance of Rule 6a of the Notaries Rules, 1956 that application has been made to the said Authority, under Rule 4 of the said Rules, by Sh. Bakram Singh, Advocate

for appointment as a Notary to practise in Safidon, Distt. Jind (Haryana).

2. Any objection to the appointment of the said person as a Notary may be submitted in writing to the undersigned within fourteen days of the publication of this notice.

[No. F. 5(98)/95-Judl.]

P. C. KANNAN, Competent Authority

सूचना

नई दिल्ली, 21 जून, 1995

का.भा.1851.—नोटरीज नियम, 1956 के नियम 6 के अनुसरण में सक्षम प्राधिकारी द्वारा यह सूचना दी जाती है कि श्री छज्जू राम एडवोकेट ने उक्त प्राधिकारी को उक्त नियम के नियम 4 के अधीन एक आवेदन इस बात के लिए दिया है कि उसे सफ़ीदों जीव जिला (हरियाणा) में व्यवसाय करने के लिए नोटरी के रूप में नियुक्ति पर किसी भी प्रकार का आपेक्ष इस सूचना के प्रकाशन के चौदह दिन के भीतर लिखित रूप से मेरे पास भेजा जाए।

[सं. 5(99)/95-न्यायिक]

पी.सी. कण्णन, सक्षम प्राधिकारी

NOTICE

New Delhi, the 21st June, 1995

S.O. 1853.—Notice is hereby given by the Competent Authority in pursuance of Rule 6a of the Notaries Rules, 1956 that application has been made to the said Authority, under Rule 4 of the said Rules, by Sh. Chhaju Ram Advocate for appointment as a Notary to practise in Safidon, Distt. Jind (Haryana).

2. Any objection to the appointment of the said person as a Notary may be submitted in writing to the undersigned within fourteen days of the publication of this notice.

[No. F. 5(99)/95-Judl.]

P. C. KANNAN, Competent Authority

सूचना

नई दिल्ली, 21 जून, 1995

का.भा.1854.—नोटरीज नियम, 1956 के नियम 6 के अनुसरण में सक्षम प्राधिकारी द्वारा यह सूचना दी जाती है कि श्री सुखवीर प्रसाद अग्रवाल एडवोकेट ने उक्त प्राधिकारी को उक्त नियम के नियम 4 के अधीन एक आवेदन इस बात के लिये दिया है कि उसे कलेक्ट्रेट कम्पाउण्ड अलीगढ़ (उ.प्र.) में व्यवसाय करने के लिये नोटरी के रूप में नियुक्ति पर किसी भी प्रकार का आपेक्ष इस सूचना के प्रकाशन के चौदह दिन के भीतर लिखित रूप से मेरे पास भेजा जाये।

[सं. 5 (101)/95-न्यायिक]

पी.सी. कण्णन, सक्षम प्राधिकारी

NOTICE

New Delhi, the 21st June, 1995

S.O. 1854.—Notice is hereby given by the Competent Authority in pursuance of Rule 6a of the Notaries Rules, 1956 that application has been made to the said Authority, under Rule 4 of the said Rules, by Sh. Sukhbir Prasad Agarwal, Advocate for appointment as a Notary to practise in Collectorate Compound Aligarh (U.P.).

2. Any objection to the appointment of the said person as a Notary may be submitted in writing to the undersigned within fourteen days of the publication of this notice.

[No. F. 5(101)/95-Judl.]

P. C. KANNAN, Competent Authority

गृह मंत्रालय

(पुनर्वास प्रभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 9 अगस्त, 1994

का.भा.1855.—विस्थापित व्यक्ति (प्रतिकर एवं पुनर्वास) अधिनियम, 1954 (1954 का 44) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्र सरकार पुनर्वास विभाग गृह मंत्रालय के श्री पी. के. शर्मा निदेशक, को उक्त अधिनियम के द्वारा उसके अन्तर्गत तत्काल प्रभाव से संयुक्त मुख्य संबोद्धत आयुक्त को सौंपे गए कार्यों का निष्पादन करने हेतु संयुक्त मुख्य संबोद्धत आयुक्त नियुक्त करती है।

2. यह अधिसूचना सं. 1(5)/93-बन्धोबस्त(क) दिनांक 28 मई/14 जून, 1993 के अधिक्रमण से जारी की गई है।

[सं. 1(5)/93-बन्धोबस्त(क)]

पी. एस. शर्मा, अवर सचिव

MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS

(Rehabilitation Division)

New Delhi, the 9th August, 1994

S.O. 1855.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-Section (1) of Section 34 of the Displaced Persons (Compensation and Rehabilitation) Act, 1954 (44 of 1954) the Central Government hereby appoints Shri P. K. Sharma, Director in the Ministry of Home Affairs, Rehabilitation Division as Joint Chief Settlement Commissioner for the purpose of performing the functions assigned to such Joint Settlement Commissioner by or under the said Act with immediate effect.

2. This supersedes notification No. 1(5)/93-Settlement (A) dated 28th May/14th June, 1993.

[No. 1(5)/93-Settlement (A)]

P. S. SHARMA, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 9 अगस्त, 1994

का.भा.1856.—विस्थापित व्यक्ति (प्रतिकर एवं पुनर्वास) अधिनियम, 1954 (1954 का 44) की धारा 34 उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्र सरकार एतद्वारा निदेश देती है कि उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 33 के अन्तर्गत इसके द्वारा प्रयोजनीय शक्तियाँ गृह मंत्रालय, पुनर्वास प्रभाग में निदेशक श्री पी. के. शर्मा द्वारा प्रयोज्य होंगी।

2. यह पुनर्वास प्रभाग की अधिसूचना सं. 1(5)/93-बन्धोबस्त (ख) दिनांक 28 मई/14 जून, 1993 के अधिक्रमण से जारी किया गया है।

[सं. 1(5)/93-बन्धोबस्त(ख)]

पी. एस. शर्मा, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 9th August, 1994

S.O. 1856.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-Section (1) of Section 34 of the Displaced Persons (Compensation and Rehabilitation) Act, 1954 (44 of 1954), the Central Government, hereby direct that the powers exercisable by it under Section 33 of the said Act shall be exercisable by Shri P. K. Sharma, Director, Ministry of Home Affairs, Rehabilitation Division.

2. This supersedes Rehabilitation Division's Notification No. 1(5)/93-Settlement (B) dated the 28th May/14th June, 1993.

[No. 1(5)/93-Settlement (B)]

P. S. SHARMA, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 9 अगस्त, 1994

का.आ. 1857.—विस्थापित व्यक्ति (प्रतिकार एवं पुनर्वास) अधिनियम, 1954 (1954 का 44) की धारा 34 उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्र सरकार एतद्वारा निदेश देती है कि उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 24 की उपधारा (4) के अंतर्गत इसके द्वारा प्रयोजनीय शक्तियाँ गृह मंत्रालय, पुनर्वास प्रभाग में निदेशक श्री पी.के. शर्मा द्वारा प्रयोज्य होंगी।

2 यह अधिनूचना सं० 1 (5)/93-बन्दीवस्त (ग) दिनांक 28 मई/14 जून, 1993 के अधिक्रमण में जारी की गई है।

[सं. 1(5)/93-बन्दीवस्त (ग)]

पी.एन. शर्मा, अवसर सचिव

New Delhi, the 9th August, 1994

S.O. 1857.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-Section (1) of Section 34 of the Displaced Persons (Compensation and Rehabilitation) Act, 1954 (44 of 1954), the Central Government hereby direct that the powers exercisable by it under Sub-Section (4) of Section 24 of the said Act shall be exercisable by Shri P. K. Sharma, Director in the Ministry of Home Affairs, Rehabilitation Division.

2. This also supersedes Rehabilitation Division's Notification No. 1(5)/93-Settlement (C) dated the 28th May/14th June, 1993.

[No. 1(5)/93-Settlement (C)]

P. S. SHARMA, Under Secy.

गृह मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली 22 मई, 1995

का.आ. 1858.—केन्द्रीय सरकार, सरकारी स्थान (अप्राधिकृत अधिभोगियों की फेरबदल) अधिनियम, 1971 (1971 का 40) की धारा 3 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए दिनांक 2-5-1994 से चंडीगढ़ श्री सी.एल. गुलाटी, सहायक निदेशक समनुषंगी, आसूचना ब्यूरो चंडीगढ़ को जो सरकार के राजपत्र अधिकारी हैं, उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोजनों के लिए सम्पदा अधिकारी नियुक्त करती है और यह निर्देश देती है कि उक्त अधिकारी उप-निदेशक समनुषंगी, आसूचना ब्यूरो चंडीगढ़ के निम्नवादी सभी सरकारी वास-सुविधा के सम्बन्ध में उक्त अधिनियम द्वारा या उसके अधीन सम्पदा अधिकारी को प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग और अधिरोपित कर्तव्य का पालन करेगा।

[सं. 1/सी-2/93 (चंडीगढ़) 1-2731]

अनुराग गोयल, संयुक्त सचिव

MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS

New Delhi, the 22nd May, 1995

S.O. 1858.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 3 of the Public Premises (eviction of unauthorised occupants) Act, 1971 (40 of 1971), the Central Govt. hereby appoints Shri C. L. Gulati, Asstt. Director, Subsidiary Intelligence Bureau, Chandigarh being a Gazetted Officer of the Government, to be the Estate Officer w.e.f. 2-5-94 for the purposes of the said Act and directs that the said officer shall exercise the powers conferred and perform the duties, imposed on the Estate Officer by or under the said Act, in respect of all Govt. accommodation under the control of the Additional Director, SIB Chandigarh.

[No. 1/CII/93 (CHG)-1-2731]

ANURAG GOEL, Jt. Secy.

कार्मिक, लोक शिकायत तथा पेंशन मंत्रालय

(कार्मिक और प्रशिक्षण विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 27 जून, 1995

का.आ. 1859.—दण्ड प्रक्रिया संहिता, 1973 की धारा 24 की उपधारा 8 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा श्री जी.सी. चटर्जी, अधिवक्ता, जयपुर को सरकार बनाम नार्थी व अन्य के वाद संख्या 18(एस)/93 जयपुर सेशन वाद सं० 11/95 के मामले में या उक्त अधिनियम के अंतर्गत इस मामले के प्रासंगिक कार्यों की अन्वीक्षा और अपील/पुनरीक्षण या इस वाद से उत्पन्न पुनरीक्षण या अपील के मामले में विधि द्वारा संस्थापित राजस्थान राज्य के न्यायालयों में पैरवी करने के लिए विशिष्ट लोक अभियोजक नियुक्त करती है।

[संख्या 225/20/95-ए.वी.डी.-II]

एस. सौंदर राजन, अवसर सचिव

MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, P. G. AND PENSIONS

(Deptt. of Personnel & Training)

New Delhi, the 27th June, 1995

S.O. 1859.—In exercise of the powers conferred Under Section 24 Sub-Section (8) of Criminal Procedure Code, 1973 the Central Govt. hereby appoints Shri G. C. Chatterjee Advocate, Jaipur as Special Public Prosecutor for conducting case No. 18(S)/93-Jaipur, Session Case No. 11/95 State V/s. Nathi and others and for matters connected therewith or incidental work thereto under the aforesaid Act, for the trial and appeal/revisions or other matters arising out of this case in revisional or appeal at Courts established by law in the State of Rajasthan.

[No. 225/20/95-AVD. II]

S. SOUNDAR RAJAN, Under Secy.

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद एवं सीमा शुल्क समाहर्तलिय

अधिसूचना सं. 01/95

कानपुर, 10 मई, 1995

का.आ. 1860.—सीमा शुल्क अधिनियम 1962 की धारा 8(ख) के अंतर्गत प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, मैं, एम.सी. कौल, समाहर्ता सीमाशुल्क कानपुर, इनलैंड कन्टेनर डिपो, चक्रेरी, कानपुर को "सीमा शुल्क क्षेत्र" के रूप में विनिर्दिष्ट करता हूँ।

1. परिचालन क्षेत्र — उत्तर/दक्षिण 76.22 मीटर
पश्चिम/पूर्व 94.20 मीटर
(कन्टेनर शेड सहित)
2. कुल क्षेत्र — 7,179.92 वर्ग मी.
3. सीमाएं — उत्तर में—वायु सेना क्षेत्र
दक्षिण में—सरन इस्टेट कालोनी
पूर्व में—हवाई अड्डा, कानपुर
पश्चिम में वायु सेना क्षेत्र

[पत्रांक VIII/(40) 42-कस/आई सी डी/कानपुर/95]

एम.सी. कौल, समाहर्ता

MINISTRY OF FINANCE

(Department of Revenue)

CUSTOMS & CENTRAL EXCISE COLLECTORATE

ORDER

Kanpur, the 10th May, 1995

New Delhi, the 26th June, 1995

NO. 01/95

S.O. 1860.—In exercise of the powers conferred upon the Collector of Customs under Section 8(b) of Customs Act, 1962 I, M. C. Kaul, Collector of Customs, Kanpur hereby specify the following area as "CUSTOMS AREA" in respect of Inland Container Depot at Chakeri, Kanpur as under :—

1. Landings Area N/S 76.22 M
W/E 94.20 M
Including Container Shed.
2. Total Area 7,179.92 Sq. Mtrs.
3. Boundaries North—Air Force Land.
South—Saran Estate Colony.
East—Air Port, Kanpur.
West—Air Force Land.

[C. No. VIII(40)42-Cus/ICO Kanpur/95]

M. C. KAUL, Collector

(राजस्व विभाग)

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 26 जून, 1995

का.आ. 1861.—भारत सरकार के संयुक्त सचिव ने जिसे विदेशी मुद्रा संरक्षण और तस्करी निवारण अधिनियम, 1974 (1974 का 52) की धारा 3 की उपधारा के अधीन आदेश फा.सं. 673/110/93-सी.शु. दिनांक 4-11-1993 को यह निदेश जारी किया था कि श्री भूपेन्द्र सिंह बावेजा, के-45, फतेह नगर, जेल रोड, नई दिल्ली-18 को निरुद्ध कर लिया जाए और केन्द्रीय कारागार, तिहाड़ नई दिल्ली में अभिरक्षा में रखा जाए ताकि उसे भविष्य में विदेशी मुद्रा के संरक्षण के प्रतिकूल कार्य करने से रोका जा सके।

2. केन्द्रीय सरकार के पास यह विश्वास करने का कारण है कि पूर्वोक्त व्यक्ति फरार हो गया है या अपने को छिपा रखा है जिससे उक्त आदेश का निष्पादन नहीं हो सके।

3. अतः अब केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ख) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, यह निर्देश देती है कि पूर्वोक्त व्यक्ति इस आदेश के शासकीय राजपत्र में प्रकाशन के 7 दिन के भीतर पुलिस आयुक्त दिल्ली के समक्ष हाजिर हों।

फा.सं. 673/110/93-सी.शु.-8

रूप चन्द अवर सचिव

S.O. 1861.—Whereas the Joint Secretary to the Government of India, specially empowered under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Conservation of Foreign Exchange and Prevention of Smuggling Activities Act, 1974 (52 of 1974) issued order F. No. 674/110/93-Cus. VIII dated 4-11-1993 under the said sub-section directing that Shri Bhupender Singh Baweja, K-45, Fateh Nagar, Jail Road, New Delhi-18 be detained and kept in custody in the Central Prison Tihar, New Delhi with a view to preventing him from acting in any manner prejudicial to the conservation of foreign exchange in future.

2. Whereas the Central Government has reasons to believe that the aforesaid person has absconded or is concealing himself so that the order cannot be executed ;

3. Now therefore, in exercise of the power conferred by clause (b) of sub-section (1) of Section 7 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs the aforesaid person to appear before the Commissioner/Director General of Police, Delhi within 7 days of the publication of this order in the Official Gazette.

[F. No. 673/110/93-Cus. VIII]

ROOP CHAND, Under Secy.

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 26 जून, 1995

का.आ. 1862.—भारत सरकार के संयुक्त सचिव ने जिसे विदेशी मुद्रा संरक्षण और तस्करी निवारण अधिनियम, 1974 (1974 का 52) की धारा 3 की उपधारा के अधीन आदेश फा.सं. 673/113/93 सी.शु.०८ दिनांक 4-11-1993 को यह निदेश जारी किया था कि श्री हरिन्दर सिंह अरोड़ा उर्फ गुल्लू 13/38 तिलक नगर, नई दिल्ली-18 को निरुद्ध कर लिया जाए और केन्द्रीय कारागार, तिहाड़, नई दिल्ली में अभिरक्षा में रखा जाए ताकि उसे भविष्य में विदेशी मुद्रा के संरक्षण के प्रतिकूल कोई भी कार्य करने से रोका जा सके।

2. केन्द्रीय सरकार के पास यह विश्वास करने का कारण है कि पूर्वोक्त व्यक्ति फरार हो गया है या अपने को छिपा रहा है जिससे उक्त आदेश का निष्पादन नहीं हो सके ;

3. अतः अब केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ख) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, यह निर्देश देती है कि पूर्वोक्त व्यक्ति इस आदेश के शासकीय राजपत्र में प्रकाशन के 7 दिन के भीतर पुलिस आयुक्त दिल्ली के समक्ष हाजिर हों।

[फा.सं. 673/113/93-सी.शु.-8]

रूप चन्द, अवर सचिव

ORDER

New Delhi, the 26th June, 1995

S.O. 1862.—Whereas the Joint Secretary to the Government of India, specially empowered under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Conservation of Foreign Exchange and Prevention of Smuggling Activities Act, 1974 (52 of 1974) issued order F. No. 673/113/93-Cus. VIII dated 4-11-1993 under the said sub-section directing that Shri Harinder Singh Arora & Gullu 13/38, Tilak Nagar, New Delhi-18 be detained and kept in custody in the Central Prison Tihar, New Delhi with a view to preventing him from acting in any manner prejudicial to the conservation of foreign exchange in future.

2. Whereas the Central Government has reasons to believe that the aforesaid person has absconded or is concealing himself so that the order cannot be executed ;

3. Now therefore, in exercise of the power conferred by clause (b) of sub-section (1) of Section 7 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs the aforesaid person to appear before the Commissioner/Director General of Police, Delhi within 7 days of the publication of this order in the Official Gazette.

[F. No. 673/113/93-Cus. VIII]

ROOP CHAND, Under Secy.

भाषण

नई दिल्ली, 26 जून, 1995

का. भा. 1863:—भारत सरकार के संयुक्त सचिव ने जिसे विदेशी मुद्रा संरक्षण और तस्करी निवारण अधिनियम, 1974 (1974 का 52) का धारा 3 का उपधारा के अधीन भाषण का. सं. 673/150/94-सी. शु. 8 दिनांक 11-10-1994 को यह निदेश जारी किया था कि श्री गुरुकमल सिंह उर्फ कमल सुपुत्र श्री केहरसिंह पता :—21, गुरु नगर, जालन्धर, पंजाब को निरुद्ध कर लिया जाए और केन्द्रीय कारागार, जालन्धर में अभिरक्षा में रखा जाए ताकि उसे भविष्य में विदेशी मुद्रा के संवर्धन के प्रतिकूल किसी भी कार्य को करने से रोका जा सके।

2. केन्द्रीय सरकार के पास यह विश्वास करने का कारण है कि पूर्वोक्त व्यक्ति फरार हो गया है या अपने को छिपा रहा है जिससे उक्त भाषण का निष्पादन नहीं हो सके;

3. अतः अब केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ख) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, यह निर्णय देती है कि पूर्वोक्त व्यक्ति इस भाषण के शासकीय राजपत्र में प्रकाशन के 7 दिन के भीतर पुलिस आयुक्त/डायरेक्टर जनरल पुलिस, जलन्धर के समक्ष हाजिर हो।

[का. सं. 673/150/94-सी. शु. 8]

रूप चन्द, प्रवर सचिव

ORDER

New Delhi, the 26th June, 1995

S.O. 1863.—Whereas the Joint Secretary to the Government of India, specially empowered under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Conservation of Foreign Exchange and Prevention of Smuggling Activities Act, 1974 (52 of 1974) issued order F. No. 673/150/94-Cus. VIII dated 11-10-1994 under the said sub-section directing that Shri Gudkamal Singh @ Kamal S/o Shri Kehar Singh R/o 21, Guru Nagar, Jalandhar (Punjab) be detained and kept in custody in the Central Prison Jalandhar with a view to preventing him from acting in any manner prejudicial to the augmentation of foreign exchange in future.

1510 GI/95—2.

2. Whereas the Central Government has reasons to believe that the aforesaid person has absconded or is concealing himself so that the order cannot be executed ;

3. Now therefore, in exercise of the power conferred by clause (b) of sub-section (1) of Section 7 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs the aforesaid person to appear before the Commissioner/Director General of Police, Punjab, Chandigarh within 7 days of the publication of this order in the Official Gazette.

[F. No. 673/150/94-Cus. VIII]

ROOP CHAND, Under Secy.

भाषण

नई दिल्ली, 26 जून, 1995

का. भा. 1864:—भारत सरकार के संयुक्त सचिव ने जिसे विदेशी मुद्रा संरक्षण और तस्करी निवारण अधिनियम, 1974 (1974 का 52) की धारा 3 की उपधारा के अधीन भाषण का. सं. 673/169/94-सी. शु. 8 दिनांक 29-9-1994 को यह निदेश जारी किया था कि श्री आ कमल कपूर उर्फ भारत भूषण सुपुत्र श्री गोदारमन कपूर ओ-13, गली नं. 20, महावीर नगर, नई दिल्ली-110018 को निरुद्ध कर लिया जाए और केन्द्रीय कारागार, तिहाड़, नई दिल्ली में अभिरक्षा में रखा जाए ताकि उसे भविष्य में विदेशी मुद्रा के संरक्षण के प्रतिकूल कार्य करने से रोका जा सके।

2. केन्द्रीय सरकार के पास यह विश्वास करने का कारण है कि पूर्वोक्त व्यक्ति फरार हो गया है या अपने को छिपा रहा है जिससे उक्त भाषण का निष्पादन नहीं हो सके;

3. अतः अब केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम का धारा 7 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ख) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, यह निर्णय देती है कि पूर्वोक्त व्यक्ति इस भाषण के शासकीय राजपत्र में प्रकाशन के 7 दिन के भीतर पुलिस आयुक्त, दिल्ली के समक्ष हाजिर हों।

[का. सं. 673/169/94-सी. शु.-8]

रूप चन्द, प्रवर सचिव

ORDER

New Delhi, the 26th June, 1995

S.O. 1864.—Whereas the Joint Secretary to the Government of India, specially empowered under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Conservation of Foreign Exchange and Prevention of Smuggling Activities Act, 1974 (52 of 1975) issued order F. No. 673/169/94-Cus. VIII dated 29-9-1994 under the said sub-section directing that Shri Kamal Kapoor @ Bharat Bhushan S/o Shri Godarmal Kapoor O-13, Gali No. 20, Mahaveer Nagar, New Delhi-110018 be detained and kept in custody in the Central Prison Tihar, New Delhi with a view to preventing him from acting in any manner prejudicial to the conservation of foreign exchange in future.

2. Whereas the Central Government has reasons to believe that the aforesaid person has absconded or is concealing himself so that the order cannot be executed ;

3. Now therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of sub-section (1) of Section 7 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs the aforesaid person to appear before the Commissioner/Director General of Police, Delhi within 7 days of the publication of this order in the Official Gazette.

[F. No. 673/169/94-Cus. VIII]

ROOP CHAND, Under Secy.

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 26 जून, 1995

का. प्रा. 1865.—भारत सरकार के संयुक्त सचिव ने जिसे विदेशी मुद्रा संरक्षण और तस्करी निवारण अधिनियम, 1974 (1974 का 52) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन आदेश का सं. 673/196/94—सी. शु.—8 दिनांक 10-11-1994 को यह निवेश जारी किया था कि श्री फखरुद्दीन अली सुपुत्र श्री अब्दुल रहिम 1067, कुच्चा दखनी राय दरियागंज, नई दिल्ली को निरुद्ध कर लिया जाए और केन्द्रीय कारागार, तिहाड़, नई दिल्ली में अभिरक्षा में रखा जाए ताकि उसे भविष्य में विदेशी मुद्रा के संरक्षण के प्रतिकूल कार्य करने से रोका जा सके।

2. केन्द्रीय सरकार के पास यह विश्वास करने का कारण है कि पूर्वोक्त व्यक्ति फरार हो गया है या अपने को छिपा रहा है जिससे उक्त आदेश का निष्पादन नहीं हो सके;

3. अतः अब केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ख) द्वारा प्रवृत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, यह निर्देश देती है कि पूर्वोक्त व्यक्ति इस आदेश के शासकीय राजपत्र में प्रकाशन के 7 दिन के भीतर पुलिस आयुक्त/डायरेक्टर जनरल पुलिस दिल्ली के समक्ष हाजिर हों।

[फा. सं. 673/196/94—सी. शु.—8]

रूप चन्द, प्रवर सचिव

ORDER

New Delhi, the 26th June, 1995

S.O. 1865.—Whereas the Joint Secretary to the Government of India specially empowered under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Conservation of Foreign Exchange and Prevention of Smuggling Activities Act, 1974 (52 of 1974) issued order F. No. 673/196/94-Cus. VIII dated 10-11-1994 under the said sub-section directing that Shri Fakhruddin Ali S/o Shri Abdul Wahid 1667, Kucha Dakhni Rai, Darayaganj, New Delhi be detained and kept in custody in the Central Prison Tihar, New Delhi with a view to preventing him from acting in any manner prejudicial to the conservation of foreign exchange in future.

2. Whereas the Central Government has reasons to believe that the aforesaid person has absconded or is concealing himself so that the order cannot be executed;

3. Now therefore, in exercise of the power conferred by clause (b) of sub-section (1) of Section 7 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs the aforesaid person to appear before the Commissioner Delhi within 7 days of the publication of this order in the Official Gazette.

[F. No. 673/196/94-Cus. VIII]

ROOP CHAND, Under Secy.

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 26 जून, 1995

का. प्रा. 1866.—भारत सरकार के संयुक्त सचिव ने जिसे विदेशी मुद्रा संरक्षण और तस्करी निवारण अधिनियम, 1974 (1974 का 52) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन आदेश का सं. 673/198/94—सी. शु.—8 दिनांक 25-11-1994 को यह निवेश जारी किया था कि श्री महेंद्रा सी. बाखारिया सुपुत्र स्वर्गीय श्री चिमनलाल गोपालदास बाखारिया, 1101, बालेस एपार्टमेंट्स, सलेटर रोड, बम्बई—400007 को निरुद्ध कर लिया जाए और केन्द्रीय कारागार, बम्बई

में अभिरक्षा में रखा जाए ताकि उसे भविष्य में विदेशी मुद्रा के संवर्धन के प्रतिकूल कोई भी कार्य करने से रोका जा सके।

2. केन्द्रीय सरकार के पास यह विश्वास करने का कारण है कि पूर्वोक्त व्यक्ति फरार हो गया है या अपने को छिपा रहा है जिससे उक्त आदेश का निष्पादन नहीं हो सके;

3. अतः अब केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ख) द्वारा प्रवृत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, यह निर्देश देती है कि पूर्वोक्त व्यक्ति इस आदेश के शासकीय राजपत्र में प्रकाशन के 7 दिन के भीतर पुलिस आयुक्त/डायरेक्टर जनरल पुलिस, बम्बई के समक्ष हाजिर हों।

[फा. सं. 673/198/94—सी. शु.—8]

रूप चन्द, प्रवर सचिव

ORDER

New Delhi, the 26th June, 1995

S.O. 1866.—Whereas the Joint Secretary to the Government of India, specially empowered under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Conservation of Foreign Exchange and Prevention & Smuggling Activities Act, 1974 (52 of 1974) issued order F. No. 673/198/94-Cus. VIII dated 25-11-1994 under the said sub-section directing that Shri Mahendra C. Vakharia, S/o Late Shri Chimanlal Gopaladas Vakharia, 1101, Wallance Apartments Sleater Road Bombay-400007 be detained and kept in custody in the Central Prison Bombay with a view to preventing him from acting in any manner prejudicial to the augmentation of foreign exchange in future.

2. Whereas the Central Government has reasons to believe that the aforesaid person has absconded or is concealing himself so that the order cannot be executed;

3. Now therefore, in exercise of the power conferred by clause (b) of sub-section (1) of Section 7 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs the aforesaid person to appear before the Commissioner/Director General of Police, Bombay within 7 days of the publication of this order in the Official Gazette.

[F. No. 673/198/94-Cus. VIII]

ROOP CHAND, Under Secy.

आदेश

नई दिल्ली 26 जून, 1995

का. प्रा. 1867.—भारत सरकार के संयुक्त सचिव ने जिसे विदेशी मुद्रा संरक्षण और तस्करी निवारण अधिनियम, 1974 (1974 का 52) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन आदेश का सं. 673/209/94 सी. शु. 8 दिनांक 20-12-1994 को यह निवेश जारी किया था कि श्री हीरा चन्द सखनूबन्द कटारिया उर्फ हीरा चन्द सखनूबन्द जैन एस. जे. ज्वैलर्स एंड कम्पनी 17-सी, मनोहरा रोड आवेरी बिल्डिंग बम्बई - 400003 (2) कमरा नं० 14, सेलवान टैरेज, नारोजी हिल रोड, नं० 2 डोगरी बम्बई - 400009 को निरुद्ध किया जाए और केन्द्रीय कारागार, बम्बई में अभिरक्षा में रखा जाए ताकि उसे भविष्य में देश की विदेशी मुद्रा के संवर्धन के प्रतिकूल कोई भी कार्य करने से रोका जा सके।

2. केन्द्रीय सरकार के पास यह विश्वास करने का कारण है कि पूर्वोक्त व्यक्ति फरार हो गया है या अपने को छिपा रहा है जिससे उक्त आदेश का निष्पादन नहीं हो सके;

3. धन : यह केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ख) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, यह निर्देश देती है कि पूर्वोक्त व्यक्ति इस आदेश के शासकीय राजपत्र में प्रकाशन के 7 दिन के भीतर पुलिस आयुक्त/बायरेक्टर जनरल पुलिस बम्बई के समक्ष हाजिर हों।

[फा.सं. सं. 673/209/94-94 सी.शु.-8]

रूप चन्द, अवर सचिव

ORDER

New Delhi, the 26th June, 1995

S.O. 1867.—Whereas the Joint Secretary to the Government of India, specially empowered under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Conservation of Foreign Exchange and Prevention of Smuggling Activities Act, 1974 (52 of 1974) issued order F. No. 673/209/94-Cus. VIII dated 20-12-1994 under the said sub-section directing that Shri Hira Chand Sakhalchand Kataria @ Hirachand Sakhalchand Jain, S.J. Jewellers and Co. 17 C, Memonwada Road, Zaveri Bldg., Bombay-400003 (ii) Room No. 14, Tailwala Terrace, Naoraj, Hill Road, No. 2, Dongri, Bombay-9 be detained and kept in the Central Prison Bombay with a view to preventing him from indulging in activities prejudicial to the augmentation of country's foreign exchange resources in future.

2. Whereas the Central Government has reasons to believe that the aforesaid person has absconded or is concealing himself so that the order cannot be executed ;

3. Now therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of sub-section (1) of Section 7 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs the aforesaid person to appear before the Commissioner/Director General of Police, Bombay within 7 days of the publication of this order in the Official Gazette.

[F. No. 673/209/94-Cus.VIII]

ROOP CHAND, Under Secy.

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 26 जून, 1995

का.भा. 1868.—भारत सरकार के संयुक्त सचिव ने जिसे विदेशी मुद्रा संरक्षण और तस्करी निवारण अधिनियम, 1974 (1974 क 52) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन आदेश फा.सं. 673/34/94 सी.शु.-8 दिनांक 4-4-1994 को यह निर्देश जारी किया था कि श्री अनाम बिक्रम बनिक् उर्फ ज्ञान बनिक् सुपुत्र स्वर्गीय श्री कुसुम बिहारी बनिक् प्लाट नं. 70, ब्लॉक बी, बेगूर एवेन्यू कलकत्ता 55 को निरुद्ध कर लिया जाए और केन्द्रीय कारागार, बस-बस, कलकत्ता में अभिरक्षा में रखा जाए ताकि उसे अभिष्य में विदेशी मुद्रा के संवर्धन के प्रतिकूल कार्य करने से रोका जा सके।

2. केन्द्रीय सरकार के पास यह विश्वास करने का कारण है कि पूर्वोक्त व्यक्ति फरार हो गया है या अपने को छिपा रहा है जिससे उक्त आदेश का निष्पादन नहीं हो सके।

3. धन : यह केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ख) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, यह निर्देश देती है कि पूर्वोक्त व्यक्ति इस आदेश के शासकीय राजपत्र में प्रकाशन के 7 दिन के भीतर पुलिस आयुक्त/बायरेक्टर जनरल पुलिस, कलकत्ता के समक्ष हाजिर हों।

[फा. सं. 673/34/94-सी.शु.-8]

रूप चन्द, अवर सचिव

ORDER

New Delhi, the 26th June, 1995

S.O. 1868.—Whereas the Joint Secretary to the Government of India, specially empowered under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Conservation of Foreign Exchange and Prevention of Smuggling Activities Act, 1974 (52 of 1974) issued order F. No. 673/34/94-Cus.VIII dated 4-4-1994 under the said sub-section directing that Shri Jnan Bikas Banik @ Gyan Banik S/o Late Shri Kusum Behari Banik Plot No. 70, Block-B, Bagur Avenue, Calcutta-700055 be detained and kept in custody in the Central Prison Dum-Dum, Calcutta with a view to preventing him from acting in any manner prejudicial to the augmentation of foreign exchange in future.

2. Whereas the Central Government has reasons to believe that the aforesaid person has absconded or is concealing himself so that the order cannot be executed ;

3. Now therefore, in exercise of the power conferred by clause (b) of sub-section (1) of Section 7 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs the aforesaid person to appear before the Commissioner/Director General of Police, Calcutta within 7 days of the publication of this order in the Official Gazette.

[F. No. 673/34/94-Cus.-VIII]

ROOP CHAND, Under Secy.

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 19 जून, 1995

स्टाम्प

का.भा. 1869.—भारतीय स्टाम्प अधिनियम, 1899 (1899 का 2) की धारा 9 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (क) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का उपयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा उस शुल्क को माफ करती है जो कि ग्रामीण बिजलीकरण निगम लिमिटेड, नई दिल्ली द्वारा जारी किए गए मात्र एक सौ करोड़ रुपये के मूल्य के 800001 से 800200 तक की विशिष्ट संख्या वाले 9.35 प्रतिशत भार.ई.सी. बंधपत्र-2001 (अट्टाईस सीरिज—विमोच्य कर मुक्त बंधपत्र) के रूप में वर्णित बंधपत्रों पर उक्त अधिनियम के तहत प्रभावी है।

[सं. 10/95-स्टाम्प फा.सं. 33/5/95-वि.क.]

एस. कुमार, अवर सचिव

ORDER

New Delhi, the 19th June, 1995

STAMPS

S.O. 1869.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of Section 9 of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 (2 of 1899), the Central Government hereby remits the duty with which the bonds described as 9.35% REC Bonds—2001 (XXVIII series—redeemable Tax Free Bonds) bearing distinctive numbers 800001 to 800200 of the value of rupees One Hundred crores only issued by Rural Electrification Corporation Limited, New Delhi are chargeable under the said Act.

[No. 10/95-Stamp F. No. 33/5/95-ST]

S KUMAR, Under Secy.

(आर्थिक कार्य विभाग)

(बैंकिंग प्रभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 20 जून, 1995

का.घा. 1870.—राष्ट्रीय बैंक (प्रबंध और प्रकीर्ण उपग्रन्थ) स्कीम, 1970 के खण्ड 3 के उपखण्ड (1) के साथ पठित बैंकारी कम्पनी (उपक्रमों का अर्जन एवं अंतरण) अधिनियम, 1970 की धारा 9 की उपधारा (3) के खण्ड (छ) द्वारा प्रस्तुत शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक से परामर्श करने के पश्चात्, एतद्द्वारा, डा. धर्मेश भण्डारी 7-एन-17 जयपुर, 302004 को 20 जून, 1995 से आरम्भ होने वाली तीन वर्षों की अवधि के लिए बैंक आफ महाराष्ट्र के बोर्ड में निवेशक के रूप में नामित करती है।

[एफ. सं. 9/34/92-बी.ओ.-1]

एम. एस. सीताराम, धवर सचिव

(Department of Economic Affairs)

(Banking Division)

New Delhi, the 20th June, 1995

S.O. 1870.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (g) of sub-section (3) of Section 9 of the Banking Companies (Acquisition and Transfer of Undertakings) Act, 1970 read with sub-clause (1) of Clause 3 of the Nationalised Banks (Management and Miscellaneous Provisions) Scheme, 1970 the Central Government, after consultation with the Reserve Bank of India, hereby nominates Dr. Dharmendra Bhandari, 7-N-17, Jawahar Nagar, Jaipur-302004, as Director of Bank of Maharashtra for a period of three years commencing on 20th June, 1995.

[F. No. 9/34/92-BO I]

M. S. SEETHARAMAN, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 20 जून, 1995

का.घा. 1871.—राष्ट्रीय कृषि और ग्रामीण विकास बैंक अधिनियम, 1981 (1981 का 61) की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (क) के उपबन्धों के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा वित्त मंत्रालय, आर्थिक कार्य विभाग (बैंकिंग प्रभाग) नई दिल्ली में विशेष सचिव, श्री सी. रामचन्द्रन को श्री के. श्रीनिवासन के स्थान पर राष्ट्रीय कृषि और ग्रामीण विकास बैंक का निवेशक नियुक्त करती है।

[सं. एफ. 9/9/94-बी.ओ.-I]

एम.एस. सीतारामन, धवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 20th June, 1995

S.O. 1871.—In pursuance of clause (e) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development Act, 1981 (61 of 1981), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri C. Ramachandran, Special Secretary, Ministry of Finance, Department of Economic Affairs (Banking Division), New Delhi as the Director of the National

Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development vice Shri K. Srinivasan.

[F. No. 9/9/94-BO. I]

M. S. SEETHARAMAN, Under Secy.

विदेश मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 19 जून, 1995

का.घा. 1872.—राजनयिक कौंसली अधिकारी (शपथ एवम् शुल्क) अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 41वां) की धारा 2 के अंक (क) के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा भारत का दूतावास, रियाध में, उच्च श्रेणी, लिपिक श्री केहर सिंह को 16 जून, 95 से कौंसली एजेंट का कार्य करने के लिए प्राधिकृत करती है।

[सं. टी-4330/1/95]

ओस्कर केरकेट्टा, धवर सचिव (कौंसली)

MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

New Delhi, the 20th June, 1995

S.O. 1872.—In pursuance of the Clause (a) of the Section 2 of the Diplomatic and Consular Officers (Oaths and Fees) Act, 1948 (41 of 1948), the Central Government hereby authorises Shri Kehar Singh, U.D.C. in the Embassy of India Riyadh to perform the duties of Consular Agent with effect from 16th June, 1995.

[No. T. 4330/1/95]

OSCAR KERKETTA, Under Secy. (Consular)

नई दिल्ली, 14 जून, 1995

का.घा. 1873.—राजनयिक कौंसली अधिकारी (शपथ एवम् शुल्क) अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 41वां) की धारा 2 के अंक (क) के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा भारत का प्रधान कौंसल वास जंजीबार में सहायक श्री नीराज कुमार जैसवाल को 13 जून, 1995 से कौंसली एजेंट का कार्य करने के लिए प्राधिकृत करती है।

[सं. टी.-4330/1/95]

ओस्कर केरकेट्टा, धवर सचिव (कौंसली)

New Delhi, the 14th June, 1995

S.O. 1873.—In pursuance of the Clause (a) of the Section 2 of the Diplomatic and Consular Officers (Oaths and Fees) Act, 1948 (41 of 1948), the Central Government hereby authorises Shri Niraj Kumar Jaiswal Assistant in the Consulate General of India, Zanzibar to perform the duties of Consular Agent with effect from 13th June, 1995.

[No. T. 4330/1/95]

OSCAR KERKETTA, Under Secy. (Consular)

माध्यमिक प्रति, उपभोक्ता मामले और सार्वजनिक विपणन मंत्रालय

(भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो)

नई दिल्ली, 9 जून, 1995

का. प्र. 1874.— भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो (प्रमाणन) विनियम 1985 के उपनियम (5) के अनुसरण में भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो एन/32 द्वारा अधिसूचित करता है कि निम्न लाइसेंसों के विवरण नीचे अनुसूची में दिए गए हैं, जो स्वीकृत कर दिए गए हैं:

अनुसूची

क्रम सं.	लाइसेंस संख्या	वैधता की अवधि	लाइसेंसधारी का नाम व पता	लाइसेंस के अधीन बस्तु	भारतीय मानक की संख्या
1	2	3	4	5	6
1. 5030741	940901		उत्कल इंस्टीट्यूट, ब्राह्मणी ट्रेनिंग जिला सुन्दरगढ़, कालुंगा - 770031		आईएस : 01729 : 79
2. 5030842	940901		टेक्नो फील इंस्टीट्यूट, 35, एगोरी सारणी, पो. प्र. राजपुर 24 परगना (दक्षिण) राजपुर, 24 परगना (दक्षिण)	बिजली के लैम्प	आईएस 00418 : 78
3. 5030943	940901		प्रोतिमा लैम्प इंस्टीट्यूट, 7 ई, मुरा क्रास रोड, कसकला - 700010	बिजली के लैम्प	आईएस 00418 : 78
4. 5031038	941001		पिनप्रइन पेपर प्लास्ट (प्रा.) लि., बी एन/32, इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, कुलवा, जोशीसा - 770031	बिस्कोटकों के पैकेज	आईएस 10212 : 86 भाग : 01
5. 5031137	941001		एनसो इंडिया जूट मिल्स कं. लि., जगतस, 24 परगना (दक्षिण) पम्बिय बंगाल, 24 परगना (दक्षिण)	जूट के बोरे	आई एस 01043 : 64
6. 5031237	941001		भस्मी बिजनेस एंड सीमेंट कं. (प्रा) लि., पोर्टलैंड स्लेब सीमेंट गांव एंड पो. प्र. मोरंगी, जिला हजारीबाग, बिहार, मोरंगी, हजारीबाग - 825301		आईएस 00455 : 89
7. 5031339	941001		भस्मी बिजनेस एंड सीमेंट कं. (प्रा) लि., पोर्टलैंड स्लेब सीमेंट गांव एंड पो. प्र. मोरंगी, जिला हजारीबाग, बिहार, मोरंगी, हजारीबाग 825301		आईएस : 00289 : 89
8. 5031440	941001		शिशु सीमेंट (प्रा.) लि., पोस्ट बिकोटमोटी (बाया कसंगा), जिला सुन्दरगढ़, सुन्दरगढ़ - 770031	पोर्टलैंड स्लेब सीमेंट	आईएस 00455 : 89
9. 5031541	941001		कल्याणपुर सीमेंट लि., रेट एंड पो. प्र. बनबारी, रोहतास 821303	साधारण पोर्टलैंड सीमेंट	आईएस 12269 : 87
10. 5031642	941001		उड़ीसा सीमेंट लि., राजगंगपुर, सुन्दरगढ़ (उड़ीसा) राजगंगपुर - 770017	साधारण पोर्टलैंड सीमेंट	आईएस 12269 : 87
11. 5031743	941001		नेशनल कार्बन, कं., कोसीपुर यूनिट, 5, इस्तमबी पारसी रोड, कसकला - 700002	जुष्क ईटरी	आईएस 09128 : 79
12. 5031844	941001		प्रेसीडेंसी स्लैब मिल प्रा. लि., 11, म्हु बागवा रोड, कसकला - 700046	रजद के बायु होज	आईएस 00446 : 87

1	2	3	4	5	6
13.	5031945	941001	द सन रोलिंग मिल्स प्रा. लि., 23, बफर स्ट्रीट, सिलहा, हावड़ा	एचएसबी इस्पात की छड़ें और तार	आईएस 01786: 85
14.	5032038	941001	बिलोय एचओप प्रा. लि., गांव एवं पोस्ट मंसापी, पी एस बगाबा, जिला हावड़ा	मस, गंधे वाली और संवातन के लिए रेत के	आईएस 01729: 79
15.	5032240	941001	दुरो पाइप प्रा. लि. प्लॉट नं. 51/ए, सैक्टर ए, बीन: मंचेरबर इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, रसूलगढ़, मुबनेरबर - 751010	ट्यूबेल लगाने के लिए पीपीसी की छतनी और आवरण पाइप	आईएस 12818: 92
16.	5032341	941001	कमला भास्कर फाउंड्री, 14 गबाबर माटा रोड, सिलहा, हावड़ा	एचएसबी इस्पात की छड़ें और तार	आईएस 1786: 85
17.	5032442	941001	एधो इम्पलीमेंट, 23/2, बफर स्ट्रीट, सिलहा, हावड़ा	शक्ति	आईएस 01759: 89
18.	5032543	941001	दुमाईसा इंडस्ट्रीज प्रा. लि., भोलारहट, धनपाड़ा, नई - 24 परगना, धनपाड़ा बस्तिन 24 परगना	बिनो लेपित प्रतिबल मुक्त लक	आईएस 06006: 85
19.	5032644	941001	मिफोन इंडस्ट्रीज, 228 - मसरपाडा, रोड, धुसरी, हावड़ा - 711107	धरेलू प्रेशर कूकर	आईएस 02347: 87
20.	6049363	940701	महेन्द्रा इंजीनियरिंग वर्क्स, 6/733 पी एन पालयम रोड, पुलीकूम, कोयम्बतूर - 641045	मिमज्जन पम्प के लिए मोटर	आईएस 09283: 79
21.	6049464	940701	एक्सीलेंट फ्लावोवर्स एंड फ्रेगेंस, 252 - बी मस्था कानार स्ट्रीट, बेलोबीपल्लयम, कोयम्बतूर - 641025	कोयतार जाल रंग	आईएस 05346: 75
22.	6049565	940701	एस्कुमीनियम इंडस्ट्रीज लि., स्टील प्रोडक्ट्स डिवाइजन नं. 1, सिरेमिक फैक्टरी रोड, कुंडारा - 691501	पूर्व प्रबलित कंक्रीट के लिए सावे, कठोर कठित इस्पात के तार	आईएस 01785: 83 भाग: 02
23.	6049666	940701	बरानी पम्प, 36, कस्तूरी मीसायम, धनरामपल्लायम, कोयम्बतूर - 641006	एकहरी फेस की छोटी एसी बिजली की मोटर	आईएस 00996: 79
24.	6049767	940701	एच एम पी सोमेटस लि., शाहबाद, गुलबर्गा जिला, शाहबाद - 585229	साधारण पोर्टलैंड सीमेंट	आईएस 12269: 89
25.	6049868	940701	इरीगेशन प्रोडक्ट्स इंटरनेशनल प्रा. लि. 6 - बी, कारबीपल्लयम रोड, घटपट्टागढ़, कोल्हूर पो. ओ. तह. नीलगिरी, कोय्मूर	सिंकाई उपकरण	आईएस 12786: 89
26.	6049969	940801	सनशाइन लैम्प, बी - 8 मैसूर लैम्प कम्प्लैक्स, टमाका इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, कोलार 563101	सामान्य सेवा के लैंप	आईएस 00418: 73
27.	6050045	940801	हरी इंडस्ट्रीज, 3, धनलक्ष्मी नगर, सिद्धपुर, कोयम्बतूर - 641044	घनेतित्र धनकेन्द्रीय पम्प	आईएस 12225: 87

1	2	3	4	5	6
28.	6050140	940801	एस बी एस प्रायल मिक्स, 8/12 तिल्वोसियूर हार्ड रोड, मद्रास - 600019	टिन	आईएस 10325: 89
29.	6050247	940701	मोवा स्टील वायर्स मैग्नेटिकल कंस्ट्रक्शंस प्लाट नं. 15, इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, चम्बात्तूर, मद्रास - 600088	पूर्व प्रचलित कंसेट	आईएस 06008: 31
30.	6050348	940801	पावर टेक इंडस्ट्रीज, कवोलपमेंट प्लाट इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, साउथ कालामसीरी, कोचीन - 683109	पीबीसी रोधी बीर बावरण बड़े इकहरे कोर के केवल	आईएस 00694: 90
31.	6050449	940701	हैदराबाद बायर एंड एलाइड प्राइवेट, पी 16 इंडस्ट्रियल डवलपमेंट एरिया, नोहराम, हैदराबाद - 501507	न्यू इस्पात के तार	आईएस 00280: 78
32.	6050550	940801	रिखी इंडस्ट्रीज, 2 - 3 - 761 ग्रम्बेरेपेट, हैदराबाद - 500013	बिस्कुट	आईएस 01011: 92
33.	6050651	940701	एल सी के सीमेंट्स प्रा. लि. सागर रोड, मचरेला, गुंटूर जिला (भा. प्र.), मचरेला - 522426	सा. पी. सी.	आईएस 02112: 89
34.	6050752	940801	बाला टी स्टील कारपोरेशन लि., चेम्बुगुंटा गंगाव, वेंकटाचालाम मंडल, नील्सेर जिला (भा. प्र.)	एच एस डी इस्पात छड़ें	आईएस 01786: 85
35.	6050853	940801	हिमालय सीमेंट्स प्रा. लि., चित्तिलापालेम, देशपाटूनेलम पोस्ट, कोठाचालामा मंडल, विजयनगरम जिला (भा. प्र.)	पोर्टलैंड स्लेज सीमेंट	आईएस 00455: 89
36.	6050954	940801	सेफ हेल्मेट्स एण्ड एसोसिएट्स, सर्वे नं. 10/2 बा वनगर्नी रोड, हिडालगा, बेलगाम 591108	हेल्मेट	आईएस 04151: 82
37.	6051047	940801	इम्स एंड बेरल्स (मद्रास) प्रा. लि. 41 - विद्यानाथ भुवानी स्ट्रीट, ठोडीप्रारपेट, मद्रास 600081	ड्रम	आईएस 01783: 88 भाग: 02
38.	6051148	940801	कातव नीटिंग मिक्स, 152 बारापुरम रोड, पो. बा. नं. 371, तिरुवर 638604	सादी बुनी सूती बनियात	आईएस 04964: 91
39.	6051249	940801	श्री लक्ष्मण इन्विपमेंट 1279 - ए, अविनाशी रोड, कोयम्बतूर 641004	बिजली की मोटर	आईएस 00996: 79
40.	6051350	940801	डी. बी. पेपर कोटस ना. लि. डी - 9, आईडीए पासमयसारास, पाटनचेरु मंडल, मेडक जिला (भा. प्र.) पाटनचेरु मंडल	कार्बन पेपर	आईएस 03450: 78
41.	6051451	940801	डी. बी. पेपर कोटस प्रा. लि. डी - 9 आईडीए पासमयसारास, पाटनचेरु मंडल, मेडक जिला (भा. प्र.) पाटनचेरु मंडल	कार्बन पेपर	आईएस 01551: 91
42.	6051552	940801	के एस जी इंडस्ट्रियलस, 4 - ए, बीबीसी स्ट्रीट, बी बारा पुरम, पेसाकोट्ट, कोयम्बतूर 641004	ग्रेण मोटर	आईएस 80325: 78

1	2	3	4	5	6
43.	6051653	940801	वैकटसाई पुल्बराइजर्स, नालाबंदा गुड्रेम बिमिरवाला, रामपुरम रोड, कोडाक मंडल, नासगोंडा जिला (भा. प्र.)	साधारण पोर्टलैंड सीमेंट	आईएस : 08112 : 89
44.	6051754	940801	कल्पम इंजीनियरिंग प्रा. लि. प्लाट नं. बी - 66 फेस 1, आईडीए, बेबीमेटला, हैदराबाद	निष्पन्न पल्प	आईएस 08034 : 89
45.	6051855	940801	फुटमानी स्टील प्रा. लि., एस नं. 797, 798, बहादुरनगर रोड, समीरपेट मंडल, समीरपेट	बिजली में वोल्ट किए इस्पात के पाइप	आईएस 03589 : 91
46.	6051956	940801	बीटा इंडस्ट्रीज लि., पोनेरी हाई रोड थुंवर पलायम गांव, एस आर पलायम पोस्ट पित्तोर पित्तोर 601203	ड्रम	आईएस 01783 : 88
47.	6052049	940801	विनेवा गीटर्स, 16, स्टेनस रोड, पहली स्ट्रीट तिरुपुर 638602	सादी बुनी सूती बनावण	आईएस 04964 : 91
48.	6052150	940816	कमिटराज अपसायसेस प्रा. लि. प्लाट नं. 245, फेस 3 पेम्मा इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, बंगलौर 560058	पिटवा एल्यूमीनियम के बर्तन	आईएस 01660 : 82 भाग : 01
49.	6052251	940816	बेलाई सैम्प प्लाट नं. 10, इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, अनन्तपुर रोड, बल्लार्य	सामान्य सेवा के लिए सैम्प	आईएस 00418 : 78
50.	6052352	940816	भारत इंडस्ट्रीज, वी - 10 मैसूर सैम्प मंसीलारी, काम्पलेक्स टामाका इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट कोलार 563101	सामान्य सेवा के लिए सैम्प	आईएस 00418 : 78
51.	6052453	940816	किनेरा स्टील प्रा. लि. इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, कोठागुडम जमाम जिला हैदराबाद 505101	इस्पात	आईएस 02062 : 93
52.	6052554	940816	किन्गर स्टील प्रा. लि. इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, कोठागुडम, जमाम जिला, हैदराबाद 507101	एसएसबी इस्पात छड़ें	आईएस 01786 : 85
53.	6052655	940801	एसोसिएटेड इंजीनियरिंग वर्क्स, बिबितम रोड, पो. बा. नं. 17, हैदराबाद तालुक 534211	ओस बायोमास चूल्हा	आईएस 13152 : 91 भाग : 01
54.	6052756	940816	वासुदेव सीमेंट लि., सचपुरी बीटाइलेय गांव, मेन्मापल्ली मंडल नालगोंडा, नासगोंडा	साधारण पोर्टलैंड सीमेंट	आईएस 08112 : 89
55.	6052857	940816	जी. के. पुल्बराइजर्स, रामपुरम एस रोड, नालगोंडागुडम बिमिरवाला गांव कोडाक मंडल	साधारण पोर्टलैंड सीमेंट	आईएस 08112 : 89
56.	6052958	940801	श्री बेंकटेल्वराय सैम्प, बी-16 मैसूर सैम्प एलासिबेरी काम्पलेक्स, टामाका इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, कोलार 563101	ता. सेवा के सैम्प	आईएस 00418 : 78
57.	6053051	940801	बेसनल हुल रोड, प्लाट नं. 4, न्यू बीडगुडा, सिकन्दराबाद, सिकन्दराबाद 500003	गहूराई से बानी विकासने के लिए पल्प	आईएस 13056 : 91

1	2	3	4	5	6
58.	6053152	940801	ग्राम बल्बम (इंडिया) प्रा. लि. 15 ... बा. श्री बंकटेश्वराय को-प्राप. इंड. एस्टेट इंड. एरिया, जीडीमेटला, हैदराबाद-500855	रेगुलेटर	आईएस 09798: 81
59.	6053253	940801	घाणिकित डाई कास्टिंग, डी - 148 इंड. एरिया, जिला मिकन्दराबाद, रंगिडी	रेगुलेटर	आईएस 09798: 81
60.	6053354	940816	प्रकाश इंजिनियरिंग इंटरप्राइस, ए - 193 पीन्या इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, बंगलूर 560058	गहराई से पानी निकालने के हैंडपम्प	आईएस 13056: 91
61.	6053455	940801	मदनलाल स्टील एंड फोर्जिंग लि. 47 मोगीसन स्ट्रीट बंगलूर, मद्रास 600016	इस्पात छड़े	आईएस 01786: 85
62.	6053556	940801	नोलगिरी सीमेंट (प्रा.) लि. कासाकुंडी, पोस्ट लालगुडी, त्रिची 621652	साधारण पोर्टलैंड सीमेंट	आईएस 08112: 89
63.	6053657	940801	देवी पेस्टीसाइड्स प्रा. लि. नं. 737/5, सेन्ट्रलमपट्टी डिनडिगुल रोड, बटालागुडु 624202	मेलाथियोन	आईएस 02567: 78
64.	6053758	940801	बुकबॉर्ड लिफ्टन इंडिया लि., 50/51 साकोट इंडस्ट्रियल कॉम्प्लेक्स, होसूर 635125	धूलनशील काफी चिकरी खुर्र	आईएस 03309: 92
65.	6053859	940801	क्रैटिक एरोमेटिक्स प्रा. लि., 26 इक्कामबाड़ा नाइकर इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, आलपक्कम पोन्नर, मद्रास 600116	कैरेमस	आईएस 04467: 80 भाग: 01
66.	6053960		क्रैटिक एरोमेटिक्स प्रा. लि. 26 इक्कामबाड़ा नाइकर इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, आलपक्कम पोन्नर, मद्रास 600116	कोलतार	आईएस 05346: 75
67.	6054053	940801	प्रकाश इंडस्ट्रीज, बी-4 मैसूर लैम्प अंकीलरी कॉम्प्लेक्स, टमाका इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, कोलार 563101	सामान्य सेवा के लिए लैम्प	आईएस 00418: 78
68.	6054154	940801	रेनबो लैम्प प्रा. लि., बी-6 मैसूर लैम्प अंकीलरी कॉम्प्लेक्स, टमाका इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, कोलार	सामान्य सेवा के लिए लैम्प	आईएस 00418: 78
69.	6054155	940801	मृति पेंट प्रा. लि., 19-आई मेन रोड, इंडस्ट्रीज टाउन, सम्मुख राजाजीनगर, बंगलूर 560044		आईएस 05410: 69
70.	6056865	940901	मायसूमा सीमेंट एंड केमिकल्स प्रा. लि., 870/871 न्यू इंडस्ट्रियल डेवलपमेंट एरिया काजीकोडे, पालाकाड 678621	सा. पो. सी.	आईएस 00269: 89
71.	6056966	940901	ग्रेगोल्ड सीमेंट लि., माटा पल्ले गांव, हुन्नूरनगर ताल्लुक, नालगोंडा जिला (आ. प्र.) नालगोंडा जिला	सा. पो. सी.	आईएस 00269: 89
72.	6057059	940901	श्री मिमहट्टो सीमेंट लि., अन्नमार्गजू पेट, बागी मंडल, विजिला ग्राम जिला (आ. प्र.)	साधारण पोर्टलैंड सीमेंट	आईएस 08112: 89
73.	6057160	940901	गोराबा पंप 84 रावर स्ट्रीट निचकेर ओट्टाम, कोयम्बतूर	जेट अप्रकेरणीय पम्प	आईएस 12225: 87

1	2	3	4	5	6
74.	6057261	940801	प्रा.मयर इस्ट्रुमट्स एंड कंट्रोल लि., पो. बा. नं. 4209 प्रेमप्राभाओकेन पल्लायम कोयम्बतूर-641020	घनेमोमीटर	आई एम 05912 : 70
75.	6057362	940901	माया एंज्वायसेस, 8-बी, बोट क्लब, आई एवेन्यू, भार. ए. पुरम, मद्रास-600028	बिजली का घरेलू खास मिश्रक आईएस	04250 : 80
76.	6057463	940901	पूम्बा रबड़ (प्रा.) लि., एम्बापुल्लम पोस्ट, कोश्री ताल्लुक, पाटानामिट्टा जिला अरुवारुलम 689691	कच्चा प्राकृतिक रबड़	आईएम 04588 : 86
77.	6057564	940901	हैदराबाद मिलिटरी प्रा. लि., मंदीगांव-गांव कोटूर मंडल, मेहबूब नगर जिला (आ. प्र.) मेहबूबनगर	एलपीबी मिलिटरी	आईएस 03196 : 92
78.	6057665	940901	स्टैसिल एंड स्टैसिल लि., 8-124 ईड. एरिया, नाबाराम, हैदराबाद 501507	स्टैसिल पेपर	आईएस 05083 : 93
79.	6057766	940901	अगस्तर पेंड्स प्रा. लि., 509-ए गोरेगांव रोड, टूटीकोरम-628003	सीमेंट रोगन	आई एस 05410 : 92
80.	6057867	940901	बोस्टास लिमिटेड, पाटनचेरु, मेडक जिला (आ. प्र.) पाटनचेरु 605767	मोनोकोटोफॉम 36% एमएल	आई एम 08074 : 90
81.	6057968	940901	बोस्टास लिमिटेड, पाटन चेरु, मेडक जिला (आ. प्र.)	मोनोकोटोफॉम (तकनीकी)	आईएम 08025 : 90
82.	6058061	949901	भुबाना पीलीमर्म प्रा. लि., एफ-13 सिपकोट इंडस्ट्रियल कॉम्प्लेक्स, मानामबुरेई 623606	यूपीबीसी पाइप	आई एस 04985 : 88
83.	6058162	940901	माही इंजीनियरिंग कंपनी, 28-अमानकुलम रोड, पी. एन. पल्लयम, कोयम्बतूर 641037	जेट अपकेन्द्रीय वॉप	आईएम 12225 : 87
84.	6058263	940901	प्राइमर प्रेस पाइप लि., बी-42 सिपकोट इंडस्ट्रियल कॉम्प्लेक्स, गुमिडीपूंडी 601201	ए. सी. सीट	आईएम 00459 : 92
85.	6058364	940901	चित्रा इलेक्ट्रिकल्स, 134/1 ए. त्रिची रोड, कन्नम पल्लयम पोस्ट, बाया सलार, कोयम्बतूर 641402	पंप सेट	आई एस 08034 : 89
86.	6058465	940901	मार्गिया लैम्प, बी-4/17 मरई मालाइनगर इंडस्ट्रियल कॉम्प्लेक्स मरईमालाइनगर, चेंगी मार्ग किला, मरईमालाइनगर 603209	सामान्य सेवा के लिए लैम्प	आईएस 418 : 78
87.	6058566	940901	श्री विष्णु सीमेंट लिमिटेड, सितपुरम, डोनडापाड पोस्ट, मेलाचेरु मंडल, नालगोंडा, जिला (आ. प्र.)	साधारण पोर्टलैंड सीमेंट	आईएस 00269 : 89
88.	6058667	940901	नेशनल इंजीनियरिंग कॉर्पोरेशन, 58/2, सुपरिम हाउस, सुब्रमण्यम पुरम रोड, बंगलूर-560050	फिल्म	आईएम 05490 : 77
89.	6058768	940901	कोरोमैडल सीमेंट लि., रामापुर गांव, कोडा तालुक, नालगोंडा जिला, मेलाचेरु मंडल 508246	सा. पो. बी.	आईएस 12269 : 87

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
90. 6058869	94-09-01	मोनिटी केमिकल्स लेबोरेटरी, 5 ए.सी./202, दूसरी मेन रोड, 3-ब्लाक एचआरबीआर लेआउट, बंगलौर-560084	कोलतार	आईएस 05346:75	
91. 6058970	94-09-01	तानसी टूल एण्ड इंजीनियरिंग वर्क्स, इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, अरियामंगलम्, त्रिची 620010	गहराई से पानी निकालने के पम्प	आईएस 13056:91	
92. 6059063	94-09-01	वावनकोर केमिकल्स एण्ड मै.फै. क., मूयाकड, टूटीकोरम 628007	मोओसी जल विसर्जनीय चूर्ण	आईएस 01507	
93. 6059164	94-09-01	वर्गई एप्रो केमिकल्स, 73/2 पुयूर अटारी रोड, नेचीमेड, स्लेम 636002	मोनोकोटोफॉस 36% ईसी	आईएस 08074:90	
94. 6059265	94-09-01	वर्गई एप्रो केमिकल्स, 73/2 पुयूर अटारी रोड, नेचीमेड, स्लेम 636002	इंडोसल्फान 35% ईसी	आईएस 04323:80	
95. 6059366	94-09-01	वर्गई एप्रो केमिकल्स, 73/2 पुयूर अटारी रोड, नेचीमेड, स्लेम 636002	क्विनालफॉस 25% ईसी	आईएस 08028:87	
96. 6059467	94-09-01	नागार्जुन एप्रो एण्ड स्टील कारपोरेशन, के.सी. वर्क्स, टोडपल्ली, गुंटूर जिला (आ.प्र.) टोडपल्ली 522502	क्विनालफॉस 25% ईसी	आईएस 08028:87	
97. 6059568	94-09-01	नागार्जुन एप्रो एण्ड स्टील कारपोरेशन, के.सी. वर्क्स, टोडपल्ली, गुंटूर जिला (आ.प्र.), टोडपल्ली 522502	मोनोकोटोफॉस 36% एसएस	आईएस 08074:90	
98. 6059669	94-09-01	नागार्जुन एप्रो एण्ड स्टील कारपोरेशन, के.सी. वर्क्स, टोडपल्ली, गुंटूर जिला (आ.प्र.) टोडपल्ली 522502	इंडोसल्फान 35% ईसी	आईएस 04320:80	
99. 6059770	94-09-01	नागार्जुन एप्रो एण्ड स्टील कारपोरेशन, के.सी. वर्क्स, टोडपल्ली, गुंटूर जिला (आ.प्र.) टोडपल्ली 522502	एलक्वैरेट 28% ईसी	आईएस 11997:87	
100. 6059871	94-09-01	लडय एरोमेटिक्स (प्रा.) लि., 1/1 बी बी बिल्डिंग माउन्ट पुनमलाई रोड, सनडलकम,, मद्रास-600089	द्रव कोलतार	आईएस: 05346:75	
101. 6059972	94-10-01	कर्नाटक वाटर पम्प प्रा. लि. 13-बी, प्रटीवेल्ली इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, नेरालूतालुक, बंगलौर जिला, नेरालूर-562107	गहराई से पानी निकालने के हैंडपम्प	आईएस 13287:92	
102. 6060048	94-10-01	मेकबा पेट्रोलियम इंडिया प्रा. लि. 4 डी/3 तीसरी मेन रोड इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, अम्बात्तूर, मद्रास 6060048	विद्युत रोधी तेल	आईएस 00335:83	

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
103.	6060149	94-10-01	नरतन इलेक्ट्रिकल इंडस्ट्रीज प्रा. लि., एफ-79 मिपकोट इंडस्ट्रियल कॉम्प्लेक्स, गुनीडीपुडी-601281	एल्यूमीनियम मिश्रधातु के चालक	आई एस 00398 : 79 भाग : 04
104.	6060250	94-10-01	एलर्ट कार्य सर्विस, 46, 17 सी मेन VI अनाक, कपावीपल्लम, कोरामंगला, बंगलोर-560034	पोटेंशल ध्वनि शामक	आई एस 02878 : 86
105.	6060351	94-10-01	इलेक्ट्रिकल एण्ड जनरल बुक इंडस्ट्रीज के-6 इंड. एरिया, उप्पल, हैदराबाद-500039	लकड़ी के फवस डोरगटर	आई एस 02202 : 91
106.	6060452	94-09-01	विशाखापटनम स्टील प्लांट, प्रोजेक्ट आफिस कॉम्प्लेक्स, विशाखापटनम (आ.प्र.)-530031	इस्पात	आई एस 01977 : 75
107.	6060553	94-09-01	विशाखापटनम स्टील प्लांट, प्रोजेक्ट आफिस कॉम्प्लेक्स, विशाखापटनम (आ.प्र.)-530031	कार्बन इस्पात की पत्ती	आई एस 02831 : 75
108.	6060654	94-10-01	हैदराबाद प्लाईवुड इंडस्ट्रीज प्रा. लि., नाथाराम इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, नाथाराम, हैदराबाद-501507	लकड़ी के फवस डोरगटर	आई एस 02202 : 91
109.	6060755	94-10-01	एम. एस. ड्रम प्रा. लि. डेबलपमेंट एरिया, एड्यार, मूपावडम पो. प्रा. आलवे 683502	ड्रम	आई एस 01783 : 88 भाग : 02
110.	6868856	94-10-01	अंकल पावर सिस्टम्स लि., ई-16 इंडस्ट्रियल कॉम्प्लेक्स, मरईमलाई नगर, धिमलपुट जिला, मरईमलाई नगर	इंजिन	आई एस 07347 : 74
111.	8869480	94-10-01	ग्लोई इलेक्ट्रिकल इंडस्ट्री, ए-66, ग्रुप इंड. एरिया, बजीरपुर दिल्ली-110052	घजल भंडारण टाइप पानी गर्म करने के बिजली के हीटर	आई एस 02082 : 85
112.	8869581	94-10-01	विष्णु इलेक्ट्रिकल, 359, रामनगर, कृष्ण नगर दिल्ली-110051	दाब स्थिष	आई एस 06875 : 73 भाग : 02
113.	8869682	94-10-01	पंकज सीमेंट लि. केशवन राजपुर, नेशनल हाईवे नं. 08, कोटपुतली-303108	मा.पी.सी. ग्रेड 43	आई एस 08112 : 89
114.	8069783	94-10-01	मानसी इलेक्ट्रिकल इंडस्ट्रियल, नं. 1, जी.टी. करनाल रोड इंड. एरिया, दिल्ली-110033	पानी गर्म करने के बिजली के इमरसन हीटर	आई एस 00302 : 92 भाग : 02
115.	8869884	94-10-01	बर्धमान होम एप्पाएसेज प्रा. लि., 26 बाबली इंड. एरिया, फेस II, दिल्ली-110042	बिजली की इस्तरी	आई एस 00302 : 92 भाग : 02

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
116.	8069985	94-10-01	गुप्ता ट्रेडर्स 10319, मानक पुरा, करोल बाग नई दिल्ली-110005	बिजली की इस्तरी 750 वा. 230 वो. थर्मो-स्टैटिक एल्यूमिनियम की ठोस प्ले सहित की सुरक्षा अपेक्षाएं	घाई एस 00302 : 92 भाग : 02
117.	8070061	94-10-01	नेशनल इलेक्ट्रिकल्स, 8572-ए, रायगढ़ रोशनभारा रोड, दिल्ली-110007	750 वा. 230 वो. बिजली की इस्तरी की सुरक्षा अपेक्षाएं	घाई एस 00302 : 92 भाग 02
118.	8070162	94-10-01	कमफोटर्स एप्लायंसेस, 10292, मानक पुरा, करोल बाग, नई दिल्ली-110005	बिजली की इस्तरी 750 वा. 230 वो. थर्मोस्टैटिक एल्यूमिनियम मिश्रधातु की ठोस प्लेट सहित की सुरक्षा अपेक्षाएं	घाई एस 00302 : 92 भाग : 02
119.	8070163	94-10-01	गुप्ता ट्रेडर्स, 10319, मानकपुरा, करोल बाग, नई दिल्ली-110051	पानी गर्म करने के बिजली के इमरसन हीटर 1.0 और 1.5 कि.वा. 230 वो. की सुरक्षा अपेक्षाएं	घाई एस 00302 : भाग : 02
120.	8070364	94-10-01	श्री दुर्गा इंड. (रजि.), 37, रामनगर, स्ट्रीट नं. 2, पहाड़गंज, नई दिल्ली	पानी गर्म करने के हीटर	घाई एस 0030 भाग : 02
121.	8070465	94-10-01	मलहोत्रा इलेक्ट्रिकल इंड., 6/3 सिंह समा रोड, सब्जी मंडी, दिल्ली-110007	पानी गर्म करने के बिजली के इमरसन हीटर 1.0 और 1.5 कि.वा. 230 वो. की सुरक्षा अपेक्षाएं	घाई एस 00302 : 92 भाग : 02
122.	8070568	94-10-01	स्टार इलेक्ट्रिकल (इंडिया), बी-31/2, ग्रुप इंड. एरिया, बजीरपुर, दिल्ली-110052	पानी गर्म करने के हीटर	घाई एस : 00302 : 92 भाग : 02
123.	8070667	94-10-01	एडवांस्ड अप्लायंसेस, ई-18, सेंक्टर XI मोयडा-201301	पानी गर्म करने के बिजली के इमरसन हीटर	घाई एस : 00368 : 92
124.	8070768	94-10-01	नागपाल इलेक्ट्रिक रेडियो कं., सी-108, इंड. एरिया, फेस 1, नई दिल्ली-110028	750 वा. के बिजली की इस्तरी की सुरक्षा अपेक्षाएं	घाई एस 00302 : 92 भाग : 02
125.	8070869	94-10-01	सिस्टम अप्लायंसेस कं., 408/5 ए/1 गली नं. 22, बस्ती प्रानन्द प्रसाद, नई दिल्ली-110005	पानी गर्म करने के हीटर	घाई एस 02082 : 85
126.	8070970	94-10-01	प्रनोक्षा इलेक्ट्रिकल इंडिया), 3004-ए, सत नगर, शकूर बस्ती, दिल्ली-110034	3 पिन प्लग 6 ए 240 वो. एसी, 3 पिन साकेट प्लक्स टाइप 6 ए 240 वो. एसी	घाई एस 01293 88
127.	8071063	94-10-01	इंडस टयूब्स लि., जी टी. रोड, छपरोला, गाजियाबाद	पानी के कुओं के लिए स्टील की नलियां	घाई एस 04270 : 92

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
128.	8071164	94-10-01	धम्बा स्टील (के.पी. स्टील प्राइवेट्स एण्ड लि. की इकाई) 7वां कि०मी० ग्रेट रोड, गुजपकरनगर (उ० प्र०)	कंक्रिट प्रबलन के लिए उच्च सामर्थ्य वाली उच्च इस्पात की छड़ें साइज 8.10, 12.16, 20 और 25 मि०मी०	आई एस 01786 : 85
129.	8071265	94-10-01	बी.आर. मम इन्ड्यू जेड-110 शकूरपुर गांव, दिल्ली-110034	सामान्य सेवा के लिए 100वां, 230वां	आई एस 00418 : 78
130.	8071366	94-10-01	एशिया होम एप्लाइसेस, XII/10731/प्रथम तल, प्रताप नगर, दिल्ली-110007	बिजली की इस्तरी 750 वा. 230 बी. थर्मोस्टैटिक एल्गोनियम मिश्रधातु की टोम प्लेट सहित का सुरक्षा प्रपेक्षाएं	आई एस 00302 : 92 भाग : 02
131.	8071467	94-10-01	नूट्रेड इलेक्ट्रिकल, एच-56, उद्योग नगर, रोहतक रोड, नई दिल्ली-110041	वायु ताप के 2 कि.वा. 240 बी. 50 हर्ट्ज एसी के क्षमिज भरें कोल- वार तापन एलिमेंट	आई एस 04159 : 83
132.	8071568	94-10-01	कुनाल इंडस्ट्रीज, इंड. एरिया स्टेशन रोड, पानडूरना, छिन्वाड़ा-480334	उत्पादन ताप के लिए इनेमल बड़े गोल बेचन तार	आई एस 10325 : 89
133.	8071669	941001	ए बी एस पोलिप्लास्ट 10/ए भाग न्यू इंड. एरिया, राबबहाड़ा, रामपुर (म.प्र.)-493221	बिजली लगाने के लिए वालक	आई एस 09537 : 83 भाग : 03
134.	8071770	94-10-01	पी के इंटरप्राइसेस 725-ए पालम नजफगढ़ रोड, पालम गांव, नई दिल्ली-110045	पी बी सी रोधी केबल	आई एस 00694 : 90
135.	8071871	94-10-01	सुपरिम इलेक्ट्रिकल, 13. नजफगढ़ रोड, नई दिल्ली-110015	बिजली की इस्तरी	आई एस 00302 : 42 भाग : 02
136.	8071972	94-10-01	इंजीनियरिंग टेक्नो. (इंडिया), 6/9/80 छत्तरपुर गीहरीली नई दिल्ली-110038	इकहरे फेस 11 बी. 230 बी. 50 हर्ट्ज छोटी एसी मोटर श्रेणी ई बिद्युत रोधी (1350 ग.प्र.मि.)	आई एस 00996 : 79
137.	8072065	94-10-01	के०ई०आई० इंडस्ट्रीज यूनिट नं. 2, एफ-4, ओखला इंड. एरिया, फेस नई दिल्ली-110020	पी बी सी रोधी (ईवी इन्टी) बिजली के केबल	आई एस 01554 : 88 भाग : 01
138.	8072166	94-10-01	कपूर मैन फैब्रिकरिंग कारपो., इन्ड्यू जेड-361 शकूरपुर गांव, दिल्ली-110034	सामान्य सेवा के बिजली के तैयारों के लिए टंगस्टन तंतु	आई एस 00418 : 78
139.	8072267	94-10-01	एच.पी. बाक्सेस, एच.पी. रोड, समृद्ध जनरल फूड मंगलिया, इम्बार	15 कि.वा. के बी के बीकोर टिन	आई एस 10325 : 89
140.	8072368	94-10-01	दुबे इलेक्ट्रिकल्स ए-128/1, ग्रुप एड. एरिया, वजीरपुर दिल्ली-110052	पानी गति के बिजली के इमरसन हीटर 1.0 कि.वा. 235 बी. एसी. 50 हर्ट्ज	आई एस 00368 : 92

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
141.	8072469	94-10-01	रोलैक्स इलेक्ट्रोनिक्स प्र. लि., बॉ.आई.-118 फेस-II, मायापुरी, नई दिल्ली-110064	बिजली के केबल	आई एम 01554 : 88 भाग : 01
142.	8072570	94-10-01	किरण सीमेंट इंडस्ट्रीज, गांव; गरिया तह.-राइपुर, पाली (म.प्र.)	सा.पो.मी. ग्रेड 33	आई एम 00264 : 89
143.	8072671	94-10-01	एलार्जेंट इलेक्ट्रिकल इंड., दिल्ली रोड, समीप गांव चापूरी, हापुर-245101	अंचल भंडारण टाइप पानी गति के हीटर	आई एम 02082 : 85
144.	8072772	94-10-01	मोर्ग सीमेंट लि., गांव भावरा (मसांडा), तह. तीम का थाना सीकर (राज.)-332713	सा.पो.मी. ग्रेड 43	आई एम 08112 : 89
145.	8072873	94-10-01	बी.के. इंटर्प्राइजेज, प्लॉट नं. 4, भानु पर्वत इंड. एरिया नई दिल्ली-110005	पानी गति के बिजली के इमरसन हीटर की सुरक्षा अपेक्षा 1.0 से 20 कि.वा. 230 वोल्ट 50 हर्ट्ज एसी	आई एम 00302 : 92 भाग : 02
146.	8072974	94-10-01	श्री सुदारण इलेक्ट्रिकल्स, प्लॉट नं. 7, प्लाट नं. 99 विश्वास नगर, शाहदरा दिल्ली-110032	सामान्य सेवा के लैम्प	आई एम 00418 : 78
147.	8073067	94-10-01	श्री राम इंडस्ट्रीज, 10/55 हनी ब्रेड के पीछे गोकम्पुर, फेस-1 दिल्ली रोड मेरठ (यू.पी.)	मलिकल डलवा लोहे के पाइप फिटिंग	आई एम 01879 : 87
148.	8073168	94-10-01	बीको इलेक्ट्रिकल्स (इंडिया) 149 दिल्ली रोड, समीप पुरानी बंगी, मेरठ-250002	साधारण यंत्रांगी टाइप 2 कि.वा. 200 वोल्ट 50 हर्ट्ज ताम्बा पात्र सहित निम्नलिखित क्षमता के—(क) 25 लीटर क्षमता (ख) 35 लीटर क्षमता	आई एम 02082 : 85
149.	8073269	94-10-01	मिलक फाउण्ट्री समीप टॉक फाटक मंगनेर रोड जयपुर-302015	इंडस्ट्रियल (एलआई) मिलाई मशीन	आई एम 12109 : 87
150.	8073370	94-10-01	श्री शानिनाथ इलेक्ट्रिकल्स, गोहन काम्प्लेक्स एस-प्लॉट मार्केट अणीक बिहार, फेस-1 दिल्ली-110052	बिजली की इन्वर्ती	आई एम 00302 : 92 भाग : 02
151.	9063372	94-09-01	हिन्दुस्तान वायर प्रोडक्ट्स लि. फैक्टरी एरिया, पटियाला-147001	इनेमण बड़े तोल बेस्टन तार	आई एम 04800 : 68 भाग : 02
152.	9063473	94-09-01	क्रोवन डोर डीपेस, प्लॉट नं. 110, एम.आई.ई. जिला रोहनक, बहाबपुरगढ़	टावर बोल्ट	आई एम 00284 : 92 भाग : 02
153.	9063574	94-09-01	मेटल इंडस्ट्रियल कारपोरेशन, ओल्ड मंडी रोड, जालंधर	रेखा	आई एम 02692 : 88

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
154.	9063675	94-09-01	के.सी. सीमेंट इंडस्ट्रीज लि. गांव—कूलपुर मिठासपुर, पोस्ट—छाबलाट, तहसील ग्रामरोह, जिला—मुरादाबाद, कूलपुर मिठासपुर	सीमेंट पोर्टलैंड स्लेग	आई एम 00455 : 89
155.	9063776	94-09-01	ग्रनूज सीमेंट इंडस्ट्रीज (प्रा.) लि. धोलाकुआं, तहसील पीठामाहब, जिला—मिरमोर, धोलाकुआं-173001	सीमेंट सा.पो.	आई एम 08112 : 89
156.	9063877	94-09-01	गायत्री एग्रोकेम एण्ड पेट्रोलियम, गांव—बरोटा, पो.—सोहना जिला—गुड़गांव, गांव बरोटा	पेस्ट—हाइमेटोडस	आई एम 08903 : 84
157.	9063978	94-09-01	सॉमिया ओवरसीज (प्रा.) लि., 34-ई. एरिया, फेस 1, जिला अम्बाला, पंचकुला-134109	जिक सल्फेट	आई एम 088249:76
158.	9064071	94-09-01	गुरुद सलायस प्रा. लि. गांव बलीबास, तह. बाबग, जिला रिबार्डी 98.4 कि मी. स्टोन दिल्ली जयपुर रोड, गांव—जननीबास	सीमेंट ओ पी सी	आई एम 08112 : 89
159.	9064071	94-09-01	मिप स्विचगियर (इंडिया) 23—बसन्त नगर, सोवाल रोड, जालन्धर 144004	स्विच	आई एम 84064 : 78 भाग : 01
160.	9064273	94-09-01	इन्वेंट्रि कल एण्ड इलेक्ट्रॉनिक इंडस्ट्रीज, 694 ई. एरिया, फेस 2, रामवरगार, चंडीगढ़	पानी गर्मने के बिजली के हीटर	आई एम 02082 : 85
161.	9064374	94-09-01	न्यू योर्क केम इस्पात प्रा. लि., देओनघाट, जिला सोलन, देओनघाट-173212	एच डी पी ई पाइप	आई एम 04984 : 87
162.	9064475	94-09-01	टीसीएम स्टील्स (इंडिया), गांव सूरजपुर, पो.—गोहाली, तह.—इंदौर, जिला कांगड़ा गांव—सूरजपुर-1776404	पशु घाहारा	आई एम 02052 : 79
163.	9064576	94-09-51	मुक्ता एग्रो इंडस्ट्रीज, बी-13, ई. ड. फोफल वाइस्ट संगरूर-148001	जिक सल्फेट	आई एम 08249 : 76
164.	9064677	94-09-01	नामक मिर्क फूड इंडिया प्रा. लि. फतेहगढ़ बुरिना, जिला गुर्दासपुर, फतेहगढ़ बुरिना	दूध का पाउडर	आई एम 01165 : 92
165.	9064778	94-09-01	कासेब एग्रीकैमिकल्स (प्रा.) लि., 44 सेंटर 34-ए. फरीदाबाद-131003	पेस्ट मोनोकल्पीटोफास	आई एम 08074 : 90
166.	9064879	94-09-01	जी.एन. इंडस्ट्रीज, प्लॉट नं. 3, नियर रेलवे क्रॉसिंग ई. एरिया, जालंधर	फेरस	आई एम 02692 : 89
167.	9064980	94-09-01	कपालिटी स्टील ट्यूब लि. बिनडीकी रोड, जिला कतेहपुर, कानपुर	बैरहलन इस्पात के पाइप	आई एम 03589 : 91

1	2	3	4	5	6
168.	9065073	94-09-01	मारुति सॉल्ट उद्योग, 112 सिनअई, शाहजहाँपुर-242001	आयोडीनयुक्त नमक	आईएस 07224: 85
169.	9065174	94-09-01	सामना स्टील्स लि., फतेहपुर, पटियाला रोड, सामना-147301	इस्पात की नली	आईएस 01161: 79
170	9065275	94-09-01	सामना स्टील्स लि., फतेहपुर, पटियाला रोड, सामना-147301	गुदु इस्पात की नली	आईएस 01239: 90 भाग: 01
171.	9065376	94-09-01	फ्राऊन डोर डेविल, प्लॉट नं. 110, एम आई ई, जिला रोहतक, बहाबपुरगढ़	बरबाजों के हैंडल	आईएस 00208: 79
172.	9065477	94-09-01	सामना स्टील्स लि., फतेहपुर, पटियाला रोड, सामना-147301	इस्पात नली	आईएस 03601: 84
173	9065578	94-09-01	विनोद मेटल वर्क्स, एस-183, ईड. एरिया, आलमघर	स्वयं बन्द होने वाली टोटियां	आईएस 01711: 84
174.	9065679	94-09-01	नवयुग (इंडिया) लि., जी.टी. रोड बार्ड पास, आलमघर-144009	बी-पट्टा	आईएस 02493: 94 भाग: 01
175.	9065780	94-09-01	सी सी एस इंटरप्रा स, सुवर्णन पार्क, गांव नीमका, पी.ओ. बिचोरी, गुणगांव	विट्थन धातनीय	आईएस 08887: 78
176	9065881	94-09-01	एगिया बेंटर कं. (प्रा.) लि. बी-70 फेस VII ईड. स्टेट, भास नगर	गुष्क बेंटरियां	आईएस: 08144: 76
177.	9065982	94-09-01	बीप इंटरप्राइस, गांव और पो. सीवा, पानीपत	स्तरयुक्त जूट के बोरे	आईएस 07406: 86 भाग: 01
178	9066075	94-09-01	करनाल मिल्क फूड लि., 13वां किमी स्टोन दादूपुर (जी टी रोड) करनाल-132001	दूध का पाउडर	आईएस: 01165: 92
179.	9066176	94-09-01	हिन्दुस्तान इलेक्ट्रोड्स, गांव-जैतपुर, डेरावासी, जिला पटियाला, गांव जैतपुर-140507	टुके हुए एलेक्ट्रोड्स	आईएस: 00814: 91
180	9066277	94-10-01	एलको टूल प्रा. लि. प्लॉट नं. 6 और 7 सैक्टर 5 परबानू	कुंडलीय तार	आईएस: 04800: 68 भाग 05
181.	9066378	94-10-01	के. के. कंक्रीट प्राइवेट्स टिगांव रोड बल्लभगढ़-121004	प्रबलित कंक्रीट पाइप	आईएस: 00458: 88
182.	9066479	94-10-01	अम्बा केमिकल्स इंडस्ट्रीज कोटला हाउस गांव-गुडगांव गुडगांव-121001	कीटाणु रहित द्रव	आईएस 01061: 82
183.	9066580	94-10-01	एडवार्स सीमेंट (प्रा.) लि. फतेहगढ़ तह. छछरोली जिला-यमुना नगर गांव-खौल	सीमेंट ओ.पी. सी.	आईएस: 00269: 89

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
184.	9066681	94-10-01	मार्कसीड एप्रो केमिकल्स 7-8 बी इंड. एरिया सांस नगर (मोहाली)	पेस्ट प्रनिलोफॉस 30% ईसी	आईएस 13403 : 92
185.	9066782	94-10-01	माइम प्रोडक्ट्स लि., मन्टो रोड, जिला अमृतसर, गांव—गोविंदगढ़	बनस्पति	आईएस : 105333 : 86
186.	9066883	94-10-01	मायली ए प्रोकेम एण्ड पेस्टीसाइड्स, पो. ओ. सोहना, जिला गुड़गांव गांव बरोटा	पेस्ट बिबनालफॉस 35% ईसी	आईएस : 08028 : 87
187.	9066984	94-10-01	मावेल एग्रीप्रोडक्ट्स प्रा. लि., ई-25, ओल्ड इंड. एरिया, बहादुरगढ़-124507	पेस्ट सीपरनेवीन 10% ईसी	आईएस 12016 : 87
188.	9067077	94-10-01	नोर्दन मिनरल्स लि., दीलताबाद रोड, गुड़गांव	पेस्ट 2,4 डा-क्वाइल एस्टर 34% ईसा	आईएस 10243 : 93
189.	9067178	94-10-01	मिग्मा सीमेंट लि., पो. ओ. मनसौली, तह. नालागढ़, जिला सोलन, गांव—डेरोबास	सीमेंट ओ. पी. सी.	आईएस 81112 : 89
190.	9067279	94-10-01	इंडो एशियन फ्यूजियुट्स लि., यूनिट—II बाइसेन नकोबर रोड, जालंधर	स्त्रिज और प्यूज	आईएस 04064 : 78
191.	9067380	94-10-01	अशोका होम केयर इक्विपमेंट प्रा. लि., ज्योति पुरा, हिसार	पानी गर्म करने के बिजली के हीटर	आईएस 02082 : 85
192.	9067481	94-10-01	रालसन (इंडिया) लि., रालसन नगर, जी. टी. रोड, तुधियाना	साइकिल और रिक्शा के टायर	आईएस 02414 : 91
193.	9067582	94-10-01	संदीव आई मैनयुफैक्चरिंग कं. 430 एम आई ई, बहादुरगढ़	पेस्ट मोनोक्रोटोफॉस 36% आईएस एस एल	आईएस 08074 : 90
194.	9067683	94-10-01	ऋष सीमेंट कं. (प्रा) लि., गांव—सुनारी, उप तह. ताउर, गुड़गांव	सीमेंट ओ. पी. सी.	आईएस 00269 : 89
195.	9067784	94-10-01	सुपर पार्ट्स लि., 14/1 मथुरा रोड, पी. ओ. अमर नगर, फरीदाबाद	बिजली का इस्तेमाल	आईएस 00382 : 92 भाग : 02
196.	9067885	94-10-01	व ब्रिटिश मशीनरी, 17-ए इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, न्यू टाउनशिप, फरीदाबाद	स्वचालित बिजली की हस्तरी	आईएस 00382 : 92 भाग : 02
197.	9067986	94-10-01	बापीडी प्लास्टिक प्रा. लि., बी-1 से बी-4, बछाराबान इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, राइबरेली	यू पी बी सी पाइप	आईएस 04985 : 88
198.	9068079	94-10-01	लक्ष्मी ओवरसीज इंड. लि., तुधियाना बंड़ीगढ़ रोड, बुधाना	पशु आहार	आईएस 02052 : 79
199.	9068180	94-10-01	लक्ष्मी एप्रो इंडस्ट्रीज प्रा. लि., गांव और पो. नथुपुर, सोनापत 131029	पेस्ट-2-4-डी एनाइलेस्टर	आईएस 08960 : 78
200.	9068281	94-10-01	पिक सिटो सीमेंट प्रा. लि., 6 फि. मा. स्टोन, निजामपुर रोड, नरनौल	सीमेंट गा. पो. सी.	आईएस 00269 : 89
201.	9068382	94-10-01	नोर्दन मिनरल्स लि., दीलताबाद रोड, गुड़गांव	पेस्ट-मिथाइलप्रेशन	आईएस 08960 : 78

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
202.	9068483	94-10-01	पारादेवी पेंटस प्रा. लि., खसरा नं. 635 गांव—नीबस्ताकालान, सम्मुख: नेहा प्राक्सि, बिनहट देवा रोड, लखनऊ—227105	पेंट	आई एस 00133 : 75
203.	9068584	94-10-01	मुरादाबाद दुग्ध उत्पादक सहकारी संघ लि., दलपत पुर, पो. अक्कादीवारी, मुरादाबाद	बसायुक्त दुग्ध आहार	आई एस 01547 : 85
204.	9068685	94-10-01	स्वास्तिक इलेक्ट्रिकल, प्लॉट नं. 17से 20, बाबुआ कालोनी, दाबुआ नवाड़ा रोड, फरीदाबाद	पानी गर्म करने के ब्रिजली के हीटर	आई एस 08978 : 85
205.	9068786	94-10-01	सूर्या ज्योति डेवेस इंडिया प्रा. लि., गांव-छनालीन, पो. कुराली, जिला रोपड़,	सौर संचय प्लेट कक्ष	आई एस 12933 : 82 भाग : 01
206.	9068887	94-10-01	प्राक्स पेट्रो प्राइवेट लि., गांव गजगौर, कुर्सी रोड, जिला बाराबंकी, गांव गजगौर	यू पी वी सी पाइप	आई एस 04985 : 88
207.	9068988	94-10-01	काउन डोर डेवेस, प्लॉट नं. 110, जिला रोहतक, बहापुरगढ़	बरबाजों के बोर्ड	आई एस 02681 : 93
208.	9069081	94-10-01	गुरु नानक इंडस्ट्रीज, 770-न्यू कालोनी, माडल हाउस, जालंधर सिटी	घातुबद्ध बलवां लोहे की पाइप फिटिंग	आई एस 08179 : 87
209.	9069182	94-10-01	स्टैंडर्ड मैकेनिकल वर्क्स, मंडी रोड, जालंधर सिटी	पानी के हीटर	आई एस 08978 : 85
210.	9069283	94-11-01	बनीट मेटल वर्क्स, 172 बी, इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, जालंधर सिटी	गेट, ग्लोब और चेक वाल्व	आई एस 00778 : 84
211.	9069384	94-11-01	एस.पी. मेटल एण्ड स्टील वर्क्स, एम-57, इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, जालंधर	गेट, ग्लोब और चेक वाल्व	आई एस 00778 : 84
212.	9069485	94-11-01	सत्यम बाल्वस, एस आर बिल्डिंग बाई पास, सम्मुख: संत बास, जालंधर	गेट, ग्लोब और चेक वाल्व	आई एस 00778 : 84
213.	9069586	94-11-01	एस. एम. बाल्वस (इंडिया), O/8 इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, जालंधर	गेट, ग्लोब और चेक वाल्व	आई एस 00778 : 84
214.	9069687	94-11-01	सिंगेट इंडिया लि., प्लॉट नं. 4 सिडको इंड. कॉम्प्लेक्स, घाड़ी ब्राह्मण (जे एण्ड .के) 181133	सिन्गार मशीन का सिरा	आई एस 12109 : 87
215.	9069788	94-11-01	करनाल मिलक फूड लि., 134, फि. मो. स्टोन, दादरपुर, जी. टी. रोड, करनाल-132001	मलाई युक्त दूध का पाउडर	आई एस 13334 : 92 भाग : 02
216.	9069889	94-11-01	अनू प्राइवेट लि., टीगांव रोड, ओण्ड फरीदाबाद 211002	इथार्ड एस्टर 34% ईसी	आई एस 10243 : 93
217.	9069990	94-11-01	ओवरसीज केबल्स लि., डी—99 फोकल प्वाइंट, राजपुरा	एन्वुमीनिशम के बालक	आई एस 00398 : 76 भाग : 01
218.	9070066	94-11-01	हर्षिणा प्रमरन इंडस्ट्रीज, 12 इंड. डब. कालोनी, दिल्ली रोड, हिसार	टिन	आई एस 10325 : 89

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
219. 9070167	94-11-01	नवयुग इलेक्ट्रो प्लायासेस, 17-—ईड. बव. कालोती, जालंधर रोड, होशियारपुर	बिजली की हस्तरी	आई एस 00302 : 92 भाग : 02	
220. 9070268	94-11-01	समन इंजिनियरिंग कार्पोरेशन, एस—117 इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, जालंधर 144004	गेट, ग्लोब, चेक वाल्व	आई एस 00778 : 84	
221. 9070369	94-11-01	सराफ इंडस्ट्रीज, भटिंवा रोड, रामपुरा फूल 151103	पानी के मीटर	आई एस 13010 : 90	
222. 9070470	94-11-01	नेक्सो इंडस्ट्रीज, ई-91—92 फोकल प्वाइंट, लुधियाना	वोल्ट और नेट	आई एस 03757 : 85	
223. 9070571	94-11-01	रूप इंडस्ट्रियल कार्पोरेशन, ई—9 (बी) इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, पानीपत 132103	गेट, ग्लोब और चेक वाल्व	आई एस 00778 : 84	

[सं. के. प्र. वि. / 13 : 11]
स. क. कर्मकार, अपर महानिदेशक

MINISTRY OF CIVIL SUPPLIES, CONSUMER AFFAIRS & PUBLIC DISTRIBUTION

(Bureau of Indian Standards)

New Delhi, the 9th June, 1995..

S.O. 1874:—In pursuance of sub-regulation (5) of the Bureau of Indian Standards (Certification Regulations), 1988 the Bureau of Indian Standards, hereby notifies the grant of licences particulars of which are given in the following schedule.

THE SCHEDULE

Sl. No	CM L—No.	Operative Date	Name and Address of the Party	Article/Process covered by the licence	IS : No./Part
1	2	3	4	5	6
1. 5030741	94-09-01	Utkal Industries, San Brahmani Trarang, Dist. : Sundergarh Kakunga 770031.			IS : 01729 : 79
2. 5030842	94-09-01	Techno Fill Industries, 35, Aghore Sarani, P.O. Rajpur, 24—Parganas (South) Rajpur, 24-Parganas (South).	Electric lamps		IS : 00418 : 78
3. 5030943	94-09-01	Protima Lamp Industries, 7E, Sura Cross Lane, Calcutta 700010	Electric lamps		IS : 00418 : 78
4. 5031036	94-10-01	Penguin Paper Plast (P) Ltd., BN/32, Industrial Estate, Kalinga Kalunga, Orissa 770031.	Packages of explosives		IS : 10212 : 86 Part : 01
5. 5031137	94-10-01	Anglo India Jute Mills Co. Ltd., Jagatdal, 24-Parganas (North), West Bengal 24-Parganas (North)	Jute bags		IS : 01943 : 64
6. 5031238	94-10-01	Laxmi Business & Cement Co. (P) Limited, Vill. & P.O. Morangi, Dist. : Hazaribagh Bihar Morangi, Hazaribagh 825301	Portland slag cement		IS : 00455 : 89

1	2	3	4	5	6
7.	5031339	941001	Laxmi Business & Cement Co. (P) Limited, Vill. & P.O. Morangi, Dist : Hazaribagh, Bihar Morangi, Hazaribagh-825301	Ordinary portland cement	IS 00269 : 89
8.	5031440	941001	Trishul Cement (P) Ltd., Post - Chikotmoti (Via-Kalunga) Dist : Sundargarh Sundargarh-770031	Portland slag cement	IS 00455 : 89
9.	5031541	940801	Kalyanpur Cements Ltd., At & P.O. Banjari Rohtas-821303	Ordinary portland cement	IS 12269 : 87
10.	5031642	941001	Orissa Cement Ltd., Rajgangpur, Sundargarh (Orissa) Rajganpur-770017	Ordinary portland cement	IS 12269 : 87
11.	5031743	941001	National Carbon Co., Cossipore Unit 5, Rustomjee Parsec Road, Calcutta-700002.	Dry batteries	IS 09128 : 79
12.	5031844	941001	Presidency Rubber Mills Pvt. Ltd., 11, New Tangra Road, Calcutta-700045	Rubber air hose	IS 09446 : 87
13.	5031945	941001	The Sun Rolling Mills Private Limited, 23, Duffer's Street, Liluah, Howrah.	HSD steel bars and wires	IS 01786 : 85
14.	5032038	941001	Binoy Udyog Pvt Ltd., Village & P.O. Unsani, P.S. Jagacha, Dist. Howrah, Jagacha, Howrah	Sand cast iron spigot and socket soil waste and ventilating	IS 01729 : 79
15.	5032240	941001	Duro Pipes Pvt. Ltd., Plot No. 51/A, Sector-A, Zone-A, Mancheswar Industrial Estate, Rasulgarh, Bhubaneswar-7510101	PVC screen and casing pipes for bore/tubewell	IS 12818 : 92
16.	5032341	941001	Kamala Iron Foundry 13, Gadadhar Bhatta Road, Lilluah, Lilluah, Howrah	HSD steel bars and wires	IS 01786 : 85
17.	5032442	941001	Agro Implements 23/2 Duffer Street, Liluah Howrah-711204	Powrals	IS 01759 : 86
18.	5032543	941001	UICI Industries (P) Ltd. Mollarhat, Agarpara North 24-Parganas Agarpara, North 24-Parganas	Uncoated stress relieved strand	IS 06006 : 85
19.	5032644	941001	Nikon Industries 220, Naska para Road, Ghusuri, Howrah-711107	Domestic pressure cookers	IS 02347 : 87
20.	6049363	940701	Mahendra Engineering Works 6/733 PN Palayam Road, Puliakulam Coimbatore 641045.	Motors for submersible pumpsets	IS 09283 : 79

1	2	3	4	5	6
21. 6049464	94-07-01	Excellent Flavours and Faragrance 252-B, Marutha Konar Street Velandipalayam Coimbatore 641025	Coal tar food colour	IS 05346 : 75	
22. 6049565	94-07-01	Aluminium Industries Ltd., Steel Products Division No. 1, Ceramic Factory Road, Kundara 691501	Plain Hard Drawn Steel Wire for prestressed concrete	IS 01785 : 83 Part : 02	
23. 6049666	94-07-01	Bharani Pumps 36, Kasthuri Nilayam Avarampalayam Coimbatore 641006	Single phase small AC electric motor	IS 00999 : 79	
24. 6049767	94-07-01	HMP Cement Ltd., Shahabad Gulbarga District Shahabad 585229	OPC	IS 12269 : 89	
25. 6049868	94-07-01	Irrigation Products International (P) Ltd., 6-D, Karadipallam Road, Ottupatturai Coonoor P.O. The Nilgiris Coonoor.	Irrigation laterals	IS 12786 : 89	
26. 6049969	94-08-01	Sunshine Lamps B-8, Mysore Lamp Complex Tamaka Industrial Area Kolar 563101	GLS lamps	IS 00418 : 78	
27. 6050045	94-08-01	Hari Industries 3 Dhanalakshmi Nagar Sidhapudur Coimbatore 641044	Jet centrifugal pump	IS 12225 : 87	
28. 6050146	94-08-01	SVS Oil Mills B/12 Thiruvottinur High Road 8/12 Thiruvottrur High Road Madras 600019	Tins	IS 10325 : 89	
29. 6050247	94-07-01	Modi Steel Wire Manufacturing Company Plot No. 15 Industrial Estate Ambattur Madras 600058	Pre-stressed concrete	IS 06006 : 83	
30. 6050348	94-08-01	Power Tech Industries Development Plot Industrial Estate South Kalamassery Cochin 683109	PVC insulated and sheathed single core cables	IS 00694 : 90	
31. 6050449	94-07-01	Hyderabad wire and Allied Products P/6, Industrial Development Area Naoharam Hyderabad 501507	Mild steel wire	IS 00280 : 78	
32. 6050550	94-08-01	Rikhi Industries 2-3-761, Amberpet Hyderabad 500013	Biscuits	IS 01011 : 92	

1	2	3	4	5	6
33. 6050651	94-07-01	L.C.K. Cements Pvt. Ltd. Sagar Road Macherla Guntur District (A.P.) Macherla 522426	OPC		IS 008112 : 89
34. 6050752	94-08-01	Balaji Steel Corporation Ltd. Chemudugunta Village Venkatachalam Mandal Nellore District (A.P.)	HSD steel bars		IS 01786 : 85
35. 6050853	94-08-01	Himalaya Cements Private Limited Chintalapalem Desapatrunipalem Post Kothavalasa Mandalam Vijayanagaram Dist. (A.P.)	Portland slag cement		IS 00455 : 89
36. 6050954	94-08-01	Safe Helmets & Accessories Survey No. 10/2, B, Vengurla Road Hindalga Belgaum 591108	Helmets		IS 04151 : 82
37. 6051047	94-08-01	Drums & Barrels (Madras) Pvt. Ltd. 41 Vaidyanatha Mudali Street Tondiarpet Madras 600081	Drums		IS 01783 : 88 Part : 02
38. 6051148	94-08-01	Katav Knitting Mills 152, Daarapuram Road P.B. No. 371 Tirupur 638604	Vcet. cotton, plain knitted		IS 04964 : 91
39. 6051249	94-08-01	Sri Lakshana Equipments 1279-A, Avanashi Road Coimbatore 641004	Electric motors		IS 00996 : 79
40. 6051350	94-08-01	D.B. Paper Loats Pvt Ltd D-9, IDA, Pashmylaram [Patancheru Mandal Medak District (A.P.) Patancheru Mandal	Carbon paper		IS 03450 : 76
41. 6051451	94-08-01	D.B. Paper Coats Pvt. Ltd. D-9, IDA, Pashamailaram Patancheru Mandal Medak (A.P.) Patancheru Mandal	Carbon paper		IS 01551 : 91
42. 6051552	94-08-01	KSG Industrials 4-A, VOC Street, Br Puram Peelamedu Coimbatore 641004	Indfiction motors		IS 00325 : 78
43. 6051653	94-08-01	Venkatsai Pulverisers Nallabanda Gudem Chimiryala Ramapuram X Road Kodad Mandal Nalgonda District (A.P.)	Ordinary portland cement		IS 08112 : 89
44. 6051754	94-08-01	Krupam Engineering Pvt Ltd Plot No. D-66, Phase-I, IDA Jeedimetla Hyderabad	Submersible pumpsets		IS 08034 : 89

1	2	3	4	5	6
45.	6051855	94-08-01	Futnani Steels Pvt Ltd S. NO. 797, 798, Babaguda Road, Shamirpet Mandal Shamirpet	Electrically welded steel pipes	IS 03589 :91
46.	6051956	94-08-01	Beta Industries Ltd Ponneri High Road Gounder Palayam Village S.R. Palayam Post Minjur (Via) Minjur 601203	Drums	IS 01783 :88
47.	6052049	94-08-01	Geneva Knitters 16, Stanes Road 1st Street Tirupur 638602	Vest, cotton, plain knitted	IS 04064 : 91
48.	6052150	94-08-16	Kaviraj Appliances Pvt Ltd Plot No. 245 3rd Phase Peenya Industrial Area Bangalore 560058	Wrought aluminium utensils	IS 01660 : 82 Part : 01
49.	6052251	94-08-16	Bellary Lamps Plot No. 10 Industrial Area Anantapur Road Bellary	GLS lamps	IS 00418 : 78
50.	6052352	94-08-16	Bharath Industries B-10, Mysore Lamps Ancillary Complex Tamaka Industrial Estate Kolar 563101	GLS lamps	IS 00418 : 78
51.	6052453	94-08-16	Kinnera Steels Pvt Ltd Industrial Estate Kothagudem Khammam District Hyderabad 507101	Steel	IS 02062 : 92
52.	6052554	94-08-16	Kinnera Steel Pv . Ltd., Industrial Estate Kothagudem Khammam District Hyderabad 507101	HSD steel bars	IS 01786: 85
53.	6052655	94-08-01	Associated Engineering Works Chivatam Road PB No. 17 Hyderabad Tanuku 534211	Solid bio mass chulha	IS 13152 : 91 Part : 01
54.	6052756	94-08-16	Vasudeva Cements Ltd., Satyapuri Choutapally Village Nattampalli Mandal Nalgonda Dtnalgonda	Ordinary portland cement	IS 08112 : 89
55.	6052857	94-08-16	G K Pulverisers Ramapuram X Road Nallabandagudem Chimeryala Village Kodad Mandal Nalgonda 508206	Ordinary portland cement	IS 08112 : 89
56.	6052958	94-08-01	Sri Venkateshwara Lamps B-16, Mysore Lamp Ancillary Complex Tamaka Industrial Estate, Kolar 563101	GLS lamps	IS 00418 : 78

1	2	3	4	5	6
57.	6053051	94-08-01	NA Tool Room Plot No. 4 New Bhoiguda Secunderabad Secunderabad 500003	Deepwell handpumps	IS 13056 : 91
58.	6053152	94-08-01	Trans Valves (India) Private Ltd., 15-B, Sree Venkateshwara Co-op Indl Estate IDA Jeedi Metla Hyderabad 500855	Regulators	IS 09798 : 81
59.	6053253	94-08-01	Ashikin Die Castings D-148, IDA Jeedimetla Rangareddy District Secunderabad Ranga Reddy	Regulators	IS 09798 : 81
60.	6053354	94-08-16	Prakash Engineering Enterprises A-193, Peenya Industrial Estate Bangalore 560058	Deepwell hand pumps	IS 13056 : 91
61.	6053455	94-08-01	Madanlal Steels & Forgings Ltd., 47 Morrison Street Alandur Madras 600016.	Steel bars	IS 01786 : 85
62.	6053556	94-08-01	Nilgiris Cements (P) Ltd., Kallakudi Post Lalgudi TK Trichy 621652	Ordinary portland cement	IS 08112 : 89
63.	6053657	94-08-01	"Devi Pesticides P Ltd., S. No. 737/5 Sevugampatti Dindigul Road, Batlagundu 624202	Malathion	IS 02567 : 78
64.	6053758	94-08-01	Brooke Bond Lipton India Ltd., 50/5 Sipcot Industrial Complex Hosur 635125	Soluble coffee chicovy powder	IS 03309 : 92
65.	6053859	94-08-01	Creative Aromatics P Ltd., 26 Ekkambara Naicker Industrial Estate Allapakkam Porur Madras 600116	Caramel	IS 04467 : 80 Part : 01
66.	6053960	82-3-01	Creative Aromatics P Ltd., 26 Ekkambara Industrial Estate Allapakkam Porur Madras 600116	Coaltar	IS 05346 : 75
67.	6054053	94-08-01	Prakash Industries B-4, Mysore Lamps Ancillary Complex Tamaka Industrial Estate Kolar 563101	GLS lamps	IS 00418 : 78
68.	6054154	94-08-01	Rainbow Lamps Pvt. Ltd., B-6, Mysore Lamp Ancillary Complex Tamaka Industrial Kolar.	GLS Lamps	IS 00418 : 78
69.	6054255	94-08-01	Murthy Paints P. Ltd 19 I Main Road Industrial Town Opp KSSIDC Rajajinagar Bangalore 560044		IS 05410 : 69
70.	6056865	94-09-01	Malampuzha Cements & Chemicals Pvt. Ltd. 870/871 New Industrial Development Area, Kanjikode Palakkad 678621	OPC	IS 00269 : 89

1	2	3	4	5	6
71. 6056966	94-09-01	Greygold Cements Limited Mattampally Village Huzurnagar Taluk Nalgond District (A.P.) Nalgonda District	OPC		IS 00269 : 89
72. 6057059	94-09-01	Sri Simhadri Cements Ltd Annamaraju Peta Jami Mandal Vizianagaram Dist. (A.P.) Vizianagaram Distt.	OPC		IS 08112 : 89
73. 6057160	94-09-01	Gowrava Pumps 88, Nadar Street Naicker Thottam Coimbatore	Jet centrifugal pump		IS 12225 : 87
74. 6057261	94-08-01	Premier Instruments and Controls Ltd. P.B. No. 4209, Perianaiockenpalayam Coimbatore 641020	Anemometer		IS 05912 : 70
75. 6057362	94-09-01	Maya Appliances 8-B, Boat Club I Avenue R.A. Puram Madras 600028	Domestic electric food mixers		IS 04250 : 80
76. 6057463	94-09-01	Pumba Rubbers (P) Limited Aruvappulam Post Konnj Taluk Pathanamthitta District Aruvappulam 689691	Raw natural rubber		IS 04383 : 86
77. 6057564	94-09-01	Hyderabad Cylinders Pvt. Ltd Handigoan Village Kothur Mandal Mahabubnagar Dist. (A.P.)	LPG cylinders		IS 03196 : 92
78. 6057665	94-09-01	Stencils & Stencils Ltd 8-124, IDA, Nacharam Hyderabad 501507	Stencil paper		IS 05086 : 93
79. 6057766	94-09-01	Aggar Paints Pvt. Ltd. 509-A George Road Tuticorin 628003	Cement paint		IS 05410 : 92
80. 6057867	94-09-01	Voltas Limited Patancheru Medak District (A.P.) Patancheru 6057867	Monocrotophos 36% SL		IS 08074 : 90
81. 6057968	94-09-01	Voltas Limited Patancheru Medak District (A.P.) Patancheru 502319	Monocrotophos (tech)		IS 08025 : 90
82. 6058061	94-09-01	Bhuvana Polymers Pvt. Ltd F-13 SIPCOI Industrial Complex Manamadurai 623606	UPVC pipes		IS 04985 : 88
83. 6058162	94-09-01	Mahee Engineering Company 28 Ammankulam Road P.N. Palayam Coimbatore 641037	Jet centrifugal pump		IS 12225 : 87
84. 6058263	94-09-01	Premier Pressure Pipes Ltd B-42, SIPCOI Industrial Complex Gummipoondi 601201	A.C. sheets		IS 00459 : 92
85. 6058364	94-09-01	Chitra Electricals 134/1A, Trichy Road, Kannampalayam Post Via Sular Coimbatore 641402	Pumpsets		IS 08034 : 89

1	2	3	4	5	6
86. 6058465	94-09-01	Marshia Lamps B4/17, Maraimalainagar Industrial Complex Maraimalainagar Chengal MGR District Maraimalainagar 603209	GLS lamps		IS 00418 : 78
87. 6058566	94-09-01	Sri Vishnu Cements Limited Sitapuram Dondapadu Post Mellacheruvu Mandal Nalgonda District (A.P.)	OPC		IS 00269 : 89
88. 6058667	94-09-01	National Engineering Corporation 58/2, Supreme House Subramanyapura Road Bangalore 560050	Refills		IS 05490 : 77
89. 6058768	94-09-01	Coromandal Cements Limited Ramapuram Village Kodad Taluk Nalgonda District Mellachervu Mandal 508246	OPC		IS 12269 : 87
90. 6058869	94-09-01	Monita Chemical Laboratories 5AC/202, 2nd Main Road 3rd Block HRBR Layout Bangalore 560084	Coaltar		IS 05346 : 75
91. 6058970	94-10-01	Tansi Tool & Engineering Works Industrial Estate Ariyamangalam Trichy 620010	Deepwell hand pumps		IS 13056 : 91
92. 6059063	94-09-01	Travancore Chemical & Mfg. Co. Ltd. Mullakad Tuticorin 628007	COC WDP		IS 01507 : 77
93. 6059164	94-09-01	Vaigai Agro Chemicals 73/2, Puthur Itteri Road Nethimedu	Monocrotophos 36% EC		IS 08074 : 90
94. 6059265	94-09-01	Vaigai Agro Chemicals 73/2, Puthur Itteri Road Nethimedu Salem 636002	Endosulfan 35% EC		IS 04323 : 80
95. 6059366	94-09-01	Vaigai Agro Chemicals 73/2, Puthur Itteri Road Nethimedu Salem 636002 Salem 636002	Quinalphos 25% EC		IS 08028 : 87
96. 6059467	94-09-01	Nagarjuna Agro and Steel Corporation K.C. Works Tadepalli Guntur District (A.P.) Tadepalli 522502	Quinalphos 25% EC		IS 08028 : 87
97. 6059568	94-09-01	Nagarjuna Agro & Steel Corporation K.C. Works, Tadepalli Guntur District (A.P.) Tadepalli 522502	Monocrotophos 36% SL		IS 08074 : 90
98. 6059669	94-09-01	Nagarjuna Agro and Steel Corporation K.C. Works, Tadepalli Guntur District (A.P.) Tadepalli 522502	Endosulfan 35% EC		IS 04323 : 80
99. 6059770	94-09-01	Nagarjuna Agro and Steel Corporation K.C. Works, Tadepalli Guntur District (A.P.) Tadepalli 52250	Envalerate 20% EC		IS 11997 : 87

1	2	3	4	5	6
100. 6059871	94-09-01	Lakshaya Aromatics (P) Ltd. 1/1 V.G. Building, Mount Poonamallee Road, Nandambakkam Madras 600089	Liquid Coal tar	IS 05346 : 75	
101. 6059972	94-10-01	Karnataka Water Pumps Pvt. Ltd 13-B, Attibele Industrial Area Neralur P.O. Anekal Taluk Bangalore Dist. Neralur 562107	Deepwell hand pumps	IS 13287 : 92	
102. 6060048	94-10-01	Mekuba Petroleum India Pvt Ltd 4D/3, Third Main Road, Industrial Estate, Ambattur Madras 6060048	Insulating oil	IS 00335 : 83	
103. 6060149	94-10-01	Nartan Electrical Industries Pvt Ltd. F-79, SIPCOT Industrial Complex Gummidipoondi Gummidipoondi 601201	Aluminium alloy conductor	IS 00398 : 79 Part : 04	
104. 6060250	94-10-01	Alert Fire Services 46, 17 'C' Main VI Block Kathalipalya Koramangala Bangalore 560034	Portable fire extinguishers	IS 02878 : 86	
105. 6060351	94-10-01	Electrical & General Wood Industries K-6, IDA, Uppal Hyderabad 500039	Wooden flush door shutters	IS 02202 : 91	
106. 6060452	94-09-01	Visakhapatnam Steel Plant Project Office Complex Visakhapatnam (A.P.) 530031	Steel	IS 01977 : 75	
107. 6060553	94-09-01	Visakhapatnam Steel Plant Project Office Complex Visakhapatnam 530031	Carbon steel billets	IS 02831 : 75	
108. 6060654	94-10-01	Hyderabad Plywood Industries (P) Ltd. Nacharam Industrial Area Nacharam Hyderabad 501507	Wooden flush door shutters	IS 02202 : 91	
109. 6060755	94-10-01	S.S. Drums Pvt. Ltd. Development Area Edayar Muppathadam P.O. Alwaye 683502	Drums	IS 01783 : 88 Part : 02	
110. 6060856	94-10-01	Ucal Power Systems Ltd. E-16, Industrial Complex Maraimalai Nagar Chingleput District Maraimalainagar	Engines	IS 07347 : 74	
111. 8069480	94-10-01	Gaylord Electrical Inds. A-66, Group Indl. Area Wazirpur Delhi 110052	Stationary storage type electric water heater class I	IS 02082 : 85	
112. 8069581	94-10-01	Vaishno Electricals 359, Ram Nagar Krishna Nagar Delhi 110051	Push Buttons Switches	IS 06875 : 73 Part : 02	
113. 8069682	94-10-01	Pankaj Cements Ltd. Keshwana Rajpur National Highway No. 08 Kotputli 303108	O P C grade 43	IS 08112 : 89	

1	2	3	4	5	6
114. 8069783	94-10-01	Mansi Electrical Industrials No.-I, G.T. Karnal Road Indl. Area Delhi-110033	Safety requirements of electric immersion water heater 1.0 KW 230 V AC	IS 00302 : 92 Part : 02	
115. 8069884	94-10-01	Vardhman Home Appliances Pvt. Ltd. 26, Badli Indl. Area Phase-II Delhi-110042	Electric Iron	IS 00302 : 92 Part : 02	
116. 8069985	94-10-01	Gupta Traders 10319, Manak Pura Karol Bagh New Delhi-110005	Safety requirements of electric Iron 750 W, 230 V thermostatic with aluminium alloy sole plate	IS 00302 : 92 Part : 02	
117. 8070061	94-10-01	National Electricals 8572-A, Bhar Ghar Roshnara Road Delhi-110007	Safety requirements of electric Iron 750 W 230 V	IS 00302 : 92 Part : 02	
118. 8070162	94-10-01	Comforts Appliances 10292, Manak Pura Karol Bagh New Delhi-110005	Safety requirements of electric Iron 750 W 230 V Thermo static with cast iron sole plate	IS 00302 : 92 Part : 02	
119. 8070263	94-10-01	Gupta Tractors 10319, Manak Pura Karol Bagh New Delhi-110051	Safety requirements of electrical immersion water heater 1.0 & 1.5 KW 230 V	IS 00302 : 92 Part : 02	
120. 8070364	94-10-01	Shri Durga Inds. (Regd.) 37, Ram Nagar Street No. 2 Pahar Ganj New Delhi	Water Heater	IS 00302 : 92 Part : 02	
121. 8070465	94-10-01	Malhotra Electrical Inds. 6/3 Singh Sabha Road, Subzi Mandi, Delhi-110007	Safety requirements of electrical immersion water heater 1.0 & 1.5 K.W.	IS : 00302 : 92 Part : 02	
122. 8070566	94-10-01	Star Electricals (India), B 31/2, Group Indl. Area Wazirpur, Delhi-110052	Water Heater	IS : 00302 : 92 Part : 02	
123. 8070667	94-10-01	Advanced Appliances E-18, Sector-XI Noida-201301	Electrical immersion water heater	IS : 00368 : 92	
124. 8070768	94-10-01	Nagpal Electric Radio Co., C-108, Indl. Area, Phase-I New Delhi-110028	Safety requirements of electric iron 750 W.	IS : 00302 : 92 Part : 02	
125. 8070869	94-10-01	System Appliances Co., 408/5A/1, Gali No. 22 Bai Basti Anand Parbat, New Delhi-110005	Water Heater.	IS : 02082: 85	
126. 8070970	94-10-01	Pratiksha Electricals (India), 3004-A, Sant Nagar, Shakur Basti Delhi-110034	3 Pin plug 6A 240 V AC, 3 Pin socket flux type 6A 240 V AC	IS 01273 : 88	
127. 8071063	94-10-01	Indus Tubes Ltd., G.T. Road, Chhaprola, Ghaziabad.	Steel Tubes used for water well.	IS : 00270 : 92	
128. 8071164	94-10-01	Amba Steels (A unit of K.P. Steel Product & Ltd.), 7th KM, Meerut Road, Muzzaffar Nagar (U.P.).	High Strength deformed steel bars for concrete reinforcement of sizes B,8 , 10,12,16,20 & 25 mm.	IS : 01786 : 85	

1	2	3	4	5	6
129.	8071265	94-10-01	B.R. Sons WZ-110, Shakurpur Village, Delhi-110034	GL.S. lamps 100 W, 230V,	IS : 00418 : 78
130.	8071366	94-10-01	Essay Home Appliances XII/10731, 1st Floor Partap Nagar.	Safety requirement of electric Iron thermostatic with aluminium alloy sole plate 750w	IS : 00302 : 92 Part : 02
131.	8071467	94-10-01	Nuheat Electricals H-56, Udyog Nagar, Rohtak Road, New Delhi-110041	Mineral filed sheathed heating element for air heating 2 KW 240 V 50 Hz AC	IS : 04159 : 83
132.	8071568	94-10-01	Kunal Industries Indl. Area, Station Road, Pandhurna, Chhindwara-480334	Enamelled round winding wires for elevated temperatures.	IS : 04800 : 68 Part : 05
133.	8071669	94-10-01	A.B.S. Polyplast 10/A (Part), New Indl. Area, Rawabhata, Raipur (M.P.)-493221	Conduit for electrical installations,	IS : 09537 : 83 Part : 03
134.	8071770	94-10-01	Peekay Enterprises 725-A, Palam Najafgarh Road Palam Village New Delhi-110045	PVC insulated cables	IS : 00694 : 90
135.	8071871	94-10-01	Supreme Electricals 63, Najafgarh Road, New Delhi-110015	Electric Iron	IS : 00302 : 92 Part : 02
136.	8071972	94-10-01	Engineering Technico (India), 619/80, Chhatarpur, Mehrauli, New Delhi-110030	Single phase 11 W. 230 V 50 HZ small AC motor with class E insulation (1350 rpm).	IS : 00996 : 79
137.	8072065	94-10-01	K.E.I. Industries, Unit No. 2, F-4, Okhla Indl. Area Phase-I New Delhi-110020	PVC insulated (Heavy duty) electric cable.	IS : 01554 : 88 Part : 01
138.	8072166	94-10-01	Kapoor Mfr., Corpn. WZ-361, Shakurpur Village Delhi-110034	Tungsten Filament general service electric lamp	IS : 00418 : 78
139.	8072267	94-10-01	H.P. Boxes A.B. Road opposite General Foods Mangliya, Indore.	Sq. Tins 15 Kg. for ghee,	IS : 10325 : 89
140.	8072368	94-10-01	Dovy Electrical A-120/1, Group Indl. Area Wazirpur, Delhi-110052.	Electric immersion water heater 1.0 KW 230 V, AC 50 HZ	IS 00368 : 92
141.	8072469	94.10-01	Rolex Electropducts (P) Ltd. G.I-118 Phase-II, Mayapuri, New Delhi-110064	Electric Cable	IS 01554 : 88 Part : 01
142.	8072570	94-10-01	Kiran Cement Industries Village Guriya Teh. Riapur, Pali (M.P.)	OPC Grade 33	IS 00269 : 89
143.	8072671	94-10-01	Elegant Electrical Inds. Delhi Road, Near Vill. Champuri Hapur-245501	Stationery storage type water heater	IS 02082 : 85
144.	8072772	94-10-01	Sorabh Cement Ltd. Vill. Jhalra (Maonda) Teh. Neem Ka Thana Sikar (Raj) 332713	OPC Grade 43	IS 08112 : 89

1	2	3	4	5	6
145.	8072873	94-10-01	B K Enterprises A-23, Gali No. 4, Anand Parbat Indl. Area New Delhi-110005	Safety requirement of electric immersion water heater 1.0 to 20 KW 23 V 50 HZ AC	IS 00302 : 92 Part : 02
146.	8072974	94-10-01	Shri Sudhanshu Electricals Block No. , Plot No. 9 , Vishwas Nagar, Sahadara, Delhi-110032.	G.L.S. Lamps	IS 00418 : 78
147.	8073067	94-10-01	Shri Ram industries 10/55, Behind Honey Bread Mokhamu pur Phase-I, Delhi Road, Meerut.	Malleable Cast Iron Pipe Fittings	IS 01879 : 87
148.	8073168	94-10-01	Besco Electricals (India) 149, Delhi Road, Near Old Chungi	Ordinary vented type 2 KW 200 V 50 HZ with copper container with following capacities: (a) 25 liters capacity (b) 35 liters capacity.	IS 02082 : 85
149.	8073269	94-10-01	Tilak Foundary Near Tonk Fatak Sanganer Road, Jaipur-302015	Industrial (LD.) Sewing Machine	IS 12109 : 87
150.	8073370	94-10-01	Shree Shantinath Electricals Mohan Complex, H-Block Market, Ashok Vihar, Phase-I Delhi-110052.	Electric Iron	IS 00302 : 92 Part : 02
151.	9063372	94-09-01	Hindustan wire Products Ltd. Factory Area, Patiala-147001	Enamelled round winding wire	IS 04800 : 68 Part : 05
152.	9063473	94-09-01	Crown Door Devices Plot No. 110, M.I.E., Distt. Rohtak, Bahadurgarh.	Tower bolts	IS 00204 : 92 Part : 02
153.	0963574	94-09-01	Metal Industrial Corporation Old Mandi Road, Jalandhar.	Ferrule	IS 02692 : 89
154.	9063675	94-09-01	K.C. Cement Industries Ltd. Vill. : Phoolpur Mithaspur Post : Chailat, Teh. Amroha Distt. Moradabad. Phoolpur Mithaspur.	Cement portland slag	IS 00455 : 89
155.	9063776	94-09-01	Anuj Cement Industries (P) Ltd. Dhaura Kuan, Teh. Paonta Sahib, Dist. Sirmour Dhaura Kuan-173001	Cement OPC	IS 08112 : 89
156.	9063877	94-09-01	Gayatri Agrochem & Pesticides Vill. : Barota, PO : Sohna Distt. Gurgaon,	Pest-dimethoate	IS 03903 : 84
157.	9063978	94-09-01	Sonia Overseas (P) Ltd. 34, Indl. Area, Phase-I, Distt. Ambala, Panchkula-134109	Zinc sulphate	IS 08249 : 76
158.	9064871	94-09-01	Garuda Clays Pvt Ltd Vill: Jaliawas, Teh: Bawal, Dist . Rewari, 98.4 km stone on Delhi-Jaipur Road Vill Jaliawas	Cement—OPC	IS 08112 : 89

1	2	4	4	5	6
159. 9064172	94-09-01	Ship Switchgears (India) 23, Basant Nagar, Sodal Road, Jalandhar 144004	Switches	IS 04064 : 78 Part : 01	
160. 9064273	94-09-01	Electricals and Electronics Industries 694, Indl. Area, Phase II Ramdarbar Chandigarh	Electric water heater	IS 02082 : 85	
161. 9064374	94-09-01	Suryadev Chem Ispat Pvt. Ltd. Deonghat, Distt. Solan, Deonghat 173212	HDPE pipes	IS 04984 : 87	
162. 9064475	94-09-01	TCM Steels (India) Vill : Surajpur, PO : Mohli, Teh. Indora, Distt. Kangra Vill Surajpur 176404	Cattle feed	IS 02052 : 77	
163. 9064576	94-09-01	Gupta Agro Industries B-13, Indl. Fcoal Point Sangrur 148001	Zinc sulphate	IS 08249 : 76	
164. 9064677	94-09-01	Nanak Milkfood India Pvt Limited Fatehgarh Churina Distt. Gurdaspur Fatehgarh Churian	Milk powder	IS 01165 : 92	
165. 9064778	94-09-01	Cashew Agrichemicals (P) Ltd. 44, Sector 27-A, Faridabad 121003	Pest-monocrotophos	IS 08074 : 90	
166. 9064879	94-09-01	G.N. Industries Plot No. 3, Near Railway Crossing, Indl Area, Jalandhar	Ferule	IS 02692 : 89	
167. 9064980	94-09-01	Quality Steel Tube Ltd. Bindki Raod, Distt. Fatehpur, Kanpur	Welded steel pipe	IS 03589 : 91	
168. 9065073	94-09-01	Maruti Salt Udyog 112, Siuzai, Shahjahanpur 242001	Iodized salt	IS 07224 : 85	
169. 9065174	94-09-01	Samana Steels Ltd. Fatehpur Patiala Road Samana 147301	Steel tube	IS 01161 : 79	
170. 9065275	94-09-01	Samana Steels Ltd. Fatehpur Patiala Road Samana 147301	MS tubes	IS 01239 : 90 Part : 01	
171. 9065376	94-09-01	Crown Door Devices Plot No. 110, MIE, Distt. Rohtak Bahadurgarh	Door handles	IS 00208 : 79	
172. 9065477	94-09-01	Samana Steel Ltd. Fatehpur Patiala Road Samana 147101	Steel tubes	S 03601 : 84	

1	2	3	4	5	6
173. 9065578	94-09-01	Vinod Metal Works S-183, Indl. Area Jalandhar	Self closing taps	IS 01711 : 84	
174. 9065679	99-09-01	Navyug (India) Limited G.T. Road, Bye Pass, Jalandhar 144009	V-belts	IS 02493 : 94 Part : 01	
175. 9065780	94-09-01	VCS Enterprises Sudarshan Park, Vill : Neemka PO : Bichore Gurgaon	Bitumen emulsion	IS 08887 : 78	
176. 9065881	94-09-01	Asahi Battery Co (P) Ltd. B-70, Phase VII, Ind. Estate, SAS Nagar	Dry batteries	IS 08144 : 76	
177. 9065982	94-09-01	Deep Enterprises Vill & PO Siwah, Panipat	Laminated jute bags	IS 07406 : 86 Part : 01	
178. 9066075	94-07-01	Karnal Milk Foods Ltd. 134 KM stone, Dadupur (GT Road) Karnal 132001	Milk powder	IS 01165 : 92	
179. 9066176	94-09-01	Hindustan Electrodes Vill : Janetpur, Derabassi, Distt. Patiala Vill. Janetpur 140507	Covered electrodes	IS 00814 : 91	
180. 9066277	94-10-01	Alco Tools Pvt. Lt . Plot No. 6 & 7, Sector 5 Parwanoo	Winding wires	IS 04800 : 68 Part : 05	
181. 9066378	94-10-01	K.K. Concrete Products Tigaon Road, Ballabgarh 121004	Precast concrete pipes	IS 00458 : 88	
182. 9066472	94-10-01	Amba Chemical Industries Kotla House, Vill : Gurgaon Gurgaon 121001	Disinfectant fluid	IS 01061 : 82	
183. 9066580	94-10-01	Advance Cement Co. (P) Ltd. Fatehgarh, Teh. Chhachhrauli Distt. Yamunanagar Vill : Khol	Cement-OPC	IS 00269 : 89	
184. 9066681	94-10-01	Markfed Agro Chemicals 7-8B, Indl. Area, SAS Nagar (Mohali)	Pest-anilophos 30% EC	IS 13403 : 92	
185. 9066782	94-10-01	Prime Proteins Limited Malout Road, Distt. Abohar, Vill : Gobindgarh	Vanaspati	IS 10533 : 86	
186. 9066883	94-10-01	Gayatri Agrochem & Pesticides PO Sohna, Distt. Gurgaon, Vill: Barota	Pest-quinalphos 25% EC	IS 08028 : 87	
187. 9066984	94-10-01	Growell Agriproducts Pvt. Ltd. E-25, Old Indl. Area Bahadurgarh 124507	Pest- cypermethrin 10% EC	IS 12016 : 87	
188. 9067077	94-10-01	Northern Minerals Ltd Daultabad Road, Gurgaon	Pest-2, 4-D ethyl ester 34% EC	IS 10243 : 93	

1	2	3	4	5	6
189. 9067178	94-10-01	Sigma Cements Ltd. PO Manjhouli, Teh. Nalagarh, Distt. Solan Vill. Dherowal	Cement-OPC	IS 08112 : 89	
190. 9067279	94-10-01	Indo Asia Fusegearts Ltd. Unit-II, Bylane, Nakodar Road Jalandhar	Switches & fuse	IS 04064 : 78 Part : 01	
191. 9067380	94-10-01	Ashoka Home Care Equipments Pvt. Ltd. Jyoti Pura Hissar	Electric water heater	IS 02082 : 85	
192. 9067481	94-10-01	Ralson (India) Ltd. Ralson Nagar, G.T. Road, Ludhiana	Cycle and rickshaw pneu. tyres	IS 02414 : 91	
193. 9067582	94-10-01	Sandevabhi Manufacturing Co. 430, M.I.E. Bahadurgarh	Pest-monocrotophos 36% SL	IS 08074 : 90	
194. 9067683	94-10-01	Rishabh Cement Co. P. Ltd. Vil.: Sunari, Sub-Teh. Tour Gurgaon	Cement—OPC	IS 00269 : 89	
195. 9067784	94-10-01	Super Parts Ltd. 14/1, Mathura Road, PO Amar Nagar, Faridabad	Electric iron	IS 00302 : 92 Part : 02	
196. 9067885	94-10-01	The British Machinery 17-A, Industrial Area, New Township, Faridabad	Auto electric iron	IS 00302 : 92 Part : 02	
197. 9067986	94-10-01	VPD Plastics Pvt. Ltd. B-1 to B-4, Bachharawan Industrial Estate Rae Bareilly	UPVC pipes	IS 04985 : 68	
198. 9068079	94-10-01	Laxmi Overseas Industries Limited Ludhiana Chandigarh Road Khumanon	Cattle feed	IS 02052 : 79	
199. 9068180	94-10-01	Jai Shree Agro Industries Pvt. Ltd. Village & PO Nathupur Sonipat 13102	Pest-2, 4-D, ethylester	IS 10243 : 93	
200. 9068281 [94-10-01	Pink City Cements Pvt. Ltd. 6 KM Stone, Nizampur Road, Narnaul	Cement—DPC]	IS 00269 : 89	
201. 9068382	94-10-01	Northern Minerals Ltd. Daultabad Road, Gurgaon	Pest-methyl paration	IS 08960 : 78	
202. 9068483	94-10-01	Paradise Paints Private L mited Khasra No. 635, Vill. Naubastakalan, Opp. Neda Office, Chinhat Deva Road, Lucknow 227105	Paint	IS 00133 : 75	
203. 9068584	94-10-01	Mu, adabad Dugdh Utpadak Sahakari Sangh Ltd. Dalpatpur PO Akkhadilari Moradabad	Infant milk food	IS 01547 : 85	

1	2	3	4	5	6
204. 9068685	94-10-01	Swastika Electricals Plot No. 17 to 20, Dabua Colony, Dabua Nawada Road, Faridabad	Electric water heater	IS 08978 : 85	
205. 9068786	94-10-01	Surya Jyoti Devices India Private Ltd. Vill. Chanalon, PO Kurali Distt. Ropar	Solar flat plate collector	IS 12933 : 72 Part : 01	
206. 9068887	94-10-01	Prime Petro Products Ltd. Vill : Gaggaur, Kursi Road, Distt. Barabanki Vill: Gaggaur	UPVC Pipes	IS 04985 : 88	
207. 9068988	94-10-01	Crown Door Devices Plot No. 110, Distt. Rohtak Bahadurgarh	Door bolts	IS 02681 : 93	
208. 9069081	94-10-01	Guru Nanak Industries 770, New Colony, Model House, Jalandhar	Malleblecast iron pipe figs.	IS 01879 : 87	
209. 9069182	94-10-01	Standard Mechanical Works Mandi Road Jalandhar City	Water heater	IS 08978 : 85	
210. 9069283	94-11-01	Vaneet Metal Works 172-B, Industrial Area, Jalandhar City	GM gate Valves	IS 00778 : 84	
211. 9069394	94-11-01	S.P. Metal & Steel Works S-57 Industrial Area, Jalandhar City	GM gate valves	IS 00778 : 84	
212. 9069485	94-11-01	Satyam Valves , S.R. Building Bye-Pass, Opp. Sant Brass, Jalandhar	GM gate valves	IS 00778 : 84	
213. 9069586	94-11-01	S.S. Valves (India) 0/8, Industrial Area Jalandhar	GM gate valves	IS 00778 : 84	
214. 9069687	94-11-01	Singer India Limited Lane No. 4, SIDCO, Indl. Complex Bari Brahamna (J & K) 181133	Sewing machine head	IS 12109 : 87	
215. 9069788 [94-11-01	Karnal Milk Food Limited 134 Km Stone, Dadurpur G.T. Road Karnal 13200	Skim milk Powder	IS 13334 : 92 Part : 02	
216. 9069889	94-11-01	Anu Products Ltd. Tigaon Road, Old Faridabad 211002	Ethyl ester 34 N EC	IS 10243 : 93	
217. 9069990	94-11-01	Overseas Cables Ltd. D-99, Focal Point, Rajpura	Aluminium conductors	IS 00398 : 76 Part : 01	
218. 9070066	94-11-01	Haryana General Industries 12 Indl. Dev. Colony, Delhi Road Hissar	Tins	IS 10325 : 89	

1	2	3	4	5	6
219.	9070167	94-11-01	Navyug Electro Appliances 17, Indl. Dev. Colony, Jalandhar Road, Hoshiarpur	Electric iron	IS 00302 : 92 Part : 02
220.	9070168	94-11-01	Suman Engineering Corporation S-117, Industrial Area, Jalandhar 144004	GM gate valves	IS 00778 : 84
221.	9070369	94-11-01	Saraf Industries Bathinda Road, Rampura Phul 151103	Watthour meter	IS 15010 : 90
222.	9070470	94-11-01	Nexo Industries E-91-92, Focal Point, Ludhiana	Bolts & nuts	IS 03757 : 85
223.	9070571	94-11-01	Roop Industrial Corporation E-9(B), Industrial Area, Panipat 132103	GM gate valves	IS 00778 : 84

[No. CMD/13 : 11]

S.K. KARMAKAR, Addl. Director General

नई दिल्ली, 9 जून, 1995

क्र. भा. 1875 :- भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो (प्रमाणन) विनियम, 1988 के उपविधम (5) के अनुसूचन में भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो एतद्वारा अधिसूचित करता है कि जिन साइसेंसों के विवरण नीचे अनुसूची में दिए गए हैं, वे स्वीकृत कर दिए गए हैं:

अनुसूची

क्र. सं. लाइसेंस नं.	लागू होने की तिथि	लाइसेंसधारी का नाम व पता	लाइसेंस अधीन वस्तु प्रक्रिया और सम्बन्ध भारतीय मानक की सं.	आई एस नं.	पार्ट
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1. 5032745	94-10-01	डी-केम इंडस्ट्रीज, घोषपाड़ा, गंगागगर 24 परगना (बं)	पैराफिन मोम	आई एस 04654 : 93	
2. 5032848	94-10-01	निकको कारपोरेशन लि., (बाड़ीपाड़ा विवीजन) हामिलटोन गार्डन, पोस्ट बाक्स नं. 22, बाड़ीपाड़ा मयूरभंज (उड़ीसा) बाड़ीपाड़ा—757001	बिजली के केबल	आई एस 01554 : 88 पार्ट : 02	
3. 5532447	44-10-01	निकको कारपोरेशन लि., (बाड़ीपाड़ा विवीजन) हामिलटोन गार्डन, पोस्ट बाक्स नं. 22, बाड़ीपाड़ा, मयूरभंज (उड़ीसा) बाड़ीपाड़ा—757001	पीबीसी खोल वाले तैवरल	आई एस 07098 : 88 पार्ट : 01	
4. 5033040	94-10-01	रामचन्द्र रूप इंडस्ट्रियल कारपोरेशन, फ्लाट नं. 6 और 7 "डी" ब्लॉक कल्याणी, नाडिया	बिना लेपित प्रतिबल मुक्त छड़	आई एस 06006 : 83	
5. 5033141	94-11-01	फिलिप्स इंडिया लि. (सुविधेय बिजनेस यूनिट) पी—65 साराटोला रोड, कनकसा—700088	ज्वालासह धावरण	आई एस 02148 : 81	

1	2	3	4	5	6
6.	5033242	94-11-01	डेबोला देवी कृपि यंत्र उद्योग, धुइबोड़ चट्टी, जी. टी. रोड, पो. ओ. श्रीपाली, बर्दवान-713101	पीबि 188कावक	IS 03652:82
7.	5033343	94-11-01	गेस्ट कीन विलियम्स लि., 97 अंडुला रोड, हावड़ा-711103	लादे बाणर	IS 02036:67
8.	5033444	94-11-01	बंसल मेकेनिकल वर्क्स लिमिटेड, 33 ए, एसएस रोड, 5 वीं मजिल कमरान नं. 22 कलकत्ता 700001	इस्पात पाइप	IS 03589:91
9.	5033545	94-11-01	सी. डी. घायरन इंस्टीज, 12, कुमार पाड़ा रोड, लिलुवा, हावड़ा	उपधा धातु	IS 01538:93
10.	5033646	94-11-01	एम. सी. इंजीनियरिंग वर्क्स, पो. ओ. बाल्टीफरी, धानुलटोसा, हावड़ा-711402	स्क्रू बाल्व	IS 00780:84
11.	7059977	94-10-01	केमेक्स इंटरनेशनल, सर्वे नं. 82/3 बालिब फाटा, सलीब ली रोड, बसई (पू) बाण जिला 401202	भाग बाल्व	IS 04989:84 पार्ट : 02
12.	7060053	94-10-01	शिवाई बैरल मैयु. कं. प्रा. लि पाला नं. 2 और 3, 39, शिवाई इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, अंधेरीबकुर्ला रोड, साकिन टाका, अंधेरी (पू) बम्बई-400072	ड्रम	IS 01783:88 पार्ट 52
13.	7060154	94-10-01	कपिलाश धातु उद्योग प्रा. लि., बसरा नं. 113, कम्पटी रोड, बाईया सामुद्र : कम्पाटी माणपुर जिला	उपधा (स्वन) बाब लोह पाइप	IS 01536:89
14.	7060255	94-10-01	सुरिन्द्र इंजीनियरिंग कं. प्रा. लि. सुरिन्द्र हाउस, सफेद पोल्, एम. बवासनली रोड, पोस्ट बाक्स नं. 8839, बम्बई	इस्पात पाइप	IS 03589:91
15.	7060356	94-10-01	भारत मेरल प्रैसिंग वर्क्स प्लाट नं. 9-14 को. प्रा. इंड. एस्टेट, कोपर गांव, महमदनगर जिला 423501	मैनहोली के कवर और फेसों के लिए प्रचलित कंकीट	IS 12592:88 पार्ट: 02
16.	7060457	94-10-01	एच एस पी सीमेंट लि., एरोक्लोन रोड, ओल्ड मलेटी घाट, पोरबन्दर 360575	साधारण पोर्टलैंड सीमेंट	IS 08112:89
17.	7060558	94-10-01	गर्लीफोर्ट कंटेनर्स प्रा. लि., 10, मैनजीम स्ट्रीट, देवीधयाल मिल कम्पाउंड, ऐडी रोड, दाखाना, बम्बई 40001	ड्रम	IS 01783:88
18.	7060659	94-10-01	समस्ती केबल इंस्टीज, 26/ए सतनाम एस्टेट, नागरबोव, हनुमान रोड, धमरावली महमदनगर-380026	बिजली के केबल	IS 01554:88 पार्ट: 01

1	2	3	4	5	6
19.	7060760	94-10-01	शान एडीसन इलेक्ट्रिकल, 3, मनोरथ इंड. एस्टेट, समीप : मिसन सिनेमा, सारतपुर, अहमदाबाद 380018	ग्रेज मोटर	IS 60325 : 75
20.	7060861	94-10-01	एशियन फूड प्राइवेट, पो./संस्थान, प्लॉट नं. 1-10-ए, अलिबा, बी/एच मम्बुजा सीमेंट गोबाम, सानव सरखेज रोड, अहमदाबाद—382210	कोलतार	IS 605396 : 75
21.	7060962	94-10-01	परीक्षित प्लास्टिक प्रा. लि., प्लॉट नं. 49/2 फेस 4, जीआईसीपी पता नं. अहमदाबाद 382445	पोलीथीलीन पाइप	IS 12786 : 89
22.	7061055	94-10-01	गुमिप्लास्ट इंडस्ट्रीज, डी-1, एलटस मिक्स कम्पाइंड, 220, रै रोड, बम्बई 400010	पीवीसी रोपी केबल	IS 00694 : 90
23.	7061156	94-10-01	बिनोद ह्याउसवायर्स, प्लॉट नं. 81 और 82, सर्वे नं. 433/2 गांव महिम, तह. पालघर, बाणेंजिला	एलपीजी स्टोव	IS 04246 : 92
24.	7061257	94-10-01	गुलतफ ग्लास इंडस्ट्रीज, प्रा. लि., प्लॉट नं. सी-57/4 टी टी सी इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, एम आईसी सी रोड, बुरके बाणें जिला	सुरक्षा कांच	IS 02553 : 90 पार्ट 0 1
25.	7061358	94-10-01	मुबनेश्वरी फाउंड्री, ऊमाकांत पंडित उद्योगभवन, स्ट्रीट नं. 1 (मावडी प्लॉट) राजकोट 36004	अपकेन्द्रीय पम्प	IS 06595 : 93 पार्ट : 01
26.	7061459	94-10-01	सु. पन एचो केमिकल्स (इंडिया) लि., 242/पी जीआईसीसी, पनोली, महोब 394116	केनबैलरेट	IS 11997 : 87
27.	7061560	94-10-01	सुपिन एचो केमिकल्स (इंडिया) लि., 242/पी जीआईसीसी, पनोली, महोब 394116	क्विनासफोल	IS 08028 : 87
28.	7061661	94-10-01	सुपिन एचो केमिकल्स (इंडिया) लि., 242/पी जीआईसीसी, पनोली, महोब 394116	साइपल्येथोन	IS 12016 : 87
29.	7061762	94-10-01	डेल्टा इलेक्ट्रिकल्स, सी/1/338 जीआईसीसी, फेस II, बलवा, अहमदाबाद 382445	ग्रएज मोटर	IS 00325 : 78
30.	7061863	94-10-01	अभिप्रा केमिकल्स प्रा. लि., बी/38 एमआईसीसी इंड. एरिया), अहमदाबाद 414111	कैटेनल	IS 04467 : 80 पार्ट 02
31.	7061964	94-10-01	प्लास्टोमेन ड्रिप इरीगेशन सिस्टम्स, प्लॉट नं. 2, जे-218 एमआईसीसी, इंड. एरिया, सारापुर, वायोसर तह. पाजगढ़, बाणें जिला	पोलीथीलीन पाइप	IS 12786 : 89
32.	7062067	94-10-01	बैन एकेसीरीज एथ फाईबर, सर्वे नं. 77, बैन इंडस्ट्रियल कम्प्लेक्स, मीमखेड़ी, बुरक, बलगांव जिला 425001	प्युथीसी के नक्कूत पाइप	IS 12231 : 87

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
33. 7062158	94-10-01	प्रोपेसिब इलेक्ट्रिकल इंड. 22 (बीरु बिल्डिंग) पटेल इंड. एस्टेट, एस.बी. रोड बाबसाह (पू.) बम्बई-400068			IS 01293 : 88
34. 7062229	94-10-01	ईडकपट, सर्वे नं. 105/2 ए/2 बी, कोशाच बुडकक, तालुक : हवेली, पुणे जिला-411048	दुरत बागी गवति के बिजली के हीटर		IS 08978 : 85
35. 7062360	94-10-01	एन के पेली इंडिया प्रा. लि., 165 जीआईसी इंड. स्ट्रपल एस्टेट, मकड़पुरा, बड़ीया जिला 391510	स्विच		IS 03884 : 86
36. 7062461	94-10-01	एनईट इंजीनियरिंग कारपोरेशन प्रा. लि., यूनिट नं. 2, प्लॉट नं. बी 19, एमआईसी सिरोली, कोल्हापुर-416122	बीजल ईंधन		IS 11170 : 85
37. 7062562	94-10-01	किनोलिक्स पावर प्रा. लि., प्लॉट नं. 10, ब्लॉक बी-1, पिम्पारी-छिवाड़ा इंड. एरिया, पुणे-411019	यूपीसी मावरण और मावरण पावर		IS 12818 : 92
38. 7062663	94-10-01	भारत केबल्स इंडस्ट्रीज, 65/1 रामेश्वर रोड, वस्का हुलील, पंचमहल जिला	बिजली के केबल		IS 01554 : 88 भाग : 01
39. 7062764	94-10-01	मार्टिन इंजीनियरिंग कं., हाइवे रोड, समीप बसंत क्लीनिंग, तहसील सिद्धपुर, उमसा-384170	परावर पेशर		IS 09020 : 79
40. 7062865	94-10-01	बीन इटरप्राइसेस, बी. एम. कंस्ट्रक्शन कम्पाउंड, धर्मपुर रोड मबराना, बलसाह जिला-396001	डायोनेट लैम्प होल्डर		IS 01258 : 87
41. 7062966	94-10-01	मैक्सिलेक्स पीलीमर प्रा. लि., सी 1/421/501 जीआईसी एस्टेट, मानस, मेहसाणा जिला 3828 45	एचडीपीई पावर		IS 04984 : 87
42. 7063069	94-10-01	किनोलिक्स केबल्स लि., 26/27, बम्बई-पूना रोड, पिम्पारी, पूना-411018	एलास्टीमर रोपी केबल		IS 09968 : 88 भाग : 01
43. 7063160	94-10-01	गोरेश डॉमिस्टिक एप्पलाइसेस प्रा. लि., प्लॉट नं. 19, अविनाशी, पालगढ़, बाणो जिला	बिजली की इस्तर		IS 00366 : 85
44. 7063261	94-10-01	कोणा इंडस्ट्रीज, 10, पांघी इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, सरोव पोख, कुर्वा अंधेरी रोड, साकी माका, बम्बई-400072	डायोनेट लैम्प होल्डर		IS 01258 : 87
45. 7063362	94-10-01	पारिख एण्ड बलाल केबल इंडस्ट्रीज, 3226, समीप बेंजो प्राइवेट, फेस 4, जीआईसी, बतवा, अहमदाबाद	पीपीसी रोपी केबल		IS 00694 : 90
46. 7063463	94-10-01	गणपति उद्योग, एन-17, बीर 19, एमआईसी, हिंगना, वाणपुर-440016	एसी बाघ पावर		IS 01628 : 89 भाग : 01

1	2	3	4	5	6
47. 7063564	94-11-01	हीचिस्ट इंडिया लि., प्लॉट नं. 3501 से 3515 और 6301 से 6314, जीआईडीसी, अंकलेश्वर, जिला मही	बिरोम	IS 08703 : 78	
48. 7063665	94-11-01	जिनिय रोप्स प्रा. लि., प्लॉट नं. 1015, जीआईडीसी, बणीडिया, बड़ीदा जिला	बिना लेपित प्रतिबल युक्त लक	IS 06006 : 83	
49. 7063766	94-11-01	द रबड़ प्राइवेट लि., सी-44, रोड नं. 28, बागले इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, बागे-400604.	बिजलीकृत बंधे सकल रेल- ट्रैकर के लिए रबड़ की तली	IS 10733 : 83	
50. 7063867	94-11-01	पुष्पबीप इंडस्ट्रीज, 83, शिबाजी नगर, रसानी इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, तोपखाना, पूणे 411005	पानी धर्म करने के बिजली के हीटर	IS 02082 : 85	
51. 7063968	94-11-01	द सीमेंट पावर एंड एलाइड इंडस्ट्रीज प्रा. लि., सर्वे नं. 425/2, नगर पूणे रोड, खेड गांव तालुक, महमदाबाद 419001	प्रबलित क्रीट पाइप	IS 00458 : 88	
52. 7064061	94-11-01	महाराष्ट्रा केबल्स इंडस्ट्रीज, प्लॉट नं. 1 और 2, बाको रेडियो कम्पाउंड इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, उल्हासनगर - 421004	पीबीसी रोधी केबल	IS 00694 : 90	
53. 7064162	94-11-01	भार. के. इंडस्ट्रीज, प्लॉट नं. ए - 12, एच आई डी सी, प्रभानी 431401	पीबीसी पाइप	IS 00458 : 88	
54. 7064263	94-11-01	भविन इलेक्ट्रॉनिक्स प्रा. लि., ई - 15, एम आई डी सी, तलोजा इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, पानवेल रायगड जिला - 410208	शॉट मैपिस्टर	IS 02834 : 86	
55. 7064364	94-11-01	शाह इंडस्ट्रीज, गाला 103/107 प्रथम मंजिल, मानिस इड. एस्टेट नं. 1, वसई (पूर्व), बागे जिला - 401202	सॉकेट आउटलेट	IS 01293 : 88	
56. 7064465	94-11-01	कुंदन पैस्टीफेस, प्लॉट नं. 3753, फेस 4, जीआईडीसी बलवा, महमदाबाद हाईवे, महमदाबाद 382445	मोनोफोटोकॉस एसएल	IS 08074 : 90	
57. 7064566	94-11-01	लेपिन एग्रो केमिकल्स (इंडिया) लि., 242/सी, जीआईडीसी, पनोली, भडोच - 394116	ग्राइडप्रोड्यूसर	IS 11995 : 87	
58. 7064667	94-11-01	जे के बी एम लिमिटेड, 133 - 134, जी आई डी सी इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, अंकलेश्वर, भडोच 393002	जिराम	IS 11010 : 84	
59. 7064768	94-11-01	जे एस एल इंडस्ट्रीज लिमिटेड एटी एंड पोस्ट मोगार, खेडा जिला (गुजरात) 388340	पोलीथिलीन पाइप	IS 12786 : 89	
60. 7064869	94-11-01	मेनपाड़ा पम्पस प्रा. लि. 13, अमरनाथ एस्टेट, समीप: फोर्ज एंड ब्लोब्लॉक जं. मरोवा रोड, महमदाबाद 380025	निमज्जन पम्प	IS 08034 : 89	

1	2	3	4	5	6
61. 7064070	94-11-01	सेन्टर्न प्लास्टिक एंड रबर वर्क्स, 13, बखरिया इंड. एस्टेट, राम मंदिर रोड, गोगे गांव (पू.) बम्बई-400104	विश्व मार्केट प्राइमेट	आईएस 04016: 68	
62. 7066083	94-11-01	मैथिल इलेक्ट्रिकल्स 4/8 लोकल इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, नवमंड बस रोड (पू.), बाघे जिला 401302	डेपोजिट लैम्प होल्डर	आईएस 01268: 37	
63. 7065164	94-11-01	शंकर इंडस्ट्रीज, प्लॉट नं. ए-118, 22 बां रोड, बायले इंड. एस्टेट, बाघे-400604	प्लम वीर मार्केट प्राइमेट	आईएस 01293: 83	
64. 6073265	94-11-01	शर्म इंडस्ट्रीज, ए/11-9, जोल्ड मूलन स्कूल, खडारण नगर, एलबी रोड, , झा (पू.), बम्बई-400064	विश्व रोज	आईएस 00371: 79	
65. 7065368	94-11-01	जैनस इंडस्ट्रीज, 229, बोपी इंड. एस्टेट, राम मंदिर रोड, गोगे गांव (पू.), बम्बई-400104	विश्व	आईएस 03864: 88	
66. 7065467	94-11-01	बी के इंडस्ट्रीज, 236 बिल्डिंग नं. 5, आमिनाद इंड. एस्टेट, राम मंदिर रोड, गोगे गांव (पू.) बम्बई-400104	मिशन	आईएस 03864: 88	
67. 8073471	94-10-01	शिव इंडस्ट्रीज, ए-9 सत्यवती नगर, अखौफ बिहार, फेस 3, दिल्ली-110062	पानी घर्ष करने के बिजली के इमरसन हीटर	आईएस 00302: 92	
68. 8073572	94-10-01	साटाखन इलेक्ट्रिकल इंड., ए-37, जा. टा. करनाल रोड, इंड. एरिया, दिल्ली-110033	बिजली का इस्तेमाल	आईएस 00302: 92 नाम: 02	
69. 8073673	94-10-01	मेरटा मार्केट प्रा. लि., इंडस्ट्रियल काम्प्लेक्स, पी. बी. मेरटा रोड 3415 जिला मानसपुर	सा. पी. सा.	आईएस 00269: 89	
70. 8083774	94-10-01	सम्पदा प्लास्टिक प्रा. लि. बा-12, सतुलपुर इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, सतुलपुर, जिला बुरु	सूपाबाबी पाइप	आईएस 04988: 88	
71. 8073875	94-10-01	स्टैंडर्ड फ्यूजवर प्रा. लि. के-93, उद्योग नगर, नई दिल्ली-110041	फ्यूज लिक्स	आईएस 08324: 79	
72. 8073976	94-10-01	जपा गोल्ड पेंट्स, 18, इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, मिकन्दराबाद	मॉडिफाई इनेमल	आईएस 00133: 75	
73. 8074069	94-10-01	न्यूसेट इलेक्ट्रिकल्स, ए-58, उद्योग नगर, रोडक रोड, नई दिल्ली-110041	बिजली के पाना गर्मने के इमरसन हीटर	आईएस 00369: 92	

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
74. 8074170	94-10-01	सुनीजा इलेक्ट्रीकल्स, आई-13, कोटि नगर, प्रथम मंजिल, नई दिल्ली	बिजली के पानी गमनि के इमरसन हीटर	आईएस 00368: 92	
75. 8074271	94-10-01	आर्या इलेक्ट्रीकल्स, एम एस सी 174 योगमाया मोहल्ला पहाड़गंज, नई दिल्ली	बिजली के पानी गमनि के इमरसन हीटर	आईएस 00368: 92	
76. 8074372	94-10-01	अनिलमा एसोसिएट, 227 नांगलोई, सकरावती, नजफगढ़ रोड, नई दिल्ली-110043	एल्यूमीनियम के बालक	आईएस 07098: 88 भाग: 01	
77. 8074473	94-10-01	जनरल इंजिनियरिंग वर्क्स, इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, भरतपुर (राज)-321001	प्रबलित कंक्रीट	आईएस 06008: 83	
78. 8074574	94-11-01	बंरना उद्योग लि., प्लाट नं. 281 सेक्टर "सी", उर्ला इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, राइपुर - 493221	बिना लेपित प्रतिचल मम्बड़ा लक	आईएस 08006: 83	
79. 8074675	94-11-01	मिमटेक्स इंडिया, 108 ए, ईस्ट मायाप नगर, दिल्ली - 110051	पीवीसी रोधी केबल	आईएस 00894: 90	
80. 8074776	94-11-01	मगनम स्टील प्रा. लि., 100 इंड. एरिया, बनमोरे, बिला मुरेना (म. प्र.)	एचसीडी इस्पात छड़	आईएस 01786: 85	
81. 8074877	94-11-01	गोल्डन केबल कं., इन्ड्यू जेड - 125 ए, नारायणा गांव, नई दिल्ली - 110028	पीवीसी रोधी	आईएस 00894: 90	
82. 8074978	94-11-01	जे भारत इंडस्ट्रीज, 54/4 उमर हापुज रोड, मेरठ 250002	बलवां नोहे के पाइप	आईएस 01879: 87	
83. 8075071	94-11-01	श्री पृथ्वी स्टील रोलिंग मिल प्रा. लि. बी-230 (ई) रोड नं. 9, बी के आई एरिया, जमपुर	तप्त बेस्लित इस्पात	आईएस 07452: 90	
84. 8075172	94-11-01	सुरभी एंड (इंडिया), 10, मोहनगामीपुर इंड. काम्प्लेक्स, फेस - 2, दिल्ली रोड, रिवानी - मेरठ (उ. प्र.)	हक्करपे के सूखी पीप	आईएस 00758: 88	
85. 8075273	94-11-01	मार्स इंटरप्राइस प्रा. लि. एस-100 बादली इंड. एरिया फेस 2 दिल्ली-110042	पानी गमनि के बिजली के हीटर	IS 08978: 85	
86. 80775374	94-11-01	फेंडस केबल इंडस्ट्रीज बी-27 सेक्टर 8 नोएडा (उ. प्र.)-201301	पीवीसी रोधी केबल	IS 00894: 90	
87. 8075475	94-11-01	अनिलमा एसोसिएट 227 नांगलोई सकरावती, नजफगढ़ रोड, नई दिल्ली-110043	एसी वाटहावर मीटर	IS 07098: 85 भाग: 02	
88. 8075576	94-11-01	वेमटेक्स सिगर स्विचगियर क. बी-65/5 नारायणा इंड. एरिया फेस 2 तीसरी मंजिल, नई दिल्ली-110028	पीवीसी रोधी केबल	IS 13010: 90	

1	2	3	4	5	6
89. 8075677	94-11-01	पीके इंडस्ट्रीज, 9/40 ए, बाजारमसी, विश्वास नगर, शाहपुरा, दिल्ली - 110032	पीबीसी रोधी केबल	IS 00694: 90	
90. 8075778	94-11-01	गोतम केबल्स इंड., 222, नागली सकरावती, नथफगढ़ रोड, नई दिल्ली - 110033	पीबीसी रोधी केबल	IS 07098: 85 भाग: 02	
91. 8075879	94-11-01	नरुना मेटल्स (प्रा.) लि., ए-11, मिलमिल इंड. एरिया, ओ.टी. रोड शाहपुरा, दिल्ली - 110095	पीबीसी रोधी केबल	IS 00694: 90	
92. 8075980	94-11-01	सर्दोप इंडस्ट्रीज 9/21 ए, बाजार मसी, विश्वास नगर, शाहपुरा, दिल्ली - 110032	पीबीसी रोधी केबल	IS 00694: 90	
93. 8076073	94-11-01	राजस्थान इंड. एण्ड साइंटिफिक कॉर्पोरेशन, 39/40 इंड. एरिया, जोटाबाडा, अजमेर - 302012	पानी बर्तने के हीटर	IS 02373: 81	
94. 8076174	94-11-01	जिवल इंडस्ट्रीज, बी-72 ए, जे.वि. नगर, सकूर बस्ती, दिल्ली - 110034	एनपीबी स्टॉव	IS 04246: 84	
95. 8076275	94-11-01	श्री बालाजी इंडस्ट्रीज, पी.बी. नं. 35, बहापुर पुर रोड, कृष्णानपुर, मध्य प्रदेश - 450331	कूसीबीसी छलक और घावरण पाइप	IS 12818: 92	
96. 8076376	94-11-01	श्रीम संस (एलेक) प्रा. लि. 64-इंदिरा विहार, ममोप किंगज्मेकम्स, दिल्ली - 110009	लिफ्ट	IS 03754: 88	
97. 8076477	94-11-01	होरा इलेक्ट्रिकल्स, ए-6, फबरेड कैबेटरी काम्पलेक्स, मोहला, नई दिल्ली	पानी बर्तने के बिजली के हीटर	IS 02082: 88	
98. 8076578	94-11-01	के.एन. इलेक्ट्रिकल्स, 59-मोविन्द मोहला, हैदरपुर, दिल्ली - 110042	काग और साफेट निर्मात	IS 01293: 88	
99. 8076679	94-11-01	जेनार इलेक्ट्रिकल्स मोटर, सी-84/2, सुवसन पार्क, नई - दिल्ली-110015	एपी मोटर	IS 00898: 79	
100. 8076780	94-11-01	प्रोरमी टेक्स्टाइल, जिल्सी-मेरठ रोड, पो. बा.सं. 13, मेरठ नगर	गुनी डक	IS 00411: 83	
101. 8076881	94-11-01	एस कुमार एण्ड कम्पनी, कै-71, उद्योग नगर, रोहतक रोड, दिल्ली-110041	पानी बर्तने के बिजली के हीटर	IS 02082: 88	

1	2	3	4	5	6
102. 8070882	94-11-01	हीरा सीमेंट, 572-उर्ला इंडस्ट्रियल उर्ला, रोहपुर (म.प्र.)-403221	साधारण पीटलेड सीमेंट	IS 12309: 87	
103. 8077076	94-11-01	श्रीराम लेम्प इंडस्ट्रीज, इन्फन्ट्री/ए-11, गुलान बाग, नम्मुब : मबडा मेन मजफराड रोड, उत्तम मबर, नई दिल्ली-110058	विज्जनी के लेम्प	IS 00418: 78	
104. 8077176	94-11-01	महावीर प्रसाद एण्ड सन्स, ए-44, सुखदेन पार्क, मोरी मबर, दिल्ली-110015	विज्जनी के लेम्प	IS 00418: 78	
105. 8077377	94-11-01	हिन्दुस्तान केमल इंड., पी-73, कला नं. 5, कंकर नगर एस्टेट., दिल्ली-110051	पी पी सी रोडी केमल	IS 00694: 90	
106. 8077378	94-11-01	राज्य केमल इंड. (रवि.), गली नं. 4, 902/380, गांव बाग्यामगर, इंड. एरिया, दिल्ली-110052		IS 00694: 90	
107. 8077478	94-11-01	प्लाजा केमल इंडस्ट्रीज (प्रा.) लि., के-682, यांग बकोली, मेम जी.पी. करनाथ रोड, दिल्ली-110036	पी पी सी रोडी केमल	IS 00694: 90	
108. 8077580	94-11-01	माया फर्टिलाइजर्स एण्ड पेस्टीसाइड्स, 8 बां कि.मी. स्टोन, मेन कोपा रोड, मुजफ्फरनगर (उ.प्र.)	किफ सल्वेट	IS 08248: 76	
109. 8077681	94-11-01	प्यारे लाल फायर प्राइवेट प्रा. लि., भोपाळ रोड, मुजफ्फरनगर, मेरठ।	रज्जुबंद फायर फ्रीट	IS 08391: 87	
110. 8077782	94-11-01	चिकरांत सीमेंट प्रा. लि., मुराद नगर रोड, बागपत, मेरठ।	बा.पी.सी.	IS 08112: 89	
111. 8077883	94-11-01	जे.यू. पेस्टीसाइड्स एण्ड केमिकल्स प्रा. लि., 33-36, करल इंड. एस्टेट, लोनी, जिला बाजियाबाद, (उत्तर प्रदेश)	आईसीसी	IS 03903: 84	
112. 8077984	94-11-01	जे.यू. पेस्टीसाइड्स एण्ड केमिकल्स प्रा. लि., 33-36, करल इंड. एस्टेट, लोनी, जिला बाजियाबाद, उत्तर प्रदेश	मिनाइय केरासिमीन	IS 08980: 78	
113. 8078077	94-11-01	जे.यू. पेस्टीसाइड्स एण्ड केमिकल्स प्रा. लि., 33-36 करल इंड. एस्टेट, लोनी, जिला बाजियाबाद, उत्तर प्रदेश।	बीएससी	IS 00681: 78	
114. 8078178	94-11-01	जे.यू. पेस्टीसाइड्स एण्ड केमिकल्स प्रा. लि., 33-36, करल इंड. एस्टेट, लोनी, बाजियाबाद, (उत्तर प्रदेश)	मिनाइय केरासिमीन	IS 02863: 78	

1	2	3	4	5	6
115. 8078279	94-11-01	जे.बू. वेस्टीसाइड्स एण्ड केमिकल्स प्रा. लि., 33-38 ग्लोब इंड. एस्टेट, लोनी, जिला माधियाबाद, उत्तर प्रदेश	बुटलपुर	IS 09350: 80	
116. 8078380	94-11-01	करोडिया स्टील एण्ड पावर (प्रा.) लि., 3/1 सीट 4, माधियाबाद इंड. कम्प्लेक्स, माधियाबाद ।	मृदु इस्पात की नदी	IS 01230: 90	भाग : 01
117. 8078481	94-11-01	कॉन्क्रिट टिन इंस्टीट्यूट, टी-247 कबीर बस्ती, मल्हा पंच, दिल्ली-110006	इस्पात के लटकने वाले कच्चे	IS 0134: 94	
118. 8078582	94-11-01	गुल्शोतम पाइप एण्ड इंड. लि., 112-गॉन सुन्देरा, (इंड. एस्टेट के पीछे) रहकी-247867	मृदु इस्पात नदी	IS 01230: 90	भाग : 01
119. 8078683	94-11-01	गुल्शोतम पाइप एण्ड इंड. लि., 113 गॉन सुन्देरा, (इंड. एस्टेट के पीछे), रहकी-247867	ई कार डब्ल्यू इस्पात नलियां	IS 03601: 85	
120. 8078784	94-11-01	रामको स्टील गॉन-कुमार बड़ा, देहरादून रोड, महाराजपुर-247001	एनएचसी इस्पात की छड़ें	IS 01780: 85	
121. 8078885	94-11-01	राहुल केबल इंडस्ट्रीज, बसरा नं. 902/200 नली नं. 4, गोर्खागढ़ गॉन, दिल्ली-110052	बिजली के केबल	IS 01564: 88	भाग : 01
122. 8078986	94-11-01	एबीएन केबल्स, बसरा नं. 11/16/1 मुहम्मद मार्ग, माजरा गॉन, पोस्ट करेला, दिल्ली ।	गॉट फिशिंग केबल	IS 05050: 84	
123. 8079079	94-11-01	अंकिट पम्पस (प्रा.) लि. ए-72/ए, रोड नं. 1-सी, बिजबनजी इंड. एरिया, जयपुर ।	मोनोब्लैट पम्प	IS 00070: 80	
124. 8079180	94-11-01	कुनेजा इलेक्ट्रिकल्स, घाई-13 कीर्ति नगर, नई दिल्ली-110015	गयति बामे एसीमेंट	IS 04150: 83	
125. 8079281	94-11-01	जिवा इंडस्ट्रीज, एम-8 सत्यवती नगर, समोह विहार-III दिल्ली-110062	जिबला की इस्पात छेद प्लेट	IS 00302: 92	भाग : 02
126. 8079382	94-11-01	कोहली इलेक्ट्रिकल्स, बसरा नं.-356/2, गॉन मल्ली बरपुर, नई दिल्ली-110044	एसी बोर्डर	IS 00090: 70	

1	2	3	4	5	6
127. 8079483	94-11-01	सूर्या केबल्स, ए-3/12 ओखला इंड. एरिया, फेस 1, नई दिल्ली-110020	बिजली के केबल	IS 01554:88 भाग:01.	
128. 8079584	94-11-01	माइक्रो सुपर केबल्स प्रा. लि., 77 सी/1 मूकेश नगर, गाहदरा, दिल्ली-110032	पीसीसी रोबी कुंडलिन तार	IS 08783:78	
129. 8079686	94-11-01	वेन्सूस इलेक्ट्रिकल्स एम्प्लायमेंस, 16/250 ग्राफ मार्ग, रोड नं.-3, बापा नगर, करोल बाग, नई दिल्ली-110005	बिजली की इस्तेमाली	IS 00302:92 भाग: 02	
130. 8079786	94-11-01	वेस्टर्न होम एम्प्लायमेंस ए-14 स्नान मार्ग, दिल्ली-110052	बिजली की इस्तेमाली	IS 00302:92 भाग: 02	
131. 8079887	94-11-01	पारागांव केबल (इंडिया) खसरा नं.-45/6 पो.ओ. प्रहलादपुर दिल्ली-110042	बिजली के केबल	IS 01884:88 भाग:01	
132. 8079988	94-11-01	माइन स्पलायन 1/52 बिबिस नगर, स्ट्रीट नं.-4, गाहदरा, दिल्ली-110032	पीसीसी रोबी केबल	IS 00884:80	
133. 8080084	94-11-01	एलार इंडस्ट्रीज 1/422 फंडम कालोमी, जी.टी. रोड, गाहदरा, दिल्ली	पीसीसी रोबी केबल	IS 00894:80	
134. 8080185	94-11-01	कृष्णा इलेक्ट्रिकल्स इंडस्ट्रीज, इन्ड्यू जेड-119 ककुर पुर बाग, दिल्ली-110034	बिजली के केबल	IS 00148:78	
135. 8080286	94-11-01	यूनिसेफ इलेक्ट्रोनिक्स (इंडिया) प्रा. लि., ए-88 सेक्टर-4, पीएडा	तप्त वायु पम्प	IS 04283:81	
136. 7080387	94-11-01	फराह पावर मोटर, 334-बोनपुर, बेवरोली नई दिल्ली-110030	छोटी ए सी मोटर	IS 00996:79	
137. 8080488	94-11-01	सोनम इंटरप्राइस, 89-गोबिन्द मोहम्मद, हैरपुर, दिल्ली-110042	ठीत प्लेट	IS 00308:81	
138. 8080589	94-11-01	सुपीरियर कन्सल्टि लि., 17-साउथ धाफ जी.टी. रोड, मुनवजहर रोड, इंड. एरिया नाथियाबाद, उत्तर प्रदेश	कन्सल्टि	IS 10633:80	
139. 8080670	94-11-01	प्रथमस्त भारेल एण्ड स्टील इंड., की-33 मुनवजहर रोड इंड. एरिया, नाथियाबाद, उत्तर प्रदेश	इं प्रार-उच्च इस्पात तनिका	IS 04270:92	

New Delhi, the 9th June, 1995

S.O 1875 :—In pursuance of sub-regulation (5) of the Bureau of Indian Standards (certification) Regulations, 1988, the Bureau of Indian Standards hereby notifies the grant of licences Particulars of which are given in the following schedule.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	No. CM/L. No.	Operative Date	Name & Address of the Party	Article/Process covered by the licence	IS : No./Part
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	5032745	94-10-01	DE-Chem. Industries, Ghoshpara Ganganagar, 24-Parganas (N).	Paraffin wax	IS : 04654 : 93
2.	5032846	94-10-01	NICCO Corporation Ltd., (BariPad a Division), Post Box No. 27 Hamilton Garden Post Box No. 27 BariPada, Mayurbhanj (Orissa), BariPada-757001	Electric cables	IS : 01554 : 88 Part : 02
3.	5032947	94-10-01	NICCO Corporation Ltd., Hamilton Garden Post Box No. 27, BariPada, Mayurbhanj (Orissa), BariPada-757001	PVC sheathed cables	IS : 07098 : 88 Part : 01
4.	5033040	94-10-01	Ramsarup Industrial Corporation, Plot No. 687, 'D' Block, Kalyani, Nadia.	Uncoated stress relieved strand	IS : 06006 : 83
5.	5033141	94-11-01	Philips India Ltd., (Luminaire Business Unit), P-65, Taratolla Road, Calcutta-700088	Flameproof enclosures	IS : 02148 : 81
6.	5033242	94-11-01	Debola Debi Krishi Yantra Udyog. Ghordour Chatti, G.T. Road, P.O. Shripalli, Burdwan-713101	Foot sprayer	IS : 03652 : 82
7.	5033343	94-11-01	Guest, Keen Williams Ltd., 97, Andul Road, Howrah-711103	Plain washers	IS : 02016 : 67
8.	5033444	94-11-01	Bansal Mechanical Works Limited, 23A, N.S. Road, 5th Floor, Room No. 22, Calcutta-700001	Steel pipes	IS : 03539 : 91
9.	5033545	94-11-01	C.D. Iron Industries, 12, Kumar Para Road, Liluah, Howrah	Cast iron	IS : 01538 : 93
10.	5033646	94-11-01	S.C.Engineering Works, P.O. Baltikuri Bakultola Howrah-711402	Sluice valves	IS : 00780 : 84
11.	7059977	94-10-01	Kemex International Survey No. 82/3 Walliv Phata, Sativali Road, Vasai (E), Thane District-401202	Foam concentrate	IS : 04989 : 84 Part : 2

1	2	3	4	5	6
12.	706053	94-10-01	Shivai Barrel Mfg., Co. Pvt. Ltd., Gala No. 2 & 3, 89 Shivai Industrial Estate Andheri-Kurla Road, Sakin Naka, Andheri (E), Bombay-400072	Urums	IS : 01783 : 88 Part : 02
13.	7060154	94-10-01	Kapilansh Dhatu Udyog P. Ltd., Khasra No. 113 Kamptee Road, Khairi Tal : Kamptee, Nagpur District.	Cast (spun) iron pressure pipes	IS : 01536 : 89
14.	7060255	94-10-01	Surindra Engineering Co. (P) Ltd., Surindra House, Safed Pool M. Vasanji Road, Post Box No. 8939 Bombay	Steel pipes	IS : 03589 : 91
15.	7060356	94-10-01	Bharat Metal Pressing Works, Plot No. 9-14, Co. Op. Indl. Estate, Kopergaon Ahmednagar District-423601	Precast concrete manhole covers and frames	IS : 12592 : 88 Part : 02
16.	7060457	94-10-01	HMP Cements Ltd. Aerodrome Road, Old Military Ground Porbandar-360575	Ordinary portland cement	IS : 08112 : 89
17.	7060558	94-10-01	Galiahot Containers Pvt. Ltd., 10, Magazine Street, Devidayal Mill Compound, Reay Road, Darukhana, Bombay-400010	Drums	IS : 01783 : 88 Part : 02
18.	7060659	94-10-01	Smruti Cable Industries, 26/A, Satnam Estate, Nagerwel, Hanuman Road, Amraiwadi, Ahmedabad-380026	Electric cables	IS : 01554 : 88 Part : 01
19.	7060760	94-10-01	Pan Addison Electricals 3, Manorath Indl. Estate, Near Milan Cinema Saraspur, Ahmedabad-380018	Induction motors	IS : 00325 : 78
20.	7060861	94-10-01	Asian Food Products Post, Santhal, Plot No. 10-A Uladia, B/H Ambuja Cement Godwon Sanand-Sarkhej Road, Ahmedabad-382210	Coaltar	IS : 05346 : 75
21.	7060962	94-10-01	Parixit Plastics Pvt. Ltd., Plot No. 4912, Phase 4, G.I.D.C. Vatva Ahmedabad-382445	Polyethylen pipes	IS : 12786 : 89
22.	7061055	94-10-01	Uniplast Industries, D-1, Atlas Mill Compound 220, Reay Road, Bombay-4000010	PVC insulated cables	IS : 00694 : 90
23.	7061156	94-10-01	Vinod Housewares Plot No. 81 & 82 Survey No. 433/2 Village Mahim, Tal : Palghar Thane District	LGP stove	IS : 04246 : 92

1	2	3	4	5	6
24.	7061357	94-10-01	Gulf Glass Industries (P) Ltd., Plot No. C-57/4, T.T.C. Industrial Area, MIDC Road, Turbhe, Thane District	Safety glass	IS : 02553 : 90 Part : 01
25.	7061358	94-10-01	Bhuvneshwari Foundry, Umakant Pandit Udyogpurer, Street No. 1, (Mavdi Plot), Rajkot-360004	Centrifugal Pump	IS : 06595 : 93 Part : 01
26.	7061459	94-10-01	Lupin Agro Chemicals (India) Ltd., 242/P GIDC Panoli Bharuch-394116	Fenvalerate	IS : 11997 : 87
27.	7061560	94-10-01	Lupin Agro Chemicals (India) Ltd., 242/P GIDC Panoli, Bharuch-394116	Quinalphos	IS : 08028 : 87
28.	7061661	94-10-01	Lupin Agro Chemicals (India) Ltd., 242/P GIDC Panoli Bharuch-394116	Cypermethrin	IS : 12016 : 87
29.	7061762	94-10-01	DETA Electricals, C1/338, GIDC, Phase-II, Vatva, Ahmedabad-382445	Induction motors	IS : 00325 : 78
30.	7061863	94-10-01	Anipra Chemicals Pvt. Ltd., B/38, MIDC Indl. Area, Ahmed Nagar-414111	Caramel	IS : 04467 : 80 Part : 02
31.	7061764	94-10-01	Plastomen Drip Irrigation Systems (P), Ltd., Plot No 3, J-218, MIDC Indl. Area, Tarapur, Boisar, Tal : Palghar, Thane District.	Polyethylene pipes	IS : 12786 : 89
32.	7062057	94-10-01	Jain Accessories & Fittings Survey No. 77 Jain Industrial Complex Nimkhedi Khurd, Jalgaon District-425001	UPVC rigid pipes	IS : 12231 : 87
33.	7062158	94-10-01	Progressive Electrical Inds. 22, (Old Building), Patel Indl. Estate, S.V. Road, Dahisar(F), Bombay-400068	Article/Process	IS : 01293 : 88
34.	7062259	94-10-01	Heatharft Survey No. 105/2A/2B Kondhava Budruk, Taluk : Haveli Pune District-411048	Electric instantaneous water heaters	IS : 08978 : 85
35.	7062360	94-10-01	LK Pace India Private Limited, 165, GIDC, Industrial Estate, Makarpura, Baroda District-371510	Switches	IS : 03854 : 88
36.	7062461	94-10-01	Rocket Engineering Corporation Pvt. Ltd., Unit No. 2, Plot No. D-19, MIDC, Shirol, Kolhapur-416122	Diesel engine	IS : 11170 : 85

1	2	3	4	5	6
37.	7062562	94-10-01	Finlex Pipes Pvt. Ltd., Plot No. 10, Block-D1, Pimpri-Chinchwad Indl. Area, Pune-411019	UPVC screen and casing pipes	IS : 12818 : 92
38.	7062663	94-10-01	Bharat Cable Industries, 65/1, Rameshwara Road, Baska Halol Panchmahal District.	Electric cables	IS : 01554 : 88 Part : 01
39.	7062764	94-10-01	Martiaen Engineering Co., Highway Road, Near Vasant Cleaning Tal. : Sidhpur, Unjha-384170	Power threshers	IS : 09020 : 79
40.	7062865	94-10-01	Chain Enterprises D.S. Construction Compound Dharampur Road, Abrana, Valsad District 396001	Bayonet lampholders	IS : 01258 : 87
41.	7062966	94-10-01	Movilex Polymers Pvt. Ltd., C 1/421/501, GIDC Estate, Mansa Mahesana Distt.-382845	HDPE pipes	IS : 04984 : 87
42.	7063059	94-10-01	Finolex Cables Ltd., 26/27, Bombay-Pune Road Pimpri, Pune-411018	Elastomer insulated cables.	IS : 09968 : 83 Part : 01
43.	7063160	94-10-01	Goresh Domestic Appliances Pvt.Ltd., Plot N . 19, Aliyali, Palghar, Thane District.	Electric iron	IS : 00366 : 85
44.	7063261	94-10-01	Cona Industries, J , Gandhi Industrial Estate, Safed Pool Kurla Andheri Road, Saki Kaka, Bombay-400072	Bayonet lamp holders	IS : 01258 : 87
45.	7063362	94-10-01	Parikh & Dalal Cable Industries, 3226, Near Benzo Products, Phase-IV, GIDC Vatva, Ahmedabad.	PVC insulated cables	IS : 00694 : 90
46.	7063463	94-10-01	Ganapati Udyog N-17 & 19 MIDC Hingna Nagpur-440016	AC pressure pipes	IS : 01626 : 80 Part : 01
47.	7063564	94-10-01	Hoechst India Ltd., Plot No. 3501 to 3515 And 6301 to 6314 GIDC Ankleshwar Distt. Bharuch	Diuron	IS : 08703 : 78
48.	7063665	94-11-01	Zenith Ropes Pvt. Ltd., Plot No. 1015, GIDC, Waghodia, Baroda District.	Uncoated stress relieved strand	IS : 06006 : 83
49.	7063766	94-11-01	The Rubber Products Ltd., C-44, Road No. 28 Wagle Industrial Estate, Thane-400604.	Electrically bonded road rail tanker hoses of rubber	IS : 10733 : 83
50.	7063867	94-11-01	Pushpadeep Industries 83, Shivaji Nagar, Rasane Industrial Estate, Topkhana Pune-411005	Electric water heaters	IS : 02082 : 85

1	2	3	4	5	6
51.	7063968	94-11-01	The Cement Pipe and Allied Industries Pvt. Ltd., Survey No. 425/2 Nagar Pune Road, Kedgaon Taluk, Ahmednagar-414 001	Precast concrete pipes	IS : 00458 : 88
52.	7064061	94-11-01	Maharashtra Cable Industries, Plot No. 1 & 2, Waco Radio Compound, Industrial Area, Ulhasnagar-4210024	PVC insulated cables	IS : 00694 : 90
53.	7064162	94-11-01	R.K. Industries, Plot No. A12, MIDC, Parbhani-4314 01	PCC Pipes	IS : 00458 : 88
54.	7064263	94-11-01	Savin Electronics Pvt. Ltd., E-15, MIDC, Taloja Industrial Area, Panvel Raigad District -410208	Shunt capacitors	IS : 02834 : 86
55.	7064364	94-11-01	Shah Industries, Gala 103/107 First Floor, Manish Indl., Estate No.1, Vasai (East), Thane District-401202	Socket outlet	IS : 01293 : 88
56.	7064465	94-11-01	Kundan Pesticides, Plot No. 3753, Phase-IV GIDC, Vatva, Mahemdabad Highway, Ahmedabad-382445	Microtrophos SL	IS : 08074 : 90
57.	7064566	94-11-01	Lupia Agro Chemicals (India) Ltd., 242/P D GIDC, Panoli Bharuch-394116	Isoproturon	IS : 11995 : 87
58.	7064667	94-11-01	JKBM Limited, 133—134, GIDC, Industrial Estate, Ankleshwar, Bharuch-393922	Ziram	IS : 11010 : 84
59.	7064768	94-11-01	JSL Industries Ltd., At & Post Mogar, Kheda Distt. (Gujarat)-388340	Polyethylene pipes	IS : 12786 : 89
60.	7064869	94-11-01	Menpara Pumps Pvt. Ltd., 13, Amarnath Estate, Near Forge & Blower Co., Naroda Road, Ahmedabad-380025	Submersible pumps etc	IS : 08034 : 89
61.	7064970	94-11-01	Western Plastics and Rubber Works, 13, Vakharia Indl. Estate, Ram Mandir Road, Goregaon (W), Bombay-400104	Switch socket outlets	IS 04615 : 68
62.	7065063	94-11-01	Magic Electricals, 4/5, Noble Industrial Estate, Navghar, Vasai Road (E), Thane District-401202	Bayonet lamp holders	IS : 01258 : 87
63.	7065164	94-11-01	Shankar Industries, Plot No. A-118, 22nd Road, Wagle Indl. Estate, Thane-400604	Plug and socket outlets	IS : 01293 : 88

1	2	3	4	5	6
64.	7065265	94-11-01	Dharam Industries, A/11-9, Old Nutan School, Bhandran Nagar, S.V. Road, Malad (W), Bombay-400064	Ceiling roses	IS : 00371 : 79
65.	7065366	94-11-01	Jainson Industries 225, Yogi Indl. Estate, Ram Mandir Road, Goregaon (W), Bombay-400104.	Switches	IS : 03854 : 88
66.	7065467	94-11-01	Beekay Industries, 235, Building No. 5, Ashirwad Indl. Estate, Ram Mandir Road, Goregaon (W), Bombay-400104	Switches	IS : 03854 : 88
67.	8073471	94-10-01	Shiva Industries, M-9, Satyawati Nagar, Ashok Vihar, Phase-III Delhi-110052	Electric immersion water heater	IS : 00502 : 92 Part : 02
68.	8073572	94-10-01	Citizen Electrical Inds., A-37, G.T. Karnal Road, Indl. Area, Delhi-110033	Electric iron	IS : 00302 : 92 Part : 02
69.	8073673	94-10-01	Merta Cements Pvt. Ltd., Industrial Complex, P.O. Merta Road 3415 Distt. Nagpur.	OPC	IS : 00269 : 89
70.	8073774	94-10-01	Sabhyata Plastics Pvt. Ltd., D-12 Sadulpur, Industrial Area Sadulpur, Distt. Churu.	UPVC pipe	IS : 04985 : 88
71.	8073875	94-10-01	Standard Fusegear Pvt. Ltd., K-83, Udyog Nagar, New Delhi-110041	Fuse links	IS : 09224 : 79 Part : 02
72.	8073976	94-10-01	Jaypee Gold Paints, 19, Industrial Area, Sikandrabad (U.P.).	Synthetic enamel	IS : 00133 : 75
73.	807406	94-10-01	Nuhea Electricals, H-56, Udyog Nagar, Rohtak Road, New Delhi-110041	Electric immersion water heater	IS : 00569 : 92
74.	8074170	94-10-01	Soneja Electricals, I-13, Kirti Nagar, 1st Floor, New Delhi.	Electric immersion water heater	IS : 00368 : 92
75.	8074271	94-10-01	Arkay Electricals, MSC 174, Yognaya Mohalla, Paharganj, New Delhi-110055	Electric immersion water heater	IS : 00368 : 92
76.	8074372	94-10-01	Anilmata Associates, 227 Nangloi, Sakrawati, Najafgarh Road, New Delhi-110043	Al. conductor	IS : 07098 : 88 Part : 1
77.	8074473	94-10-01	General Engineering Works, Industrial Area Bharatpur (Raj.)-321001	Prestressed concrete	IS : 06006 : 83

1	2	3	4	5	6
78.	8074574	94-11-01	Vandana Udyog Ltd., Plot No. 281, Sector 'C', Urla Industrial Area, Raipur-493221	Uncoated stress relieved strand	IS : 06006 : 82
79.	8074675	94-11-01	Mintex India, 108 A, East Azad Nagar, Delhi-110051	PVC insulated cables	IS : 00694 : 90
80.	8074776	94-11-01	Magnum Steels Pvt. Ltd., 100 Indl. Area, Bannmore, Distt. Morena (M.P.).	HSD steel bars	IS : 01786 : 85
81.	8074877	94-11-01	Golden Cable Co., WZ-125A, Naraina Village, New Delhi-110028	PVC insulated	IS : 00694 : 90
82.	8074978	94-11-01	Jai Bharat Industries 54/4 Umar Nagar Hapur Road, Meerut -250002	Cast iron pipe	IS : 01879 : 87
83.	8075071	94-11-01	Shree Prithvi Steel Rolling Mill (P), Ltd. B-230(A) Road No. 9, VKI Area, Jaipur	Hot rolled steel	IS : 07452 : 90
84.	8075172	94-11-01	Surgi Aid (India), 10 Mohakanipur, Indl. Complex, Phase-II, Delhi-Road, Rithani Meerut (U.P.) 250103	Handloom cotton gauze	IS : 00758 : 88
85.	8075273	94-11-01	MARC Enterprises Pvt. Ltd. S-100 Badli Ind. Area, Phase-II, Delhi-110042	Electric water heater	IS 03078 : 85
86.	8075374	94-11-01	Friend Cable Industries B-27, Sector-8 Noida (U.P.) 201301	PVC insulated cables	IS 00694 : 90
87.	8075475	94-11-01	Anilma Associates 227, Nangloi, Sakrawati, Nazafgarh Road, New Delhi 110043	PVC sheathed cable	IS 07098 : 85 Part : 02
88.	8075576	94-11-01	Bentex Linger Switchgear Co B-65/5 Naraina Indl. Area, Phase - II, 3rd Floor New Delhi-110028	AC watt-hour meter	IS 13010 : 90
89.	8075677	94-11-01	Peekay Industries 9/40A, Bazar Gali Vishwas Nagar Shahdara Delhi-110032	PVC insulated cables	IS 00694 : 90
90.	8075778	94-11-01	Gautam Cable Inds. 222 Nangli Sakrawati Nazafgarh Road, New Delhi-110033	PVC sheathed cable	IS 07098 : 85 Part : 02
91.	8075879	94-11-01	Taruna Metals (P) Ltd. A-11 Jhilmil Ind. Area G.T. Road Shahdara Delhi-110095	PVC insulated cables	IS 00694 : 90

1	2	3	4	5	6
92.	8075980	94-11-01	Sandeep Industries, 9/21-A, Bazar Gali Vishwash Nagar Shahdara Delhi-110032	PVC insulated cables	IS 005994 : 90
93.	8076073	94-11-01	Rajasthan Indl. and Scientific Corporation, 39/40 Indl. Area, Jhotwara, Jaipur-302012	Water meter	IS 02373 : 81
94.	8076174	94-11-01	Jindal Industries, B-72A, Rishi Nagar, Shakur Basti Delhi-110034	LPG stove	IS 04246 : 84
95.	8076275	94-11-01	Shri Balaji Industries P.B. No. 35 Bahadurpur Road, Burhanpur (M.P.) 450331	UPVC screen & casing pipes	IS 12818 : 92
96.	8076376	94-11-01	Omsons (Elec) Pvt. Ltd. 64, Indira Vihar Near Kings way Camp, Delhi-110009	Switches	IS 03854 : 88
97.	8076477	94-11-01	HORA Electricals, A-6, Flated Factory Complex Okhla New Delhi.	Electric water heater	IS 02082 : 85
98.	8076578	94-11-01	K.N. Electricals, 579 Govind Mohala, Halderpur, Delhi-110042	Plug and socket outlet	IS 01293 : 88
99.	8076679	94-11-01	Janar Electrical Motors, C-84/2 Sudershan Park, New Delhi-110015	AC motor	IS 00996 : 79
100.	8076780	94-11-01	Ormi Textiles, Delhi Meerut Road, Village: Kadarabad P.Box. No. 13 Modinagar	Cotton duck	IS 00411 : 83
101.	8076881	94-11-01	S. Kumar & Company, K-71 Udyog Nagar, Rohtak Road, Delhi-110041	Electric water heaters	IS 02082 : 85
102.	8076982	94-11-01	Hira Cement, 572-URLA Industrial URLA, Raipur (M.P.) 493221	OPC	IS 12269 : 87
103.	8077075	94-11-01	Shiram Lamp Industries WZ/A-11, Gulab Bagh, Opp. Nawada Main Najafgarh Road, Uttam Nagar, New Delhi 110059	Electric lamps	IS 00418 : 78
104.	8077176	94-11-01	Mohavh Prasad & Sons, A-44 Sudershan Park, Moti Nagar, Delhi-110015	Electric lamps	IS 00418 : 78
105.	8077277	94-11-01	Hindustan Cables Inds. P-73, Gali No. 5, Shankar Nagar Exten. Delhi-110051	PVC insulated cables	IS 00694 : 90
106.	8077378	94-11-01	Rahul Cable Inds. (Regd.) Gali No. 4, 902/290 Vill. : Shalimar, Indl. Area, Delhi-110052		IS 00694 : 90

1	2	3	4	5	y
107.	8077479	94-11-01	Plaza Cable Industries (Pvt.) Ltd. K-562 Vill : Bakoli Main G.T. Karnal Road, Delhi-110036	PVC insulated cables	IS 00694 : 90
108.	8077580	94-11-01	Maya Fertilizers & Pesticides 8th KM Stone Main Bhopa Road, Muzaffarnagar (U.P.)	Zinc sulphate	IS 08249 : 76
109.	8077681	94-11-01	Pyare Lal Coir Products Pvt. Ltd. Bhola Road Multan Nagar Meerut	Rubberized Coir Sheets	IS 08391 : 87
110.	8077782	94-11-01	Vikrant Cement Pvt. Ltd. Murad Nagar Road Bagpat Meerut	OPC	IS 08112 : 89
111.	8077883	94-11-01	J.U. Pesticides & Chemicals Pvt. Ltd. 33-36 Rural Indl. Estate Loni Distt. Ghaziabad (U.P.)	Dimethoate	IS 03903 : 84
112.	8077984	94-11-01	J.U. Pesticides & Chemicals Pvt. Ltd. 33-36 Rural Indl. Estate Loni Distt. Ghaziabad (U.P.)	Methyl Parathion	IS 08960 : 78
113.	8078077	94-11-01	J.U. Pesticides & Chemicals Pvt. Ltd. 33-36 Rural Industrial Estate Loni Distt. Ghaziabad (U.P.)	BHC	IS 00561 : 78
114.	8078178	94-11-01	J.U. Pesticides & Chemicals Pvt. Ltd. 33-36 Rural Indl. Estate Loni Distt. Ghaziabad (U.P.)	Methyl Parathion	IS 02865 : 78
115.	8078279	94-11-01	J.U. Pesticides & Chemicals Pvt. Ltd. 33-36 Rural Indl. Estate Loni Distt. Ghaziabad (U.P.)	Butachlor	IS 09356 : 80
116.	8078380	94-11-01	Kharoria Steel & Wires (P) Ltd. 3/1, Site IV Sahibabad Indl. Complex Ghaziabad	Mild steel tube	IS 01239 : 90 Part : 01
117.	8078481	94-11-01	Kohinoor Tin Industries T-247 Kabir Basti Malka Ganj Delhi 110006	Steel butt hinge	IS 01341 : 92
118.	8078582	94-11-01	Purshottam Pipes & Inds. Ltd. 112 Village : Sunehra Behind Indl. Estate Roorkee 247667	MS tubes	IS 01239 : 90 Part : 01
119.	8078683	94-11-01	Purshottam Pipes & Inds. Ltd. 112 Vill. Sunehra (Behind Indl. Estate) Roorkee 247667	ERW steel tubes	IS 03601 : 84
120.	8078784	94-11-01	Ramco Steels Vill-Kumhar Khera Dehradun Road Sharanpur 247001	HSD steel bars	IS 01786 : 85

1	2	3	4	5	6
121. 8078885	94-11-01	Rahul Cable Industries Khasra No. 902/290 Gali No. 4 Shalimar Vill. Delhi 110052	Electric cables	IS 01554 : 88 Part : 01	
122. 8078986	94-11-01	AVR Cables Khasra No. 11/16/1 Mundka Marg Majri Village Post Karala Delhi 110081	Shot firing cables	IS 05950 : 84	
123. 8079079	94-11-01	Ankit Pumps (P) Ltd. A-72/A, Road No. I-C Vishwakarma Indl. Area Jaipur	Monoset pump	IS 09079 : 89	
124. 8079180	94-11-01	Soneja Electricals I-13 Kirti Nagar New Delhi 110015	Heating elements	IS 0459 : 83	
125. 8079281	94-11-01	Shiva Industries M-9 Satyawati Nagar Ashok Vihar-III Delhi 110052	Electric iron with sole plate	IS 00302 : 9 Part : 02	
126. 8079382	94-11-01	Kohli Electricals Khasra No. 356/2 Vill : Ali Badarpur New Delhi 110044	AC motor	IS 00996 :	
127. 8079483	94-11-01	Surya Cables F-3/12, Okhla Indl. Area Phase I New Delhi 110020	Electric cable	IS 01554 : 88 Part : 01	
128. 8079584	94-11-01	Micro Super Cable Pvt. Ltd. 77C/1, Mukesh Nagar Shahdara Delhi 110032	PVC insulated winding wires	IS 08783 : 78	
129. 8079685	94-11-01	Venus Electrical Appliances 16/250, Block-I Road No. 3 Bapa Nagar Karol Bagh New Delhi 110005	Electric iron	IS 00302 : 92 Part : 02	
130. 8079786	94-11-01	Western Home Appliances A-14, Swan Park Delhi 110052	Electric iron	IS 00302 : 92 Part : 02	
131. 8079887	94-11-01	Paragaon Cables (India) Khasra 45/6 P.O. Prahladpur Delhi 110042	Electric cable	IS 01554 : 88 Part : 01	
132. 8079988	94-11-01	Modern Suppliers 1/52 Vishwas Nagar Street No. 4 Shahdara Delhi 110032	PVC insulated cables	IS 00694 : 90	
133. 8080064	94-11-01	Elar Industries 1/422, Friends Colony G.T. Road Shahdara Delhi 110032	PVC insulated cables	IS 00694 : 90	
134. 8080165	94-11-01	Krishna Electrical Industries WZ-119, Shakurpur Village Delhi 110034	Electric lamp	IS 00418 : 78	

1	2	3	4	5	6
135. 8080266	94-11-01	Unisef Electronics (India) Pvt. Ltd. A-86 Sector IV Noida	Hot air fan	IS 04283 : 81	
136. 8080367	94-11-01	Frac Power Motors 334, Jonapur Mehrauli New Delhi 110030	Small ac motor	IS 00996 : 79	
137. 8080468	94-11-01	Sonam Enterprises 69 Gobind Mohalla Hyderpur Delhi 110042	Sole plate	IS 00366 : 91	
138. 8080569	94-11-01	Superior Vanaspati Ltd. 17, South Of G.T. Road Bulandshahr Road Indl. Area Ghaziabad (U.P.)	Vanaspati	IS 10633 : 86	
139. 8080670	94-11-01	Aggarwal Iron and Steel Inds. B-33 Bulandshahr Road Indl. Area Ghaziabad (U.P.)	ERW Steel tubes	IS 04270 : 92	
140. 8080771	94-11-01	Gupta Industries 8665, Shidipura Karol Bagh New Delhi	Electric iron	IS 00302 : 92 Part : 02	
141. 8080872	94-11-01	L.V. Powar Products (P) Ltd. Laksar Road Near Sati Kund Kankhal [Hardwar (U.P.)	ACSR	IS 00398 : 76 Part : 02	
142. 8080973	94-11-01	Varshney Engineering Inds. D-1, Indl. Area Merut Road Ghaziabad	Precast concrete	IS 12592 : 88 Part : 01	
143. 8081066	94-11-01	Golden Cable & Reels Mfg. Co. 8796, Opp. Fire Station New Delhi 110005	ATC conductor	IS 09968 : 88 Part : 01	
144. 8081167	94-11-01	J.M. Plastics (P) Ltd. W-38, Sector-II Noida	Ventilating fan	IS 02312 : 67	
145. 8081268	94-11-01	Hunuman Cement Inds. G-121 (B), IInd Phase Marudhor Indl. Area Basni Jodhpur 342005	OPC	IS 00269 : 89	
146. 8081369	94-11-01	G.S. electronics 120 Humayunpur Safderjung Enclave New Delhi 110029	Luminaries	IS 10322 : 87 Part : 05	
147. 8081470	94-11-01	D.D. Chemicals Gram Rangwada Indore (M.P.)	Disinfectant fluid	IS 01061 : 82	
148. 8081571	74-11-01	Avishkar Engineers 8, Haiderpur Village-Haiderpur Delhi 110052	Stainless steel body	IS 04246 : 92	
149. 8081672	74-11-01	Dass Industries 203A, Village Sayyad Nangloi New Delhi 110041	LPG stove	IS 04246 : 92	

1	2	3	4	5	6
150. 8081773	94-11-01	Lal Cables 178-G Light Indl. Area Bhilai-490026 (M.P.)	PVC insulated cables	IS 01554 : 88 Part : 01	
151. 8081874	94-11-01	Plaza Cable Inds. Pvt. Ltd. K-562 Vill. Baokoli Main G.T. Karnal Road Delhi-110036	Electric cables	IS 01554 : 88 Part : 02	
152. 8081975	94-11-01	Kajal Electricals C-108 Naraina Indl. Area Phase-I New Delhi-110028	Electric immersion water heater	IS 00308 : 92	

[No. CMD/13 : 11]

S. K. Karmakar, Addl. Director General

नई दिल्ली, 9 जून, 1995

का. आ. 1876.—भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो (प्रमाणन) विनियम, 1985 के उपविधायक (5) के अनुसरण में भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो एनबू द्वारा अधिसूचित करता है कि जिन लाइसेंसों के विवरण नीचे अनुसूची में दिए गए हैं, वे स्वीकृत कर दिए गए हैं :

अनुसूची

क्रम संख्या	लाइसेंस संख्या	वैधता की अवधि	लाइसेंसधारी का नाम व पता	लाइसेंस के अधीन वस्तु/प्रक्रिया और सम्बन्ध	भारतीय मानक की संख्या
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	5029958	94-08-01	स्टील रोलिंग मिल्स आफ बंगाल लि., 1, आयल इंस्टालेशन रोड, पहाड़पुर, कलकत्ता-700088	सामान्य संरचना कार्यों के लिए इस्पात गोल छड़ 8 मिमि. व्यास से 14 मिमि. व्यास तक	आईएस 02062:92
2.	5030135	94-08-01	स्टील रोलिंग मिल्स आफ बंगाल लि., 1, आयल इंस्टालेशन रोड, पहाड़पुर	कंक्रीट प्रबलन के लिए उच्च सामर्थ्य इस्पात बनिन के मरिण और तार	आईएस 01786:85
3.	5030236	94-09-01	दि-रोलिंग मिल्स, पो. ओ. हीराकुड, जिला सम्बलपुर, उड़ीसा - 768016	सामान्य संरचना कार्यों के लिए इस्पात	आईएस 02062:92
4.	5030337	94-09-01	व टाटा आइरन एंड स्टील कंपनी लि. सिंहभूम, जमशेदपुर-831001	ठंडी बेलनाकार कार्यों के लिए गर्म बेलित कार्वन इस्पात का पत्ती	आईएस 11513:85
5.	5030438	94-09-01	उपाध्याय बल्बस मैग्नु. प्रा. लि., 60/1/1 "0" रोड, बलगाछिया,	जल, गैस और मल के लिए क्षैतिज डूने खोदने के दो फनेज वाले पाइप	आईएस 07181:86
6.	5130539	94-09-01	बंसल मेकेनिकल वर्क्स लि. 228/3, जी टी रोड, नार्थ घुमुरी, हावड़ा	मृद इस्पात नलियां, नलियों और अन्य पिटवा इस्पात की फिटिंग भाग 2 मृद इस्पात की नलियों और अन्य पिटवा इस्पात पाइप फिटिंग	आईएस 01239:92 भाग 02
7.	5030640	94-09-01	अंगोला इंडिया जूट मिल्स कं. लि., जगतबल, 24-परगना (नार्थ), बैस्ट बंगाल	बी-बिटल जूट के बोरे प्रनाज भराई के लिए	आईएस 02566:93
8.	6054356	94-08-01	इलेक्ट्रोनिक्स विजय (इंडिया) प्रा. लि. 46-के प्रार एस रोड, मेठागली, मेमूर-570016	समस्त कार्यों के लिए लैम्प	आईएस 00419:78
9.	6054457	94-08-01	वैशाख एंथ्रो केमिकल्स 73/2 पुधुर हटारी रोड, नेयामेडूर, सलेम-636002	मजाना धारिया 50% ईसी	आईएस 02567:78
10.	6054558	94-08-01	कम्पेन प्लास्टिक इंडस्ट्रीज (प्रा.) लि. 1, जगतनाथ रोड, नवगामब्रकम, मन्नार-600034	प्लास्टिक को फ्लश टंकिया कम गहराई की 10 लीटर धारिता वाली	आईएस 07231:84

1	2	3	4	5	6
11.	6054659	94-08-01	हिस्सुस्तानी विट्ठिल कं. लि., 532 कांडेबिलकम, पारासाला, धिरुअनंतपुरम जिला-695502	एलपीजी के लिए ग्रुप कार्बन आईएस 03196: 92 इस्पात के सिलेंडर सामान्य विवरण के लिए, गैस क्षमता भाग: 01 12.15 और 17 हिदा.	
12.	6054760	94-08-01	बसावी इंडस्ट्रीज 18/573, ए 4, सूटी रोड, बसावी कॉम्प्लेक्स, गुंटाकल (घा. प्र.)	रोडो रोधी बिना खोन के आईएस 00694: 90 इकहरे कोर केबन, तंबे के मास चालक थ्रेणी 5, माइज 4 महावर पी.पी. केवल बाहरी क्षेत्र के लिए	
13.	6054861	94-09-01	मैजिस्ट्रिक मशीन वर्क्स, 1413/सी 1, शक्ति नगर, सत्या रोड, गणपति, कोयम्बतूर-641006	छोटो बिजली की मोटर आईएस 00996: 79	
14.	6054962	94-08-01	मोणार्क पाइप (प्रा.) लि., एन एच 7 हामपापुरम गांव, रायपाड मंडल धनंतपुर (घा. प्र.)-515001	पीबीसी डलवां पाइप आईएस 12818: 92	
15.	6055055	94-08-01	सुजान स्टील लि., 159 बी एंड सी आईडोए, बोलाराम, मेडक जिला (घा. प्र.)	बराबर और सपाट एंगल्स आईएस 02062: 92	
16.	6055156	94-08-01	पावर केब इंडस्ट्रीज, 7/414 कोरायडू रोड, प्रोडाटर, कुडडप्पा जिला (घा. प्र.)	पीबीसी रोधी और खोन वाले केबल इकहरे कोट के एम्पू- मिनियम के नाप चालक थ्रेणी 2	
17.	6055257	94-09-01	सलेम सिलिंडर प्रा. लि., 70/2 संकरी मेम रोड, नेयोमेड सलेम 636002	एलपीजी के अतिरिक्त ग्रुप द्रवणीय गैसों के लिए सिलेंडर भाग: 02	
18.	6055358	94-09-01	अराम् रबड़ कारपोरेशन लि., मालार रबड़ फील्ड्री, कपन. 55 मालार गांव, चित्तूर कालोनी, कालकतम तालुक कन्याकुमारी 629161	प्राकृतिक रबड़ नेरेक्स अमोनिया आईएस 05430: 81 दाब टाइप एच ए	
19.	6055459	94-09-01	सिमको रबड़ एंड प्लास्टिक (प्रा) लि., 106 सी, सिडको इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, अम्मात्तूर, मद्रास 600098	रबड़ उत्पाद के लिए लेटक्स भाग कोर टाइप, सख्त प्रोड	
20.	6055560	94-09-01	सी डी रि-रोलिंग मिल्स प्रा. लि. सर्वे नं. 369, रेलागुडा, शमशाबाद, भारभार जिला (घा. प्र.)	एच एस डी इस्पात छड़ें आईएस 01786: 85	
21.	6055661	94-08-01	सी डी रि-रोलिंग मिल्स प्रा. लि., सर्वे नं. 369, रेलागुडा, शमशाबाद, भारभार जिला (घा. प्र.) 502918	सामान्य संरचना कार्यों के लिए इस्पात आईएस 02062: 92	
22.	6055762	94-08-01	श्री रामसाइबस केमिकल्स, 11-ई, सिपकोट कॉम्प्लेक्स, पुहुक्कोट्टाई, तमिलनाडु-622002	डाइमोथोएंट ईसी 3% आईएस 03903: 84	
23.	6055863	94-09-01	बोसूकोंडा इंटरप्राइस, एपीआईआईसी, प्लाट नं. 13 और 14, रामायणापेटा, काकोनाडा-533005	एलपीजी के धरेलू गैस स्टोव बुहरे वास बर्तन के, स्टेनलेस इस्पात की बॉइल	
24.	6055964	94-09-01	सबरी इंडस्ट्रीज एंड फाउंड्री, 6/1274, मेठपलयम रोड, इवहनाकीलिंगम होम, साइंस कालेज पोस्ट कोयम्बतूर-641043	झुपि उपयोग के लिए अफकेन्डीय आईएस 07538: 75 पम्प हेतु 3 फेसी प्रेरणा मोटर	
25.	6056057	94-09-01	ड टुडियालर को. प्रा. सीकलर सब्स लि., मेठपलयम रोड, टुडियालर पोम्प कोयम्बतूर 641034	मोनोकोन्फॉर्म एसएल 36% आईएस 00974: 90	

1	2	3	4	5	6
26.	6056158	94-09-01	शार्प ट्रस, 9/10 सिमारा रोड, कालापट्टी, कोयम्बतूर 641035	इकहरी फेस छोटी एमी बिजली की मोटर	आईएस 00996: 79
27.	6056259	94-09-01	रायल इंजीनियरिंग कम्पनी, 18-सी, पी एन पन्थम रोड, गणपान, कोयम्बतूर 641006	निमज्जन पम्प	आईएस 08034: 89
28.	6056360	94-09-01	जीन प्रोडक्ट्स 310-जी कामराज रोड, पीलामेडु, कोयम्बतूर 641004	निमज्जन पम्प	आईएस 08034: 89
29.	6056461	94-09-01	लोटस कॉन्क्रेट कं. लि., नाम्दीपुर गांव, सर्वे नं. 31 और 39, दोलवाबाव, हुयनोरा मंडल, मेडक जिला (आ. प्र.)	अल्प वसा के लिए कोका पाउडर	आईएस 01164: 86
30.	6056562	94-09-01	लोटस कांक्रेट कम्पनी लि., नाम्दीपुर गांव सर्वे नं. 31 और 39, दोलवाबाव, हुयनोरा मंडल, मेडक जिला (आ. प्र.)	दुग्ध कॉन्क्रेट	आईएस 01163: 92
31.	6056663	94-09-01	पेना सीमेंट इंडस्ट्रीज लि., तालास्त्रिम्ब, टाडपाशी मंडल, अनसपुर जिला (आ. प्र.)	43 ग्रेड साधारण पोर्टलैंड सीमेंट	आईएस 08112: 89
32.	6056764	94-09-01	स्बीज एनर्जी सिस्टम्स 24-16 आर के नगर, मलकजगिरी, हैदराबाद 500047	टोस बायोमास बूल्हा	आईएस 13152: 91
33.	7054866	94-09-01	सुगम डेयरी, मकडपुरा रोड, बडोदा 390009	प्राकृतिक पनीर (टोस किस्म) आईएस 02785: 79 प्राकृतिक पनीर, स्प्रेड और मुलायम पनीर	
34.	7054967	94-09-01	विकास इकेक्ट्रिक्स प्रा. लि., मधुयानस गोबिन्दी स्टेशन रोड, वेओनार, बम्बई 400088	पानी गर्मने के बिजली के हीटर के साथ उपयोग के लिए थर्मोस्टेट	आईएस 03917: 85
35.	7055060	94-09-01	लेड ओन इंडस्ट्रीज, न्यू बीना मेन रोड, कापडबेडा, तालुक सोनेर, नागपुर जिला 441102	पावर प्रेशर के लिए सामान्य और सुरक्षा प्रपेशर	आईएस 9020: 79
36.	7055161	94-09-01	शेतकारी प्लास्टिक प्रा. लि., सी ; 10/2, एनआईसीईए रोड, सतपुर, नासिक 422077	पेय जल आपूर्ति के लिए बिना प्लास्टिक के पीबीसी पाइप	आईएस 4985: 88
37.	7055262	94-09-01	बिमल बल्ब इंडस्ट्रीज, 39 को-आप इंड. एस्टेट, पार्की वैजनाथ 431515	सामान्य सेवा के लिए बिजली के लैम्पों के टंगस्टन तंतु	आईएस 00418: 78
38.	7055363	94-09-01	नेशनल आरगेनिक केमिकल्स इंडस्ट्रीज प्लाट नं. 1, लोट परशुराम इंड. एरिया, खेड तालुक, रतनगिरी 415722	कनोरोपाइरिफास पायसनीय सांद्र	आईएस 08944: 74
39.	7055464	94-09-01	आशिश केनस एंड कंटेनर्स प्रा. लि. 114/1-2 बडगांव माबल उस रोड, बडगांव पुणे जिला	आबती संकचित पोलीथलीन के पानी के संग्रही टैंक	आईएस 12701: 89
40.	7055565	94-09-01	इंडिया केमि. इंडस्ट्रीज, सर्वे नं. 250/2 खेतराड पोस्ट बमबोरी, तालुक राहरी, अहमदनगर 414001	बिलीचिंग पाउडर, स्थायी	आईएस 01065: 89

1	2	3	4	5	6
41.	7055666	94-09-01	नेशनल इंडस्ट्रीज 24- नूतन केमिकल्स कम्पाउंड, दूसरी मंजिल, वायव्य लेन, गोरेगांव (पू), बम्बई 400063	तीन प्लग पिन और साकेट निर्माण आईएस 01293 : 88	
42.	7055767	94-09-01	वेस्टर्न प्लास्टिक एंड रबड़ वर्क्स, 13 बमडिया इंड. एस्टेट, राम मंदिर रोड, गोरेगांव (पू) बम्बई 400104	तीन पिन प्लग और साकेट निर्माण आईएस 01293 : 88	
43.	7055868	94-09-01	इच्छालकरणजी कौ. ओ. सीमेंट इंड. लि. गेट नं. 200-206 अटटारिगरी, इटागली रोड, पोस्ट मनगांव, कोल्हापुर	43 ग्रेड साधारण पोर्टलैंड सीमेंट - बिनिफिट आईएस 08112 : 89	
44.	7055969	94-09-01	मोरवी मोसाइफ मिनरल्स, 8-ए, नेशनल हाइवे, सम्मुख : लालपर, मोरवी 363642	33 ग्रेड साधारण पोर्टलैंड सीमेंट आईएस 00269 : 89	
45.	7056062	94-09-01	मनमान इंडस्ट्रीज, 222 गुरु गोबिन्द सिंह इंड. एस्टेट, वेस्टर्न एक्सप्रेस हाइवे, गोरेगांव (पू) बम्बई 400063	पीबीसी रोधित (भारी ड्यूटी) बिजली के केबल भाग : 02 भाग 2, 1100 बी. तक कार्यकारी बोल्टिंग के लिए आईएस 01554 : 88	
46.	7056163	94-09-01	भारत स्पन पाइप इंडस्ट्रीज, 119/122 इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, कोपार गांव, ग्रहमवनगर जिला 423601	प्रबलित कंक्रीट पाइप आईएस 00458 : 88	
47.	7056264	94-09-01	हिन्दुस्तान फूड लिमिटेड, असगांव, पोडा, गोवा 403407	दूध छुड़ाने के लिए दूध पर आधारित तैयार भोजन आईएस 01656 : 85	
48.	7056365	94-09-01	20-21 नीरज इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, महाकाली केवस रोड, अंधेरी (पू), बम्बई 400093	स्विच, साकेट, निर्गम (नान इंटरलाकिंग टाइप) आईएस 04615 : 68	
49.	7056466	94-09-01	फिलिप्स इंड. लि., प्रोफेशनल इलेक्ट्रॉनिक इक्विपमेंट फैक्टरी, प्लॉट नं. 80, एमआईडीसी भोसारी इंड. एस्टेट, पो. बा. नं. 56, पूना 411026	बिजली के उपकरणों के लिए ज्वालासह आवरण आईएस 02148 : 81	
50.	7056567	94-09-01	यूनिप्लास्ट इंडस्ट्रीज, डी-1, एटलस मिल कम्पाउंड, 220 रे रोड, बम्बई 400010	निष्पन्न मोटर के लिए पीबीटी रोधी क्लेड तार आईएस 08783 : 78	
51.	7056668	94-09-01	इलेक्ट्रिक कंट्रोल गेयर (इ) लि., रश्मि रोड, ग्रहमदाबाद 380023	प्रबलित धारा प्रबलित सर्किट ब्रेकर आईएस 12640 : 88	
52.	7056769	94-09-01	जी. ए. इलेक्ट्रिकल इंडस्ट्रीज, 206 ओनर्स इंड. एस्टेट, पंताम्बर लेन, महिम, बम्बई 400016	धरेलू और समान कार्यों के लिए स्विच आईएस 03854 : 88	
53.	7056870	94-09-01	ताशकेंट ग्रायस कं. (प्रा) लि., 84 जीआईडीसी एस्टेट, नर्याश्री, बडोवा 391340	नया बिजुल रोधी तेल आईएस 00335 : 83	
54.	7056971	94-09-01	महाराष्ट्रा इंसैक्रीसाइडस लि., & पेस्टीसाइड्स फार्मूलेशन प्लांट, प्लॉट नं. सी-4 एमआईडीसी एरिया शिवार्नी, अकोला अकोला 4393002	फेनबेलेरेट ईसी आईएस 11997 : 87	

1	2	3	4	5	6
55.	7057064	940901	फॉरम इटरप्राइस प्लॉट नं. 322/आएलआर एजियन पेंड्स, सम्मुख: बैंक ऑफ इंडिया, अंकलेखवर जिला भदोच 393002	खारा ग्रेड सनसेट, पीला एफ सं. एफ	आईएस 01695 : 74
56.	7057165	94-09-01	टाइड इंडस्ट्रीज, प्लॉट नं. 231, प्रतापपुर, समीप : बाइट लाइट कार्बन, हलोन, पंचमहल जिला 389350	जूने का पॉलिश पेस्ट	आईएस 01746 : 92
57.	7057266	94-09-01	जॉर्नी प्लास्टिक इंडस्ट्रीज लि., जूनागढ़ - जामनगर हाइवे, जामकोटडन, राजकोट	मिश्राई संबंधी पोलीइथानीन के पाइप	आईएस 12786 : 89
58.	7057367	94-09-01	राबिन इंजीनियरिंग प्रा. लि., 20/1 जीआईसी एस्टेट, बडासन, काडी, मेहसाणा जिला	निमज्जन पम्प	आईएस 08034 : 89
59.	7057468	94-09-01	खानदेश एक्सटेंशन लि., समीप रेलवे स्टेशन, पोस्ट बाक्स नं. 8, बाभीसगांव, जलगांव जिला 424101	वनस्पति पैकिंग के लिए नाय पैक	आईएस 11352 : 85
60.	7057569	94-09-01	नबकोम प्रायल प्राइवेट लि., ई-3/16, 17 एमआईसी कुपवाडा प्लॉट सांगली 416436	वनस्पति पैकिंग के लिए, नायपैक	आईएस 11352 : 85
61.	7057670	94-09-01	एम बी एच पाइपस प्रा. लि., यूनिट नं. 2 प्लॉट नं. 14, जीआईसी इंड. एस्टेट, नरोदा, अहमदाबाद-382330	कृषि कार्यों के लिए, साफ, टंडे पानी के लिए मोनोसेट पम्प	आईएस 09079 : 89
62.	7057771	94-09-01	किरन इंडस्ट्रीज, एम-84 एडल एमआईसी एरिया, खेड़ी पेट्रोल पम्प के पीछे, जलगांव 425003	पेय जल आपूर्ति के लिए, बिना प्लास्टिक के पाइप	आईएस 04985 : 88
63.	7057872	94-09-01	प्रोटेक्टो इंजी. ना. लि., 26-गवर्नमेंट इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, काडवली, बम्बई-400067		आईएस 09283 : 79
64.	7057973	94-09-01	साई लैम्प इंडस्ट्रीज, पोस्ट लहमीन छिदवाडा, 869 (ए) बहापुर पुरा, नासिक जिला 423101	सामान्य सेवा के निजनी के लैम्पों के लिए टंगस्टन तंतु	आईएस 00418 : 78
65.	7058066	94-09-01	सोमाली सीमेंट कम्पनी प्रा. लि., सम्मुख : रेलवे स्टेशन, खरसाविया, पंचमहल 389340	43 ग्रेड साधारण पोर्टलैंड सीमेंट	आईएस 08112 : 89
66.	7058167	94-09-01	ई पी सी इरिगेशन लि., बी/20 एमआईसी, अम्बाड, नासिक-422010	एमीटर	आईएस 133487 : 92
67.	7058268	94-09-01	पुलवराइजिंग इंड. लि., सी-1, एमआईसी न्यू इंड. एस्टेट, शिबार, पोस्ट शिवामी, आकोला जिला 444104	मिश्राई से संबंधित पोलीइथानीन के पाइप	आईएस 12786 : 89

1	2	3	4	5	6
68.	7058369	94-09-01	सोमी टेक्नो इंडस्ट्रीज, 3 बानेर इंडस्ट्रीयल एस्टेट, सोम टेक्मटाइल, रखौल, अहमदाबाद-380028	कृषि कार्यों के लिए साफ, ठंडे पानी के लिए मोनोसेट पम्प	आईएस 09079 : 89
69.	7058470	94-09-01	पटेल एण्ड लालका सीमेंट प्रा. लि., पो.ओ. नारवतराणा. लाजपत रोड, कटक जिला 370615	33 ग्रेड साधारण पोर्टलैंड सीमेंट	आईएस 00269 : 89
70.	7058571	94-09-01	सीमेंट केमिकल्स प्रा. लि., 82/1 जीआईसीसी इंड. एस्टेट, फेस-1, उ. कालपुर फो. प्रापरेटिव बैंक लि., दतबा, अहमदाबाद-382445	डाइक्लोरोबॉम पायमनीय सांद्र (प.पु)	आईएस 05277 : 78
71.	7058672	94-09-01	जी.ए. इलेक्ट्रिकल इंडस्ट्रीज, 206, भानसई इंड. एस्टेट, पीताम्बर लेन, महिम, बम्बई-400016	मीन पिल प्लग, सॉकेट बाहरी	आईएस 01293 : 88
72.	7058773	94-09-01	सेंट्रल केबल्स प्रा. लि., ए-13, एमआईसीसी, हिंगना रोड, नागपुर-440012	शिरोपरि प्रेषण कार्य के लिए एल्यूमीनियम के चालक भाग-1 एल्यूमीनियम के लड़दार चालक	आईएस 00398 : 76 भाग : 02
73.	7058874	94-09-01	सेंट्रल केबल्स प्रा. लि., ए-13, एमआईसीसी, हिंगना रोड नागपुर 440012	शिरोपरि प्रेषण के लिए एल्यूमीनियम के चालक भाग-1 एल्यूमीनियम के लड़दार चालक	आईएस 00398 : 76 भाग : 01
74.	7058975	94-09-01	किशोर इंडस्ट्रीज, 143-आणीबाई इंड. एस्टेट, बिल्डिंग नं. 5, प्रथम तल, राममंदिर रोड, गोरेगांव (पू) बम्बई-400104	घरेलू एवं संबंधित कार्यों के लिए स्विच	आईएस 03845 : 88
75.	7059068	91-09-01	विनय इलेक्ट्रिकल्स, 18-ए सिंह इंड. एस्टेट, राम मंदिर रोड, गोरेगांव (पू), बम्बई-400104	सालिंग रोज	आईएस 00371 : 79
76.	7059169	94-09-01	हीटकापट सर्वे नं. 105/2 ए/2 बी बुधस्क : तालुका हवेली पुणे 411048	अच्छल भंडारण टाइट पानी गर्म करने के बिजली के हीटर	आई एस 02082 : 85
77.	7059270	94-09-01	एपीटी कंट्रोल्स एंड एप्लायंसेस प्रा. लि. 120/21 बिल्डिंग नं. 1 उद्योग विहार इंड. प्रीमिस विटठलवाड़ी (प) थाणे जिला 421003	पानी नसने के बिजली के हीटरों के लिए थर्मोस्टेट	आईएस 03017 : 85
78.	7059371	94-09-01	आईसोटोप इलेक्ट्रिकल प्राइवेट्स 108/205 पलनेट इंड. एस्टेट सुभाष रोड बिले पार्क (पू) बम्बई 400057	अतिशय धरे खोलदार सापन एमीबेंट	आईएस 04159 : 83
79.	7059472	94-09-01	बीवन केमिकल्स प्लॉट नं. बी-35 एमआईसीसी अहमदनगर 414111	बिनीबिंग पाउडर स्थायी	आईएस 01065 : 89
80.	7059573	94-09-01	एरोलाइट इंडस्ट्रीज 5 साती इंड. एस्टेट आईवी पटेल रोड गोरेगांव (पू) बम्बई 4000963	घरेलू एवं संबंधित कार्यों के लिए स्विच	आईएस 03854 : 88

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
81	7059674	94-09-01	एम्प्रीट स्विचगेयर (प्रा.) लि. प्लॉट 14/15 वेस्टर्न एक्सप्रेस हाइवे नं. 8 मम्बुख : सागर पेट्रोल पम्पा, गांधी-सर्वावामी पो. ओ. बालिव, पोणे 401202	एसी ब्राट हायर मोटर ओपी 0.5 1 और 2	आईएस 13010 : 90
82	7059775	94-09-01	ओप्रेमिड इलेक्ट्रिकल इंड. 22 (प्रोड विनिंग) पेटेन इंड. एस्टेट एस. बी. रोड, दहीसार (पू.) बम्बई 400068	घरेलू और संबंधित कार्यों के लिए स्विच	आईएम 33334 : 88
83	8030034	94-08-01	बीएसएनएल इंडस्ट्रियल कारपो 40 जय बीबी रोड, घुसूरी हावड़ा	सामान्य संख्या कार्यों के लिए इस्तेमाल 400 मिमी. से (चौड़ाई) × 6 मिमी. (गीटाई) केवल	आईएम 02062 : 92
84	8065674	94-08-01	अप्रवाल जनरल इंड. वर्क्स प्रा. लि. सी-176, रोड नं. 9 जे. बी. के. आई एरिया, जयपुर	एल्युमिनियम मिश्रधातु	आईएस 00398 : 79 माग : 04
85	8065775	94-08-01	अप्रवाल इंडस्ट्रीज एफ-97 रोड नं. 6, बी. के. आई एरिया, जयपुर	एल्युमिनियम मिश्रधातु	आई एस : 00398 : 79
86	8065876	94-08-01	राहुल इलेक्ट्रिकल्स डी-10 फलेटेड फैक्टरी कम्प्लेक्स, अंबावाधान, रानी सासी रोड, नई दिल्ली	स्निच मरे तापन एलिमेंट	आईएस 04159 : 83
87	8065977	94-08-01	राहुल इलेक्ट्रिकल्स डी-10, फलेटेड फैक्टरी कम्प्लेक्स अंबावाधान रानी सासी रोड नई दिल्ली	पानी गर्मने के लिए बिजली के हमरसन हीटर	आईएम 00368 : 92
88	8066070	94-08-07	एम. ए. रबड़ कं. खसरा नं. 5 गांधी-कमरुउद्दीन नगर समीप : दांगलोई, रोडक रोड, दिल्ली 110041	रबड़ उत्पाद के लिए लेटेक्स भाग	आईएम 01741 : 60
89	8066171	94-08-01	इंडो अमेरिका सीमेंट कारपो. लि. गांधी खेजूरिया बिलाडी जिला जोधपुर	33 ग्रेड साधारण पोर्टलैंड सीमेंट	आईएम 00269 : 89
90	8066272	94-08-01	मध्य प्रदेश एक्सेस्टम प्रा. लि. 20 न्यू मैक्टर, इंड. एरिया, मंडीहीप जिला रायसेन (म. प्र.)	एक्सेस्टम सीमेंट बाब	आईएस 01592 : 89
91	8066373	94-08-01	पिलबुआ बाटर प्रूफिंग कं. पो. ओ. जिवल नगर, हापुड़ रोड, गाजियाबाद	बर्गरोवी केनबल सामान्य और तिराव	आईएस 02089 : 77
92	8066474	94-08-01	कृष्णा इंडस्ट्रियाइसेस, डब्ल्यू जेड-14 तिहाड़ गांधी, नई दिल्ली-110081	पानी गर्मने के बिजली के हमरसन हीटर	आईएस 00368 : 92
93	8066575	94-08-01	बायमंड सीमेंट, बिरवापुर, पो. ओ. नरसिंहगढ़, जिला रामोह 470625	53 ग्रेड साधारण पोर्टलैंड सीमेंट	आईएस 12229 : 87
94	8066676	94-09-01	प्रेसटीज वनस्पति इंड., (प्रा.) प्रेसटीज फूड लि., 161-बी, सेक्टर 1, पीतमपुर जिला धार	वनस्पति, खाद्यपेल और बेकरी मशीन के लिए 15 किग्रा. के चौकोर डिग	आई एस 10325 : 89

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
95.	8066777	94-09-01	ग्वालियर स्पन पाइप, 8-बी, इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, बनसोरे मोरेवा	मेनहोल के लिए ध्वजित कंक्रीट	आईएस 12592 : 91 भाग : 01
96.	8066878	94-09-01	इनाल्सा लि., 9/51 इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, कीर्तिनगर, नई दिल्ली 110015	अधिक गहराई में पानी निकालने के टैंकपम्प	आईएस 13287 : 92
97.	8066979	94-09-01	सितारा सीमेंट प्रा. लि., मोहल्ला बियापूरिया, सरदार शहर, रायतसर रोड, बीपीओ-हरियास गड़सोतन, तह. सरदार शहर, जिला बब	38 ग्रेड साधारण पोर्टलैंड सीमेंट	आईएस 00269 : 89
98.	8067072	94-09-01	सूरी इंटरप्राइजेस, 662/8 घाटी मार्ग, पंजाबी बस्ती, मानन्वपर्वत, नई दिल्ली 110005	सुरक्षित बिजली की इस्तरी	आईएस 00382 : 92 भाग : 02
99.	8067173	94-09-01	कास इलेक्ट्रिकल्स (इंडिया) रजि., 24-सी संजय नगर, गुलाबी बाग, नई दिल्ली 110007	मीनिंग रोज	आईएस 00371 : 79
100.	8067274	94-09-01	जनरल इलेक्ट्रॉनिक कम्पोनेंट, बी-951, शास्त्रीनगर, दिल्ली-110052	बिजली की इस्तरी	आईएस 00366 : 91
101.	8067373	94-09-01	ओ. पी. लैम्प एफ-224 सुदर्शन पार्क, मोतीनगर, नई दिल्ली 110015	साधारण सेवा के लैम्प	आईएस 00418 : 78
102.	8067476	94-09-01	मानमी इलेक्ट्रिकल्स इंडस्ट्रीज, मं. 1 जी डी करमाल रोड, इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, नई दिल्ली 110033	खनिज भरे खोल और तापन एलिमेंट	आई एस 04159 : 83
103.	8067577	94-09-01	नेशनल सीमेंट कारपो., 7-इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, तिकरा, बिलासपुर	33 ग्रेड साधारण पोर्टलैंड सीमेंट	आईएस 00269 : 89
104.	8067678	94-09-01	स्वास्तिक बायर्स, इंड. एस्टेट, भानपुरी, रायपुर	सजावट के लिए अस्तीकृत इस्पात की छड़ें और तार	आईएस 00278 : 78
105.	8067779	94-09-01	हिन्दुस्तान सीमा गाइगी लि., एस-45, साइट 4, साहिबाबाद इंड. एरिया, जिला गाजियाबाद	डाइक्लोरोबैस ई सी 76%	आईएस 05277 : 78
106.	8067880	94-09-01	हिन्दुस्तान सीमा गाइगी लि., एस-45, साइट 4, साहिबाबाद इंड. एरिया, जिला गाजियाबाद	फोस्फाइन	आईएस 06177 : 81
107.	8067981	94-09-01	ग्रिडेंट केबल्स एफ-4, इंड. एरिया, प्रभातपुरा, घजमेर 305002	1100 बी. तक कार्यकारी बोल्टता के लिए पीवीसी रोधी (एचबी) बिजली के केबल	आई एस 01534 : 88 भाग 01
108.	8068074	94-09-01	सोमानी केमिकल्स, एफ-23 बेगराजपुर, इंड. एरिया, मुजफ्फरनगर (उ. प्र.)	फॉस्फोमिडान 85% एस सी	आईएस 06177 : 81

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
109.	8068175	94-09-01	तिरुपति रबड़ इंडस्ट्रीज, प्लॉट नं. 489 खसरा नं. 65 शाहबाद बोलसपुर दिल्ली 110042	एनपीजी के लिए नयी रबड़ की नयी	आईएस 10903 : 84
110.	8068276	94-09-01	स्टील कास्टिंग प्राफ इंडिया लि., 8 इंच. कांफ्लेक्स, माक्सी, जिला शाहजहांपुर	एस्बेस्टम मोमेंट के लिए बिजोय बलवा लोहा	आईएस 05531 : 88
111.	8068377	94-09-01	नाइटस्वीन केमिकल्स (प्र) लि., खसरा नं. 894. भवपुर ग्राही, दिल्ली 110036	आवायिन मन्थर दाना	आईएस 13439 : 92
112.	8068478	94-09-01	राजरत्न बायर्स लि., 200-बी सैक्टर 1, पीतमपुरा जिला धार (म. प्र.)	पूर्व प्रतिबलित कंक्रीट के लिए सादे कठोर कपित इस्पात के तार	आईएस 01785 : 83
113.	8068578	94-09-01	जे सिल्टर पापीकान प्रा. लि., एच-13-14 इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, हीरावाला, कनोटा. जयपुर	पेयजल आपूर्ति के लिए यूपीबीसी के के पाइप	आईएस 04985 : 88
114.	8068680	94-09-01	एसएससी फुड्स लि., रेलवे रोड, नानुटा, जिला सहारनपुर	मलाई युक्त दूध पाउडर	आईएस 1334 : 90 भाग : 01
115.	8068781	94-09-01	राजरत्न बायर्स प्रा. लि., 300-बी, सैक्टर 1, पीतमपुरा, जिला धार (म. प्र.)	वायधारी सीमेंट के लिए बिना लेपित सम्बद्ध लड़	आईएस 06006 : 83
116.	8068882	94-09-01	राजरत्न बायर्स प्रा लि., 200-बी, सैक्टर 1, पीतमपुरा, जिला धार (म. प्र.)	पूर्व प्रतिबलित कंक्रीट के लिए सादे, कठोर कपित इस्पात के तार	आईएस 01785 : 83 भाग : 01
117.	8068983	94-09-01	जे. के. उदयपुर उद्योग लि., पो. ओ. बजाज नगर, जिला उदयपुर 313021	43 ग्रेड साधारण पोर्टलैंड सीमेंट	आईएस 08112 : 89
118.	8069076	94-09-01	विनीको प्लास्टिक प्रा. लि. 9/31-सी शास्त्री नगर, विश्वास नगर, दिल्ली 110032	बिद्युत रोधन के लिए बिद्युत रोधी संप्रेशन के मजबूत सादे बालक	आईएस 09537 : 83
119.	8069177	94-09-01	वीपक इलेक्ट्रिकल इंच., 6049 नारायणा इंच. एरिया, नई दिल्ली 110028		आईएस 06446 : 86
120.	8069278	94-09-01	गौतम केबल्स, 222 नांगली सेकरावती, नजफगढ़ रोड, नई दिल्ली 110043	कासलिकड पोलीइथलीन के पीबीसी रोधी, छोले बांध बिद्युत रोधी केबल, एसी चालकों सहित	आईएस 07098 : 88 भाग : 01
121.	8069379	94-09-01	बेकवेल मिल्क प्राउक्तेस, 9 वां कि. मी. स्टोन, समीप मनामी रेलवे स्टेशन, दिल्ली रोड, सहारनपुर 247001	बिस्कूट	आईएस 010011 : 92

New Delhi, the 9 June, 1995

S.O. 1876.—In pursuance of sub-regulation (5) of the Bureau of Indian Standards (certification) Regulation, 1988, the Bureau of Indian Standards, hereby notifies the grant of licences particulars of which are given in the following schedule.

THE SCHEDULE

Sl. No. CM/L No.	Operative Date	Name & Address of the Party	Article/Process covered by the licence	IS : No Part
1. 5029958	94-08-01	Steel Rolling Mill of Bengal Ltd 1 Oil Installation Road Paharpur Calcutta 700088	Steel for general structural purposes round bars of 8 mm Dia upto and including 14 mm dia only	IS 02292 : 92
2. 5030135	94-08-01	Steel Rolling Mill of Bengal Ltd 1 Oil Installation Road Paharpur Calcutta 700088	High Strength deformed steel bars and wired for concrete reinforcement	IS 01786 : 85
3. 5030236	94-09-01	Re-rolling Mills P.O. Hirakud Distt. Sambalpur Orissa 768016	Steel for general structural purposes	IS 02062 : 92
4. 5030337	94-09-01	The Tata Iron and Steel Company Limited Singhbhum Jamshedpur 831001	Hot rolled carbon steel strip for cold rolling purposes	IS 11513 : 85
5. 3030438	94-09-01	Upadhaya Valves Mfrs. Pvt. Ltd. 60/1/1 'O' Road Belgachia Howrah 711105	Horizontally Cast Iron Double Flanged Pipes for Water, Gas and Sewage	IS 01781 : 86
6. 5030539	94-09-01	Bensal Mechanical Works Limited 228/3, G.T. Road North Ghusuri Howrah	Mild Steel tubebars, Tubebars and other wrought steel fittings : part 2 mild steel tubebars and other wrought steel pipe fittings	IS 01229 : 92 Part : II
7. 5030840	94-09-01	Anglo India Jute Mills Co. Ltd. Jagatdal 24 Parganas (North) West Bengal	B-Twill jute bags for packing foodgrains	IS 02566 : 93
8. 605436	94-08-01	Litetronics Vijay (India) Pvt Ltd 46 K.R.S. Road Metagally Mysore 570016	GLS Lamps	IS 00418 - 78
9. 6054457	94-08-01	Vaigai Agro Chemicals 73/2 Puthur Ittery Road Nethimedu Salem 636002	Mjalathion 50% EC	IS 02567 - 78
10. 6054558	94-08-01	Campion Plastic Industries (P) Ltd Jagannathan Road Nungambakkam Madras 600034	Plastic Flushing Cistern, Low Level Capacity 10 L	IS 07231 : 84
11. 6054659	94-08-01	Hindustany Cylinder Co. Ltd. PPVI, 532, Kodavilakam Parassala Thiruvananthapuram District 695502	Low carbon Steel Cylinders for LPG for parallel marketing, of gas capacities 12, 15 & 17 KG.	IS 03196 : 92 Part : 01
12. 6054760	94-08-01	Vasavi Industries 18/575-A, Gooty Road Vasavi Complex Guntakal (A.P.) 515801	PVC insulated unsheathed single core cable with copper conduct or of flexibility class 5, size upto 4 sq mm, excluding cables for outdoor use	IS 00694 : 90
13. 6054861	94-09-01	Majestic Machine Works 1413/C1 Sakthi Nagar Sathy Road Coimbatore-641005	Small AC electric motors.	IS 00996 : 79

1	2	3	4	5	6
14.	6054962	94-08-01	Monarch Pipes (P) Ltd. NH-7, Hampapuram Village Raphthadu Mandal, Ananthapur (A.P.) 515001.	UPVC Casing Pipes	IS 12818 : 92
15.	6055055	94-08-01	Sujana Steels Ltd. 159 B&C. IDA Bollaram, Medak Dist. (A.P.)	Equal Angles and Flats	IS 02062 : 92
16.	6055156	94-08-01	Power Cab Industries 7/414, Korrapadu Road, Proddatur, Cuddapah Dist. (A.P.) 516361	PVC Insulated and sheathed cables with single core, aluminium conductor of Flexibility Class.	IS 00694 : 90
17.	6055257	94-09-01	Salem Cylinder Private Ltd 70/2 Sankari Main Road Nethimedu Salem 636002	Cylinders for liquifiable gases other than LPG	IS 03196 : 02 Part : 02
18.	6055358	94-09-01	Arasu Rubber Corporation Ltd., Mylar Rubber Factory, Coup No 355, Mylar Village, Chithar Colony, Kalkulam Taluk Kanyakumari 629161	Natural Rubber Latex, Ammonia Preserved type HA	IS 05430 : 81
19.	6055459	94-09-01	Simco Rubber & Plastic (P) Ltd 106-C, SIDCO Industrial Estate Ambattur Madras 600098	Latex foam rubber products, cored type, Hard grade	IS 01741 : 60
20.	8055560	94-08-01	C.D. Re-rolling Mills Private Ltd. Survey No. 369 Rallaguda Shamshabad R.R. Dist. (A.P.) 509218	HSD Steel bars	IS 01786 - 85
21.	6055661	94-08-01	C D Re-rolling Mills Pvt. Ltd. Survey No. 369 Rallaguda Shamshabad R.R. Dist (A.P.) 509218	Steel for general structural purposes	IS 02062 : 92
22.	6055762	94-08-01	Shree Ramicides Chemicals 11-E, Sipcot Complex Pudukkottai Tamilnadu 622002	Dimethoate EC 30%	IS 03903 : 84
23.	6055863	94-08-01	Bosukonda Enterprises APIIC, Plot No 13 & 14 Ramanayyapeta Kakinada 533005	Domestic gas stoves for use with LPG double brass burners, stainless steel body, drip tray	IS 04246 : 92
24.	6055964	94-08-01	Sabari Industries & Foundry 6/1274 Mettupalayam Road Avanashilingam Home Science College Post Coimbatore 641043	3 phase induction motors for centrifugal pumps for agricul- tural applications	IS 07538 : 75
25.	6056057	94-09-01	The Tudiyalur Co-op. Agricultural Services Ltd. Mettupalayam Road Tudiyalur Post Coimbatore 641034	Monocrotophos SL 36%	IS 08074 : 90
26.	6056158	94-09-01	Sharp Tools 9/10 Sitara Road Kalappatti Coimbatore 641035	Single phase small AC electric motors	IS 00996 : 79

2	3	4	5	6
27. 6056259	94-09-01	Royal Engineering Company 18-C, P.N. Palayam Road Ganapathy Coimbatore 641006	Submersible pumpsets	IS 08034 : 89
28. 6056360	94-09-01	Gen Products 310-G, Kamarajar Road Peelamedu Coimbatore 641004	Submersible pumpsets	IS 08034 : 89
29. 6056461	94-09-01	Lotus Chocolate Company Limited Nasthipur Village Sy. Nos. 31 & 39 Daulatabad Hathnoora Mandal Medak Dist. (A.P.)	Cocoa Powder low fat only	IS 01164 : 86
30. 6056562	94-09-01	Lotus Chocolate Company Limited Nasthipur Village Sy. Nos. 31 & 39 Daulatabad Post Hathnoora Mandal Medak Dist. (A.P.)	Milk Chocolates	IS 01163 : 92
31. 6056663	94-09-01	Penna Cement Industries Limited Talaricheruvu Tadpatri Mandal Anantapur Dist. (A.P.)	43 grade OPC	IS 08112 : 89
32. 6056764	94-09-01	Suwib Energy Systems 24-16 R.K. Nagar Malkajgiri Hyderabad 500047	Solid Bri Mass Chulha	IS 13152 : 91 Part : 01
33. 7054866	94-09-01	Sugam Dairy Makarpura Road Baroda 390009	Natural Cheese (Hard Variety), processed cheese, processed cheese spread and soft cheese	IS 02785 : 79
34. 7054967	94-09-01	Vikas Electrics Pvt. Ltd. Madhumanas, Govandi Station Rd. Deonar Bombay 400088	Thermostates for use with electric water heaters	IS 03017 : 85
35. 7055060	94-09-01	Lead on Industries New Bina Main Road Kaperkheda Taluk : Saoner Nagpur District 441102	General and safety requirements for power threshers	IS 09020 : 79
36. 7055161	94-09-01	Shetkari Plastics P. Ltd. C-10/2 N.I.C.E. A-Road Satpur Nasik 422077	Unplasticised PVC pipes for potable water supplies	IS 04985 : 88
37. 7055262	94-09-01	Vimal Bulb Industries 39 Co. op Indl. Estate Parli-Vaijnath 431515	Tungsten filament general service electric lamps	IS 00418 : 78
38. 7055363	94-09-01	National Organic Chemical Industries Ltd. Plot No. 1 Lote Persuram Indl. Area Khed Taluka Ratnagiri 415722	Chlorpyrifos emulsifiable concentrates	IS 03944 : 74
39. 7055464	94-09-01	Ashish Cans & Containers P. Ltd. 114/1-2 Vadgaon Maval Urse Road Vadgaon Pune District	Rotational moulded polyethylene water storage tanks	IS 12701 : 89

1	2	3	4	5	6
40. 7055565	94-09-01	India Chem Industries Survey No. 250/2 At-Khatrad Post Vambori Taluk : Rahuri Ahmednagar District 414001	Bleaching powder, stable	IS 01065 : 89	
41. 7055666	94-09-01	National Industries 24 Nutan Chemical Compound 2nd floor Valbhat Lane Goregaon (E) Bombay 400063	Three-Pin plugs and socket outlets	IS 01293 : 85	
42. 7055767	94-09-01	Western Plastics and Rubber Works 13, Vakharia Indl. Estate Ram Mandir Road Goregaon (W) Bombay 400104	Three-pin plugs and socket outlets	IS 01293 : 88	
43. 7055868	94-09-01	Ichalkaranji Co-op Cement Indus. Ltd. Gate No. 200—206 Attgre Hataknangale Road At Post Mangaon Kolhapur	43 grade Ordinary Portland Cement—Specification (First Revision)	IS 01811 : 89	
44. 7055969	94-09-01	Morbi Mosaic Minerals 8-A, National Highway Opp. Lalpar Morbi 363642	33 grade OPC	IS 00269 : 89	
45. 7056062	94-09-01	Sanman Industries 227, Guru Gobind Singh Indl. Estate, Off Western Express Highway Goregaon (E) Bombay 400063	PVC insulated Heavy Duty electric cables : Part 2 for working voltages upto and including 1100 V	IS 01554 : 88 Part : 01	
46. 7056163	94-09-16	Bharat Spun Pipe Industries 119/122, Industrial Estate Kopargaon Ahmednagar District 423601	Precast Concrete pipes	IS 00458 : 88	
47. 7056264	94-09-01	Hindustan Foods Limited Usgaon Ponda Goa 403407	Milk Cereal based weaning foods	IS 01656 : 85	
48. 7056365	94-09-16	20-21, Niraj Industrial Estate Mahakali Caves Road Andheri (East) Bombay 400093	Switch socket outlets (Non- interlocking type)	IS 04615 : 68	
49. 7056466	94-09-01	Philips India Ltd. Professional Electronic Equipment Factory Plot No. 80, MIDC, Bhosari Indl. Estate, P. Bag No. 56 Pune 411026	Flame proof enclosures for electrical apparatus	IS 02148 : 84	
50. 7056567	94-09-01	Uniplast Industries D-1, Atlas Mill Compound 220, Reay Road Bombay 400010	PVC insulated winding wires for submersible motors	IS 08783 : 78	
51. 7056668	94-09-01	Electric Control Gear (I) Ltd. Rakhial Road Ahmedabad 380023	Residual Current operated circuit breakers	IS 12640 : 88	
52. 7056769	94-09-01	G.A. Electrical Industries 206, Owners Indl. Estate Pitamber Lane Mahim Bombay 400016	Switches for domestic and similar purposes	IS 03854 : 88	

1	2	3	4	5	6
53.	7056870	94-09-01	Tashkent Oil Co. (P) Ltd. 84, GIDC Estate Nandesari Baroda-391340	New insulating oils	IS 00335 : 83
54.	7056971	94-09-01	Maharashtra Insecticides Ltd. Pesticides Formulation Plant Plot No. C-4 MIDC Area Shivani Akola-444104	Fenvalerate EC	IS 119971 : 87
55.	7057064	94-09-01	Forrum Enterprises Plot No. 322/B, Nr. Asian Paints Opp. Bank of India Ankleshwar Distt. Bharuch-393002	Sunset yellow FOF, Food grade (First Revision)	IS 01695 : 74
56.	7057165	94-09-01	Tide Industries Block No. 231, Prathapura Near Brite Lite Carbon Halol Panchmahal District-389350	Shoe Polish, Paste	IS 01746 : 92
57.	7057266	94-09-01	Jolly Plastic Industries Ltd. Junagadh-Jamnagar Highway Jamkandonn Rajkot	Polyethylene Pipes for irrigation laterals	IS 12786 : 89
58.	7057367	94-09-01	Garwin Engineering Private Limited 20/1, GIDC Estate Budasan Kadi Mehsana District	Submersible pumpsets	IS 08034 : 89
59.	7057468	94-09-01	Khandesh Extraction Limited Near Rly. Station Post Box No. 8 Chalisgaon Jalgaon District-424101	Flexible packs for the packing of Vanaspatti	IS 11352 : 85
60.	7057569	94-09-01	Navcom Oil Products Limited E-3/16, 17 MIDC Kupwad Block Sangli-416436	Flexible packs for the packing of Vanaspatti	IS 11352 : 85
61.	7057670	94-09-01	MBH Pumps Pvt. Ltd. Unit No. 2 Plot No. 14 GIDC Indl. Estate Nareda Ahmedabad-382330	Monoset pumps for clear, cold water for agricultural purposes	IS 09079 : 89
62.	7057771	94-09-01	Kiran Industries M-84, Addl. MIDC Area Behind Khedi Petrol Pump Jalgaon-425003	Unplasticised PVC pipes for potable water supplies	IS 04985 : 88
63.	7057872	94-09-01	Protecto Engg. Pvt. Ltd. 26, Govt. Industrial Area Kandivli Bombay-400067		IS 09283 : 79
64.	7057973	94-09-01	Sai Lamp Industries At Post Tal : Chandwad 869 (A), Bahadurpura Nasik District-423101	Tungsten Filament general service Electric Lamps	IS 00418 : 78
65.	7058066	94-09-01	Somani Cement Company Pvt. Ltd. Opp. Railway Station Kharsalia Panchmahals-389340	43 grade OPC	IS 08112 : 89

1	2	3	4	5	6
66. 7058167	94-09-01	EPC Irrigation Limited B/20, MIDC Ambad Nasik Nasik 422010	Emitters		IS 13487 : 92
67. 7058268	94-09-01	Polyolefins Inds. Ltd. C-1, MIDC New Indl. Estate at-Shivar Post—Shivani Akola Dist. 444104	Polyethylene Pipes for irrigation laterals		IS 12786 : 89
68. 7058369	94-09-01	Sony Techno Industries 3 Banner Industrial Estate Opp. Soma Textiles Rakhial Ahmedabad 380023	Monoset pumps for clear, cold water for agricultural purposes		IS 09079 : 89
69. 7058470	94-09-01	Patel & Lalka Cement P Ltd PO Nakhatrana Lakkhat Road Kutch District 370615	33 grade OPC		IS 00269 : 89
70. 7058571	94-09-01	Cement Chemicals Pvt Ltd 82/1 MIDC Indl Estate Phase-I, Nr. Kalupur Co-operative Bank Ltd., Vatwa Ahmedabad 382445	Dichlorvos emulsifiable concen- trates (First Revision)		IS 05277 : 78
71. 7058672	94-09-01	G.A. Electrical Industries 206, Owners Indl Estate Pitamber Lane Mahim Bombay 400016	Three-Pin Plugs socket outlets		IS 01193 : 88
72. 7058773	94-08-01	Central Cables Pvt. Ltd. A-13, MIDC Hingna Road Nagpur 440012	Aluminium conductors for overhead transmission purposes: Part : 02 Part 2 aluminium conductors, galvanized steel reinforced		IS 00398 : 76
73. 7058874	94-09-01	Central Cables Pvt. Ltd. A-13, MIDC Hingna Road Nagpur 440012	Aluminium conductors for overhead transmission purposes: Part : 01 Part-1 Aluminium stranded conductors		IS 00398 : 76
74. 7058975	94-09-01	Kishore Industries 143 Ashirwad Indl. Estate Bldg. No. 5, 1 Floor Ram Mandir Road Goregaon (W) Bombay 400104	Switches for domestic and similar purposes		IS 03845 : 88
75. 7059068	94-09-01	Vinay Electricals 18-A, Sigh Inls. Estate Ram Mandir Road Goregaon West Bombay 400104	Ceiling roses		IS 00371 : 79
76. 7059169	94-09-01	Heatkraft Survey No. 105/2A/2B, Budhruk, Taluka', : Haveli Pune 411048	Stationery storage type electric water heaters (third revision)		IS 02082 : 85
77. 7059270	94-09-01	APT Controls & Appliances Pvt Ltd 120/121 Building No. 1 Udyog Vihar Indl Premises Vithalwadi (W) Thane District 421003	Thermostats for use with electric water Heaters		IS 03017: 85
78. 7059371	94-09-01	Isotemp Electrical Products 108/205 Planet Indl Estate Subhash Road Vile-Parle (E) Bombay 400057	Mineral filled sheathed heating elements		IS 04159 : 83

1	2	3	4	5	6
79. 7059472	94-09-01	Jeevan Chemicals Plot No. B-35 MIDC Ahmednagar 414111	Bleaching Powder, stable	IS 01065 : 89	
80. 7059573	94-09-01	Aerolite Inds. 5, Sati Indl. Estate I.B. Patel Road, Goregaon (E) Bombay 400 063	Switches for domestic and similar purposes	IS 03854 : 88	
81. 7059674	94-09-01	Esprit Switchgear (P) Ltd Plot 14/15 Western Express Highway No. 8 Opp. Sagar Petrol Pump Village Sativali, P.O. Valiv Thane 401202	AC watt-Hour meters, Class 0.5, 1 and 2	IS 13010 : 90	
82. 7059775	94-09-01	Progressive electrical Indl. 22(Old Building) Patel Indl. Estate S.V. Road DA-ISAR (E) Bombay 400068	Switches for domestic and similar purposes	IS 03854 : 89	
83. 8030034	94-03-01	Coimbatore Industrial Corpn. 4c Joy BIBI Road Ghosuri Howrah 711107	Steel for general structural purposes flats upto and including 400 mm (width) X 6 mm (Thickness) only	IS 02042 : 88	
84. 8065674	94-08-01	Agarwal general Engg. Works Pvt Ltd C-17G, Road No. 9J V.K.I. Area Jaipur	Aluminium Alloy	IS 00398 : 79 Part : 04	
85. 8065775	94-08-01	Arvind Industries F-97, Road No. 6 V.K.I. Area Jaipur	Aluminium Alloy	IS 00398 : 79 Part : 04	
86. 8065876	94-08-01	Rahul Electricals D-10, Flatted Factory Complex Jhandewalan, Rani Jhansi Road New Delhi 110055	Mineral filled sheathed heating element	IS 04159 : 83	
87. 8065977	94-08-01	Rahul Electroicals D-10 Flatted Factory Complex Jhandewalan Rani Jhansi Road New Delhi 110055	Electric immersion water heater	IS 00368 : 92	
88. 8066070	94-08-01	M.A. Rubber Co Khasra No. 5 Vill. Kamaruddin Nagar Near Nangloi Rohtak Road Delhi 110041	Latex foam rubber Products	IS 01741 : 60	
89. 8066171	94-08-01	Indo American Cement Corpn. Ltd. Vill.—Khejaria Bilare Distt. Jodhpur	33 grade OPC	IS 00269 : 89	
90. 8066272	94-08-01	Madhya Pradesh Asbestos Pvt Ltd. 20 New Sector Indl. Area Manideep Distt. Raisen (M.P.)	Asbestos Cement Pressure	IS 01592 : 89	
91. 8066373	94-08-01	Pilkhuwa Water Proofing Co. P.O. Jindal Nagar Hapur Road Ghaziabad	Common proofed canvas/duck and Paulings (Tarpaulins)	IS 02089 : 77	

1	2	3	4	5	6
92.	8066474	94-08-01	Krishna Enterprises WZ-14, Tihar Village New Delhi-110018	Electric immersion water heater	IS 00368 : 92
93.	8066575	94-08-01	Diamond Cements Birlapur P.O. Narsingarh Distt. Damoh 470675	53 grade OPC	IS 12229 : 87
94.	8066676	94-09-01	Prestige Vanaspati Inds. (Pro. Prestige Foods Ltd.) 161-B, Sector 1 Pithampur Distt. Dhar	Square tins-15 kg for ghee, Vanaspati edible oils and bakery shortenings	IS 10325 : 89
95.	8066777	94-09-01	Gwalior Spun Pipes 8-B, Industrial Area Banmore Mojena	Precast concrete manhole	IS 12592 : 91 Part : 01
96.	8066878	94-09-01	Inalsa Ltd. 9/51 Industrial Area Kirti Nagar New Delhi-110015	Extra deep well hand pump	IS 13287 : 92
97.	8066979	94-09-01	Sitara Cement Pvt. Ltd. Maha la Vyopariyan Sardar Sahar, Rawatsar Road, VPO. Hariyasar, Gharsoan Teh. Sardarsahar Distt. Churu	33 grade OPC	IS 00269 : 89
98.	8067072	94-09-01	Suri Enterprises 662/8 Ghatl Marg Punjabi Basti Anand Parbat New Delhi-110006	Electric Iron for safety	IS 00302 : 92 Part : 02
99.	8067173	94-09-01	Kas Electricals (India) Regd. 24-C, Sanjay Nagar Gulabi Bagh Delhi-110007	Geiling roses	IS 00371 : 79
100.	8067274	94-09-01	General Electronic Components B-951 Shastri Nagar Delhi-110052	Electric Iron	IS 00365 : 91
101.	8067375	94-09-01	J.P. Lamps F-924, Sudershan Park Moti Nagar New Delhi-110015	GLS lamps	IS 00418 : 78
102.	8067476	94-09-01	Mansi Electrical Industries No. 1 G.T. Karnal Road Industrial Area, Delhi-110033	Mineral filled sheathed heating element	IS 04159 : 83
103.	8067577	94-09-01	National Cement Corpn 7 Industrial Area Tifra Bilaspur	33 grade OPC	IS 00269 : 89
104.	8067678	94-09-01	Swastik Wires Ind. Estate Bhanpuri Raipur	Galvanised Steel barbed wired for fencing	IS 00278 : 78
105.	8067779	94-09-01	Hindustan Ciba Geigy Ltd. S-45 Site 4 Sahibabad Indl. Area Distt. Ghaziabad	Dichlorous EC 76 %	IS 05277 : 78

1	2	3	4	5	6
106. 8067880	94-09-01	Hindustan Ciba Geigy Ltd. S-45, Site 4 Sahibabad Indl. Area Distt. Ghaziabad	Phosphanidom	IS 06177 : 81	
107. 8067981	94-09-01	Arihant Cables F-4 Indl. Area Parbatpura Ajmer-305002	PVC insulated (HD) electric cables for working voltage upto & including 1100V	IS 01554 : 88 Part : 01	
108. 8068074	94-09-01	Somani Chemicals H-23 Begraipur Indl. Area Muzaffarnagar (U.P.)	Phosphamitron 85% SC	IS 06177 : 81	
109. 8068175	94-09-01	Tirupati Rubber Industries Plot No. 489, Khasra No. 65 Shahbad Daulatpur Delhi-110042	Flexible Rubber tubing for LPG	IS 10908 : 84	
110. 8068276	94-09-01	Steel Castings of India Ltd. 6 Indl. Complex Maksi Distt. Shajapur	Cast iron specials for asbestos Cement	IS 05531 : 88	
111. 8068377	94-08-01	Knight Queen Chemicals (P.) Ltd. Khasra No. 894, Alipur Garhi Delhi-110036	Allethrin mosquito mats	IS 13439 : 92	
112. 8068478	94-09-01	Rajratan Wires Pvt. Ltd. 200-B, Sector I, Pithampur Distt. Dbar (M.P.)	Plain hard drawn steel wired for prestressed concrete as drawn wire	IS 01785 : 83	
113. 8068579	94-09-01	Jai Sinter Polycon Pvt. Ltd. H-13-14 Industrial Area Heerawala Kanota Jaipur	UPVC pipes for potable water supplies	IS 04985 : 88	
114. 8068680	94-09-01	SMC Foods Ltd. Railway Road Nanuta Distt. Saharanpur	Skim Milk Powder	IS 13334 : 92 Part : 01	
115. 8068781	94-09-01	Rajratan Wire Pvt. Ltd. 200-B, Sector I, Pithampur Distt. Dhar (M.P.)	Uncoated stress relieved strand for pressurised cement	IS 06006 : 83	
116. 8068882	94-09-01	Rajratan Wires Pvt. Ltd. 200-B, Sector I Pithampur Distt. Dhar	Plain hard drawn steel wires for prestressed concrete cold drawn stress relieved wire	IS 01785 : 83 Part : 01	
117. 8068983	94-09-01	J.K. Udaipur Udyog Ltd. P.O. Bajaj Nagar Distt. Udaipur-313021	43 grade OPC	IS 08112 : 89	
118. 8069076	94-09-01	Vinico Plastics Pvt. Ltd. 9/81-C, Shastri Gali Vishwas Nagar Delhi-110032	Rigid plain conduits of insulating material for electrical installation	IS 09537 : 83	
119. 8069177	94-09-01	Deepak Electrical Ind. A-49-Naraina Inds. Area New Delhi-110028	Mica Insulated heating elements	IS 06445 : 86	

1	2	3	4	5	6
120. 8069278	94-09-01	Gautam Cables 222, Nangli Sekrawati Najafgarh Road New Delhi-110043		Cross linked polyethylene (XLPE) insulated PVC sheathed cables with AC conductor	IS 07098 : 88 Part :01
121. 8069379	94-09-01	Bakewell Milk Products 9th K.M. Stone Near Manani Railway Station Delhi Road Saharanpur-247001		Biscuits	IS 01011 : 92

[No. CMD/13 : 11]

S.K. KARMAKAR, Addl. Director General

मानव संसाधन विकास मंत्रालय

सारणी

(युवा कार्यक्रम और खेल विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 7 जून, 1995

का.प्रा. 1877.—सरकारी स्थान (अप्राधिकृत अधिकारियों की बेइखली) अधिनियम, 1971 की धारा-3 के अंतर्गत प्रवर्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा भारत सरकार के राजपत्रित अधिकारी के समक्ष निम्नलिखित सारणी के कॉलम (1) में उल्लिखित अधिकारी को उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोजनार्थ संपदा अधिकारी नियुक्त करती है जो उक्त सारणी के कॉलम (2) में सदृश प्रविष्टियों में निविष्ट सरकारी स्थानों के संबंध में उक्त अधिनियम द्वारा अथवा इसके अंतर्गत संपदा अधिकारियों को प्रवर्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करेंगे और उन्हें सौंपे गए कार्यों को सम्पन्न करेंगे।

1	2
श्री एन.डी. ग्रोवर, अवर सचिव, युवा कार्यक्रम और खेल विभाग, शास्त्री भवन, नई दिल्ली	केन्द्रीय सरकार के स्वामित्व वाले और देश में खेलों के संवर्धन और विकास के लिए भारतीय खेल प्राधिकरण (भा.खे.प्रा.) के नियंत्रणाधीन रखे गए अन्य संलग्न सुविधाओं के साथ नई दिल्ली स्थित निम्नलिखित परिसर :— (1) जवाहरलाल नेहरू स्टेडियम (2) इंदिरा गांधी स्टेडियम (3) यमुना वेलोड्रम (4) राष्ट्रीय स्टेडियम (5) तालकटोरा तरणताल परिसर (6) डा. कर्णी सिंह शूटिंग रेंज, तुगलकाबाद (7) पर्यटक परिसर, तुगलकाबाद

[फा. सं. 6-5/92-भा.खे.प्रा.]

अश्वनी कुमार, डेस्क अधिकारी

MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT

(Deptt. of Youth Affairs & Sports)

New Delhi, the 7th June, 1995

S.O.1877:—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 3 of the Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorised Occupants) Act, 1971, the Central Government hereby appoints the officer mentioned in column (1) of the Table below, being equivalent to Gazetted Officer of the Govt. of India, to be Estate Officer for the purpose of the said Act, who shall exercise the powers conferred and perform the duties imposed on Estate Officers by or under the said Act, in respect of the Public Premises specified in the corresponding entries in Column (2) of the said Table.

TABLE

1	2
Shri. N.D. Grover, Under Secretary, Department of Youth Affairs. & Sports, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.	The following premises at New Delhi along with other facilities attached with them owned by the Central Government and placed under the control of the Sports Authority of India for promotion and development of sports in the country :— (i) Jawaharlal Nehru Stadium. (ii) Indira Gandhi Stadium. (iii) Yamuna Velodrome. (iv) National Stadium (v) Talkatora Swimming Pool Complex. (vi) Dr. Karni Singh Shooting ranges, Tuglakabad (vii) Tourist Complex, Tuglakabad.

[F.No. 6-5/92-SAI]

ASHWANI KUMAR, Desk Officer

कोयला मंत्रालय

प्रादेश

नई दिल्ली, 6 जून, 1995

MINISTRY OF COAL

ORDER

New Delhi, the 6th June, 1995

का.प्रा. 1878.—कोयला धारक क्षेत्र (अर्जन और विकास) अधिनियम, 1957 (1957 का 20) की (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) धारा 9 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन निकाली गई भारत सरकार के कोयला मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना संख्यांक का.प्रा. 413, तारीख 26 मई, 1993 के, भारत के राजपत्र, भाग 2, खंड 3, उपखंड (ii), तारीख 5 फरवरी, 1994 में प्रकाशित होने पर, उक्त अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में (जिन्हें इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त भूमि कहा गया है) खनिजों के खनन, खदान, बोर करने, उनकी खुदाई और तलाश करने, उन्हें प्राप्त करने, उन पर कार्य करने और उन्हें ले जाने के अधिकार उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 10 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन, सभी विस्तरणों से मुक्त होकर, आत्यंतिक रूप से केन्द्रीय सरकार में निहित हो गए थे,

और, केन्द्रीय सरकार का यह समाधान हो गया है कि वेस्टन कोल फील्ड्स लि., नागपुर (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् सरकारी कंपनी कहा गया है), ऐसे निबंधनों और शर्तों का, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार इस निमित्त अधिरोपित करना उचित समझे, अनुपालन करने के लिए राजावे है,

अतः, अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 11 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, यह निदेश देती है कि इस प्रकार निहित उक्त भूमि में पूर्वोक्त अधिकार, तारीख 5 फरवरी, 1994 से केन्द्रीय सरकार से इस प्रकार निहित बने रहने की बजाय निम्नलिखित निबंधनों और शर्तों के अधीन रहने हुए, उक्त सरकारी कंपनी में निहित हो जाएंगे, अर्थात्:—

- (1) उक्त सरकारी कंपनी, उक्त अधिनियम के उपबंधों के अधीन अवधारित प्रतिकर, ब्याज, नुकसानी और बैसी ही सबों की बाबत किए गए सभी संशयों को केन्द्रीय सरकार को प्रतिपूर्ति करेगी;
- (2) उक्त सरकारी कंपनी द्वारा शर्त (1) के अधीन, केन्द्रीय सरकार को संदेय रकमों का अवधारण करने के प्रयोजन के लिए एक अधिकरण का गठन किया जाएगा तथा ऐसे किसी अधिकरण और ऐसे अधिकरण की सहायता के लिए नियुक्त व्यक्तियों के संबंध में उपगत सभी व्यय, उक्त सरकारी कंपनी वहन करेगी और इसी प्रकार, इस प्रकार निहित उक्त भूमि के अधिकारों के लिए या उनके संबंध में सभी विधिक कार्य-बाहियों, जैसे अपील, आदि की बाबत उपगत सभी व्यय भी, उक्त सरकारी कंपनी वहन करेगी;
- (3) उक्त सरकारी कंपनी, केन्द्रीय सरकार या उसके पक्षधारियों की, ऐसे किसी अन्य व्यय के संबंध में, जो इस प्रकार निहित उक्त भूमि में के पूर्वोक्त अधिकारों के बारे में, केन्द्रीय सरकार या उसके पक्षधारियों द्वारा या उनके विरुद्ध किन्हीं कार्यवाहियों के संबंध में आवश्यक हो, प्रतिपूर्ति करेगी;
- (4) उक्त सरकारी कंपनी को, केन्द्रीय सरकार के पूर्व अनुमोदन के बिना इस प्रकार निहित उक्त भूमि में के पूर्वोक्त अधिकार किसी अन्य व्यक्ति को अंतरित करने की शक्ति नहीं होगी; और
- (5) उक्त सरकारी कंपनी, ऐसे निबंधनों और शर्तों का, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा, जब कभी आवश्यक हो, उक्त भूमि के विशिष्ट क्षेत्रों के लिए दिए जाए या अधिरोपित की जाएं, पालन करेगी।

[फा.सं. 43015/4/88-एल.एम.डब्ल्यू.]

नरेन्द्र भगत, निदेशक

S.O. 1878.—Whereas on the publication on the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Coal, number S.O. 413 dated the 26th May, 1993 in the Gazette of India, Part-II, Section 3, Sub-section (ii) dated the 5th February, 1994, issued under sub-section (1) of section 9 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957 (20 of 1957), (hereinafter referred to as the said Act), the rights to mine, quarry, bore, dig, and search for, win, work and carry away minerals in the lands described in the Schedule appended to the said notification (hereinafter referred to as the said lands) vested absolutely in the Central Government free from all encumbrances under sub-section (1) of section 10 of the said Act;

And whereas the Central Government is satisfied that the Western Coalfields Limited, Nagpur (hereinafter referred to as the Government Company) is willing to comply with such terms and conditions as the Central Government thinks fit to impose in this behalf;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 11 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs that the aforesaid rights in the said lands, so vested, shall, with effect from 5th Feb., 1994, instead of continuing to so vest in the Central Government vest in the Government Company, subject to the following terms and conditions, namely:—

1. the Government Company shall reimburse the Central Government all payments made in respect of compensation, interest, damages and the like, as determined under the provisions of the said Act;
2. a tribunal shall be constituted for the purpose of determining the amounts payable to the Central Government by the Government Company under condition (1), and all expenditure incurred in connection with any such tribunal and persons appointed to assist the tribunal shall be borne by the Government Company and, similarly, all expenditure incurred in respect of all legal proceedings like appeals etc. for or in connection with the rights in the said lands, so vesting shall also be borne by the Government Company;
3. the Government Company shall indemnify the Central Government or its officials against any other expenditure that may be necessary in connection with any proceedings, by or against the Central Government or its officials regarding the aforesaid rights, in the said lands, so vesting;
4. the Government Company shall have no power to transfer the aforesaid rights in the said lands, so vested, to any other person without the previous approval of the Central Government; and
5. the Government Company shall abide by such directions and conditions as may be given or imposed by the Central Government for particular areas of the said lands as and when necessary.

[No. 43015/4/88-LSW]

N. BHAGAT, Director

शुद्धि-पत्र

नई दिल्ली, 23 मई, 1995

का.प्रा. 1879.—भारत के राजपत्र, तारीख 28 मई, 1994 के भाग-2, खंड-3, उपखंड (ii) में पृष्ठ 1671 से 1674 पर प्रकाशित भारत सरकार, कोयला मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना का.प्रा. 1248 तारीख 4 अप्रैल, 1994 में:—

पृष्ठ क्रमांक—1671 अधिसूचना में:

पंक्ति 1—“(1957 का 22)” के स्थान पर “(1957 का 20)” गढ़े।

पंक्ति 7—“परामर्श करने के” के स्थान पर “परामर्श करने के पश्चात्”

पढ़ें।

ग्रन्थसूची “क” में,

“बिसरामपुर क्षेत्र” के स्थान पर “बिसरामपुर क्षेत्र” पढ़ें।

तालिका में, जिला स्तंभ के नीचे,

“सुरजपुर” के स्थान पर “सरगुजा” पढ़ें। और जिला स्तंभ के नीचे जहाँ वहाँ भी “सुरजपुर” शब्द प्रयुक्त हुआ उसके स्थान पर “सरगुजा” पढ़ें।

टिप्पणियों स्तंभ के नीचे,

“108. 219 भाग” के स्थान पर “भाग” पढ़ें।

पृष्ठ क्रमांक 1672, ग्राम कापसरा (भाग) में अज्ञित किए गए प्लॉट संख्यांक में,

स्तंभ 1—“254 (भाग), के स्थान पर “254 (भाग),

225(भाग)”, 255 (भाग)”, पढ़ें।

स्तंभ 2—“303(भाग)” के स्थान पर “303” पढ़ें।

“714(भाग)” के स्थान पर “715” पढ़ें।

तालिका में, क्षेत्र हेक्टर में स्तंभ के नीचे क्रम में, 1 “12.975” के स्थान पर “112.975” पढ़ें।

पृष्ठ क्रमांक 1673 ग्राम कापसरा (भाग) में अज्ञित किए गए प्लॉट संख्यांक में,

स्तंभ 2—“602 से 679” के स्थान पर “602 से 679” पढ़ें।

ग्राम बरोधी (भाग) में अज्ञित किए गए प्लॉट संख्यांक में,

स्तंभ 3—“290 (भाग)” के स्थान पर “290” पढ़ें।

स्तंभ 4—“444, के स्थान पर “444
475” 445,

475.” पढ़ें।

पृष्ठ क्रमांक 1674

ग्राम लेन्दोपारा (भाग) में अज्ञित किए गए प्लॉट संख्यांक में,

स्तंभ 1—“2 (भाग)”, के स्थान पर “1(भाग),
2(भाग)” पढ़ें।

“26(भाग), के स्थान पर “26(भाग),
28 (भाग)”, 27(भाग),
28(भाग)”, पढ़ें।

स्तंभ 2—“40 से 44, के स्थान पर “40 से 44,
46,” 45(भाग),
46”, पढ़ें।

स्तंभ 3—“111 से 126 के स्थान पर “111 से 126,
128 (भाग)”, 127(भाग),
128(भाग)”, पढ़ें।

स्तंभ 4—“146(भाग), के स्थान पर “146(भाग),
148(भाग)”, 147(भाग),
148(भाग)”, पढ़ें।

[फा. सं. 43015/14/90-एल.एस.डब्ल्यू.]
नरेन्द्र भगत, निदेशक

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 23rd May, 1995

S.O. 1879.—In the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Coal number S.O. 1248 dated the 4th April, 1994, published at pages from 1674 to 1677 of the Gazette of India, Part-II, Section 3, Sub-section (ii) dated the 28th May, 1994,—

(1) at page 1675, in the Schedule ‘A’, under the heading “Plot numbers acquired in village Kapsara (Part)”, in column 3, in line 8, for “346 to 471” read “446 to 471”.

(2) at page 1677, in Schedule ‘B’, under the heading “Plot numbers acquired in village Durti (Part)”, for “567 (part)” read “569 (part)”.

under the heading “Boundary description”, in item D-C-B, in line 3, for “93, 600” read “593, 600”.

[No. 43015/14/90-LSW]

N. BHAGAT, Director

शुद्धि-पत्र

नई दिल्ली, 5 मई, 1995,

का.आ. 1880—भारत के राजपत्र दिनांक 6 अगस्त, 1994 के भाग-II, खंड 3, उपखंड (ii) में पृष्ठ संख्या 2716 से 2726 पर प्रकाशित भारत सरकार के कोषना मंत्रालय की अधिमृचना का.आ. 1857 दिनांक 24 जून, 1994 में:—

पृष्ठ संख्या 2716 पर अधिमृचना से —

(1) “का.आ. 1858” के स्थान पर “का.आ. 1857” पढ़िए।

(2) सारणी में क्रम संख्या 1 स्तंभ 1 में—

“डाकघर कान्हा” के स्थान पर “डाकघर कन्हान” पढ़िए।
सारणी में, स्तंभ 2 में—

“(1) इन्द्र (2) कम्पटी” के स्थान पर “(1) इन्द्र (2) काम्पटी” पढ़िए।

(3) सारणी में, क्रम संख्या 3, स्तंभ 2 में—

“(1) मिलेवर (2) बान्गी, और निर्माणाधीन” के स्थान पर—
“(1) मिलेवारा (2) बननी, और नियंत्रणाधीन” पढ़िए।

(4) सारणी में, क्रम संख्या 4, स्तंभ 2 में—

“(2) पतनसोरी” के स्थान पर “(2) पाटनसावंगी” पढ़िए।

(5) सारणी में क्रम संख्या 5, स्तंभ 2 में—

“साबनेर खान संख्यांक” के स्थान पर “(1) साबनेर खान संख्यांक-1” पढ़िए।

पृष्ठ संख्या 2717 पर सारणी में क्रम संख्या 12 स्तंभ 1 में—

(6) “चन्द्रा रैपत:—बाडी समूह” के स्थान पर “चान्द्रा रैयतबारी समूह” पढ़िए।

(7) सारणी में क्रम संख्यांक 17, स्तंभ 1 में—

“पाती क्षेत्र” के स्थान पर “बणी क्षेत्र” पढ़िए।

(8) सारणी में, क्रम संख्या 18, स्तंभ 1 में—

“तहसील पानी” के स्थान पर “तहसील बणी” पढ़िए।

(9) सारणी में, क्रम संख्या 19, स्तंभ 1 में—

“डाकघर बेल्लोर” के स्थान पर “डाकघर बेल्लोरा” पढ़िए।
पृष्ठ संख्या 2718 पर, सारणी में, क्रम संख्या 20 स्तंभ 1 में—

(10) “यानी क्षेत्र” के स्थान पर “बणी क्षेत्र” पढ़िए। और “डाकघर बल्लोर” के स्थान पर “डाकघर बल्लोरा” पढ़िए।

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 5th June, 1995

S.O. 1880.—In the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Coal number S.O. 1857, dated the 24th June, 1994, published at pages 2716 to 2726 of the Gazette of India, Part-II, Section-3, Sub-section (ii) dated the 6th August, 1994,—

- (11) सारणी में, क्रम संख्या 25, स्तंभ 1 में—
“कान्हा क्षेत्र” के स्थान पर “कान्हा क्षेत्र” पढ़िए।
- (12) सारणी में, क्रम संख्या 26, स्तंभ 1 में—
“कान्हा क्षेत्र” के स्थान पर “कान्हा क्षेत्र” पढ़िए।
- (13) सारणी में, क्रम संख्या 27, स्तंभ 1 में—
“कान्हा क्षेत्र” के स्थान पर “कान्हा क्षेत्र” पढ़िए।
- (14) सारणी में, क्रम संख्या 28, स्तंभ 1 में—
“कान्हा क्षेत्र” के स्थान पर “कान्हा क्षेत्र” पढ़िए।
और स्तंभ 2 में, “(1) नन्दन” के स्थान पर “(1) नन्दन—1” पढ़िए।
- (15) सारणी में, क्रम संख्या 29, स्तंभ 1 में—
“टाइसी परियोजना” के स्थान पर “टाइसी परियोजना” पढ़िए।
और “कान्हा क्षेत्र” के स्थान पर “कान्हा क्षेत्र” पढ़िए।
और स्तंभ 2 में—(1) टाइसी परियोजना के स्थान पर “टाइसी परियोजना” पढ़िए।
पृष्ठ संख्या 2719 पर क्रम संख्या 33, स्तंभ 2 में—
- (16) “बुर्कई के स्थान पर “बुर्कई” पढ़िए।
पृष्ठ संख्या 2720 पर क्रम संख्या 42 स्तंभ 1 में—
- (17) “प्रबंधक अभिकर्ता” के स्थान पर “प्रबंधक/अभिकर्ता” पढ़िए।
और “जुनाड उपक्षेत्र” के स्थान पर “जुनाड उपक्षेत्र” पढ़िए।
और “कनॉ रेलवे स्टेशन” के स्थान पर “कनॉ रेलवे स्टेशन” पढ़िए। और स्तंभ 2 में “जुनाड” के स्थान पर “जुनाड” पढ़िए।

1. at page 2720, in the notification, for “Estate Officers for the purposes of the said Act the the said officers shal” read “Estate Officers for the purposes of the said Act and the said officers shall”;
2. at page 2721, in the table, against serial number 8, in column (1) for “Dhoptala Srpur Area” read “Dhoptala Sub-Area”;
3. at page 2722, in the Table, against serial number 10, in column (2), for “All premises of (1) Wirur underground mine and other premises belonging to or under the belonging to or under the control of the” read “All premises of (1) Wirur Underground mine and other premises belonging to or under the control of the”
4. at page 2723, in the Table;
 - (i) against serial number 17, in column (1), for “Ghugus Group” read “Ghugus Group, Wani Area”;
 - (ii) against serial number 18, in column (1) for “Niljai Sub-Area” read “Niljai-I Sub-Area”;
5. at page 2724 in the Table,
 - (i) against serial number 24, in column (1), for “Sarni Sub-Area” read “Sarni Sub-Area, Sarni mine”;
 - (ii) against serial number 25, in column (1), for “Post office, Iachauri” read “post office Palchauri”;
 - (iii) against serial number 26, in column (1) for “Post office Jungaria” read “Post office Dungaria”.

[फा. सं. 43022/5/93-एस एस डब्ल्यू]

नरेन्द्र भगत, निदेशक

[No. 43022/5/93-LSW]

N. BHAGAT, Director

नई दिल्ली 7, जून, 1995

का.आ. 1881.—केन्द्रीय सरकार ने कोयला धारक क्षेत्र (अर्जन और विकास) अधिनियम 1957 (1957 का 20) की धारा 4 की उपधारा (i) के अधीन भारत के राजपत्र, भाग 2, खंड 3, उपखंड (ii), तारीख 23 अप्रैल, 1994 में प्रकाशित भारत सरकार के कोयला मंत्रालय (कोयला विभाग) की अधिसूचना सं. का.आ. 953 तारीख 24 मार्च 1994 द्वारा उस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट परिक्षेत्र की भूमि में जिसका माप 700.00 हेक्टर (लगभग) या 1729.77 एकड़ (लगभग) है, कोयले का पूर्वेक्षण करने के अपने आशय की सूचना दी थी;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार का यह समाधान हो गया है कि उक्त भूमि के भाग में कोयला अभिप्राय है।

अतः केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7 की उपधारा (i) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए उससे संलग्न अनुसूची खंड-I और खंड-II में वर्णित 322.76 हेक्टर (लगभग) या 797.57 एकड़ (लगभग) माप की उक्त भूमि और ऐसी भूमि में या उन पर के सभी अधिकारों का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की सूचना देती है।

टिप्पण 1:—इस अधिसूचना के अंतर्गत आने वाले क्षेत्र के रेखांक सं. सी.-1(ई)/III/जे.जे.आर./547-494 तारीख 5 अप्रैल, 1994 का निरीक्षण कलकटर, यवतमाल (महाराष्ट्र) के कार्यालय में या कोयला नियंत्रक, 1, कार्मिल हाउस स्ट्रीट, कलकत्ता, पिन-700001 के कार्यालय में या वेस्टर्न कोलफील्ड्स लि., (राजस्व अनुभाग), कोल इस्टेट, सिविल लाइन्स, नागपुर-440001 (महाराष्ट्र) के कार्यालय में किया जा सकता है।

टिप्पण 2—कोयला धारक क्षेत्र (अर्जन और विकास) अधिनियम, 1957 (1957 का 20) की धारा 8 के उपबंधों की और ध्यान आकृष्ट किया जाता है, जिसमें निम्नलिखित उपबंध हैं:—

“8. अर्जन की बाबत आपत्तियां:—

(1) कोई व्यक्ति जो किसी भूमि में जिसकी बाबत धारा 7 के अधीन अधिसूचना निकाली गई है, हितबद्ध है अधिसूचना के निकाले जाने से तीस दिन के भीतर सम्पूर्ण भूमि या उसके किसी भाग या ऐसी भूमि में या उस पर के किसी अधिकारों का अर्जन किए जाने के बारे में आपत्ति कर सकेगा।

स्पष्टीकरण—इस धारा के अर्थात्तरगत यह आपत्ति नहीं मानी जाएगी कि कोई व्यक्ति किसी भूमि में कोयला उत्खनन के लिए स्वयं खनन संक्रियाएं करनी चाहता है और ऐसी संक्रियाएं केन्द्रीय सरकार या किसी अन्य व्यक्ति को नहीं करनी चाहिए।

(2) उपधारा (1) के अधीन प्रत्येक आपत्ति सक्षम प्राधिकारी को लिखित रूप में की जाएगी और सक्षम प्राधिकारी आपत्तिकर्ता को स्वयं मृते जाने का या किसी विधि व्यवसायी द्वारा मुनवाई का अवसर देगा और ऐसी सभी आपत्तियों को सुनने के पश्चात् और ऐसी अतिरिक्त जांच, यदि कोई हो, करने के पश्चात् जो वह आवश्यक समझता है वह या तो धारा 7 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन अधिसूचित भूमि के या ऐसी भूमि में या उस पर के अधिकारी के संबंधों में एक रिपोर्ट या ऐसी भूमि के विभिन्न टुकड़ों या ऐसी भूमि में या उस पर के अधिकारों के संबंध में आपत्तियों पर अपनी सिफारिशों और उसके द्वारा की गई कार्रवाई के अभिलेख सहित विभिन्न रिपोर्टें केन्द्रीय सरकार को उसके विनिश्चय के लिए देगा।

(3) इस धारा के प्रयोजनों के लिए वह व्यक्ति किसी भूमि में हितबद्ध समझा जाएगा जो प्रतिकर में हित का दावा करने का हकदार होता यदि भूमि या ऐसी भूमि में या उस पर के किन्हीं अधिकारों को इस अधिनियम के अधीन अर्जित कर लिया जाता।

टिप्पणी 3:—केन्द्रीय सरकार ने कोयला नियंत्रक, 1 काउंसिल हाउस स्ट्रीट, कलकत्ता को अधिनियम के अधीन सक्षम प्राधिकारी नियुक्त किया है।

अनुसूची खंड—I

निरगुणा परियोजना

बणी क्षेत्र

जिला यवतमाल (महाराष्ट्र)

(रेखांक सं. सी-I(ई)/III/जे.आर./547-494, तारीख 5 अप्रैल, 1994)

क्र.सं. ग्राम का नाम	पटवारी सकिल सं.	तहसील	जिला	हेक्टर में क्षेत्र	टिप्पणियां
1. मुंगोली	108	बणी	यवतमाल	226.84	भाग
2. साखरा	108	बणी	यवतमाल	1.63	भाग
				कुल क्षेत्र: 228.47 हेक्टर (लगभग)	
				या	
				564.57 एकड़ (लगभग)	

ग्राम मुंगोली में अर्जित किए जाने वाले प्लॉट संख्यांक:—

23, 24/1-24/1क/24/2, 25/1-25/2, 51, 54, 55/1-55/2-55/3, 56/1-56/2, 57 से 59, 60/1-60/2 64 से 66, 67/1-67/2, 68 से 71, 75 से 85, 86/1-86/2, 87 से 103, 104/1-104/2, 105/1-105/1क-105/1ख-105/1ग-105/2, 105/3, 106 से 108, 109/1-109/2-109/3, 110 से 117 118/1-118/2, 119 से 121, 122/1-122/2, 123/1-123/2 124/1-124/2, 125 से 129, 130/1-130/2, 131 से 135, 136/1-136/2-136/3, 137 से 140, 141/1-141/2, 142/1-142/2, 143 से 149, 151, 152, मड़क 1

ग्राम साखरा में अर्जित किए जाने वाले प्लॉट संख्यांक:

211, 212

सीमा वर्णन:

- क—ख रेखा बिन्दु "क" से आरंभ होती है और प्लॉट संख्यांक 142/1-142/2, 143, 189 की बाहरी सीमा के साथ-साथ ग्राम मुंगोली से होकर जाती है तथा बिन्दु "ख" पर मिलती है।
- ख—ग—घ—ङ रेखा प्लॉट संख्यांक 148, 151, 126, 119, 118/1-2, 24/1-24/1क-24/2, 23 की बाहरी सीमा के साथ-साथ ग्राम मुंगोली से होकर जाती है और बिन्दु "ङ" पर मिलती है।
- ङ—च रेखा प्लॉट संख्यांक 23, 24/1-24/1क-24/2, 25/1-25/2, 54, 51, 60/1-60/2 की बाहरी सीमा के साथ-साथ ग्राम मुंगोली से होकर जाती है और बिन्दु "च" पर मिलती है।

- च—छ रेखा प्लॉट संख्यांक 95, 64, 65, 71, 70, 69, 152, 75 की बाहरी सीमा के साथ-साथ ग्राम मुंगोली से होकर जाती है और ग्राम मुंगोली और माखरा की सम्मिलित ग्राम सीमा के साथ-साथ आगे बढ़ती है, फिर प्लॉट संख्यांक 212 की बाहरी सीमा के साथ-साथ ग्राम माखरा से होकर आगे बढ़ती है और बिन्दु "छ" पर मिलती है।
- छ—ज रेखा प्लॉट संख्यांक 212, 211 की बाहरी सीमा के साथ-साथ ग्राम माखरा से होकर जाती है और बिन्दु "ज" पर मिलती है।
- ज—झ रेखा ग्राम माखरा और कोलगांव, मुंगोली और कोलगांव की सम्मिलित ग्राम सीमा के साथ-साथ जाती है तथा बिन्दु "झ" पर मिलती है।
- झ—ञ रेखा ग्राम मुंगोली और सिवानी की सम्मिलित ग्राम सीमा के साथ-साथ जाती है तथा बिन्दु "ञ" पर मिलती है।
- ञ—क रेखा प्लॉट संख्यांक 140, 142/1, 142/2 की बाहरी सीमा के साथ-साथ ग्राम मुंगोली से होकर जाती है और आरंभिक बिन्दु "क" पर मिलती है।

अनुसूची—खंड—II

निरगुडा परियोजना

बणी क्षेत्र

जिला यवतमाल (महाराष्ट्र)

(रेखांक सं.सी.—I(ई)/III/जे.जे.आर./547-494, तारीख 5 अप्रैल, 1994)

सभी अधिकार

क्र.सं.	ग्राम का नाम	पटवारी सर्फिल सं.	तहसील	जिला	हैक्टर में क्षेत्र	टिप्पणियां
1.	मुंगोली	108	बणी	यवतमाल	76.04	भाग
2.	माथोली	108	बणी	यवतमाल	27.25	भाग

कुल क्षेत्र : 94.29 हैक्टर (लगभग)

या

233.00 एकड़ (लगभग)

सभी अधिकार क्षेत्र का योग—खंड I + खंड II—228.47 + 94.29=322.76 हैक्टर (लगभग) या 797.57 एकड़ (लगभग)

ग्राम मुंगोली में अर्जित किये जाने वाले प्लॉट संख्यांक :

1 से 6, 7/1-7/2, 8/1-8/2, 9 से 11, 12/1-12/1क-12/2-12/3, 13/1-13/2, 14, 15, 16/1-16/2, 17 से 19,

20/1-20/2-20/3-20/4-20/5-21, 22, 150

ग्राम माथोली में अर्जित किये जाने वाले प्लॉट संख्यांक :

21/1-21/2, 22 से 24, 25/1-25/2-25/3, 26 से 29, सड़क भाग

सीमा वर्णन :

- ख—ट रेखा बिन्दु "ख" से आरम्भ होती है और वर्धा नदी के दक्षिणी किनारे के साथ-साथ ग्राम मुंगोली और माथोली से होकर जाती है तथा बिन्दु "ट" पर मिलती है।
- ट—ठ रेखा प्लॉट संख्यांक 29 और 28 की बाहरी सीमा के साथ-साथ ग्राम माथोली से होकर जाती है, सड़क की पार करती है और बिन्दु "ठ" पर मिलती है।
- ठ—ड रेखा सड़क की दक्षिणी सीमा के साथ-साथ ग्राम माथोली से होकर जाती है फिर प्लॉट संख्यांक 20/1-20/2-20/3, 20/4-20/5, 22 की बाहरी सीमा के साथ-साथ ग्राम मुंगोली से होकर आगे बढ़ती है और बिन्दु "ड" पर मिलती है।
- ड—ध—ग—ख रेखा प्लॉट संख्यांक 22, 21, 18, 17, 4, 3, 2, 1, 150 की बाहरी सीमा के साथ-साथ ग्राम मुंगोली से होकर जाती है और आरंभिक बिन्दु "ख" पर मिलती है।

[फा.सं. 43015/19/93-एल.एस.डब्ल्यू.]

नरेन्द्र भगत, निदेशक

New Delhi, the 7th June, 1995

S.O. 1881.—Whereas by the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Coal No. S.O 953, dated the 24th March, 1994 published in the Gazette of India, Part-II, Section-3, sub-section (ii) dated 23rd April, 1994 under sub-section (1) of Section 4 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957 (20 of 1957), the Central Government gave notice of its intention to prospect for coal in 700.00 hectares (approximately) or 1729.77 acres (approximately) of the lands in locality specified in the Schedule annexed to that notification ;

And whereas the Central Government is satisfied that coal is obtainable in a part of said lands ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 7 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby gives notice of its intentions to acquire the lands measuring 322.76 hectares (approximately) or 797.57 acres (approximately) and all rights in or over such lands as described in Schedule Block-I and Block-II appended hereto :

Note 1 :—The plan bearing No. C-1(E)III/JJR/547-494 dated the 5th April, 1994 of the area covered by this notification may be inspected in the Office of the Collector, Yavatmal (Maharashtra) or in the Office of the Coal Controller, 1, Council House Street, Calcutta (PIN 700 001) or in the Office of the Western Coalfields, Limited (Revenue Section), Coal Estate, Civil Lines, Nagpur-440 001 (Maharashtra).

Note 2:—Attention is hereby invited to the provisions of section 8 of the Coal Bearing Area (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957 (20 of 1957) which provides as follows :

8. Objections to Acquisition :

- (1) Any person interested in any land in respect of which a notification under section 7 has been issued

may within thirty days of the issue of the notification, object to the acquisition of the whole or any part of the land or of any rights in or over such land.

Explanation :—It shall not be an objection within the meaning of this section for any person to say that he himself desires to undertake mining operations in the land for the production of coal and that such operations should not be undertaken by the Central Government or by any other person.

- (2) Every objection under sub-section (1) shall be made to the competent authority in writing and the competent authority shall give the objector an opportunity of being heard either in person or by a legal practitioner and shall, after bearing all such objections and after making such further enquiry, if any, as he thinks necessary, either makes a report in respect of the land which has been notified under sub-section (1) of Section 7 or of rights in or over such land, or make different reports in respect of different parcels of such land or of rights in or over such land, to the Central Government, containing his recommendations on the objections, together with the record of the proceedings held by him for the decision of that Government.

- (3) For the purpose of this section, a person shall be deemed to be interested in land who would be entitled to claim an interest in compensation if the land or any rights in or over such land were acquired under this Act.

Note 3 :—The Coal Controller, 1 Council House Street, Calcutta has been appointed by the Central Government as the competent authority under the Act.

SCHEDULE BLOCK-I

NIRGUDA PROJECT

WANI AREA

DISTRICT YAVATMAL (MAHARASHTRA)

(Plan No. C-1(E)III/JJR/547—494 dated the 5th April, 1994)

All Rights

Serial Number	Name of Village	Patwari circle number	Tahsil	District	Area in hectares	Remarks
1.	Mungoli	108	Wani	Yavatmal	226.84	Part
2.	Sakhara	108	Wani	Yavatmal	1.63	Part
Total area:					228.47 hectares (approximately) or 564.57 acres (approximately)	

Plot numbers to be acquired in village Mungoli:

23, 24/1-24/1A-24/2, 25/1-25/2, 51, 54, 55/1-55/2-55/3, 56/1-56/2, 57 to 59, 60/1-60/2, 64 to 66, 67/1-67/2, 68 to 71, 75 to 85, 86/1-86/2, 87 to 103, 104/1-104/2, 105/1-105/1A-105/1B, 105/1C-105/2-105/3, 106 to 108, 109/1-109/2-109/3,

110 to 117, 118/1-118/2, 119 to 121, 122/1-122/2, 123/1-123/2, 124/1-124/2, 125 to 129, 130/1-130/2, 131 to 135, 136/1-136/2-136/3, 137 to 140, 141/1-141/2, 142/1-142/2, 143 to 149, 151, 152, Road.

Plot numbers to be acquired in village Sakhara:

211, 212,

Boundary description

A-B	Line starts from point 'A' and passes through village Mungoli along the other boundary plot numbers 142/1-142/2, 143, 149 and meets at point 'B'.
B-C-D-E	Line passes through village Mungoli along the outer boundary of plot numbers 149, 151, 126, 119, 118/1-2, 24/1-24/1A-24/2, 23 and meets at point 'E'.
E-F	Line passes through village Mungoli along the outer boundary of plot numbers 23, 24/1-24/1A-24/2, 25/1-25/2, 54, 51, 60/1-60/2 and meets at point 'F'.
F-G	Line passes through village Mungoli along the outer boundary of plot numbers 95, 64, 65, 71, 70, 69, 152, 75 and proceeds along the common village boundary of villages Mungoli and Sakhara, then proceeds through village Sakhara along the outer boundary of plot number 212 and meets at point 'G'.
G-H	Line passes through village Sakhara along the outer boundary of plot numbers 212, 211 and meets at point 'H'.
H-I	Line passes along the common village boundary of villages Sakhara and Kolgaon, Mungoli and Kolgaon and meets at point 'I'.
I-J	Line passes along the common village boundary of villages Mungoli and Shioni and meets at point 'J'.
J-A	Line passes through village Mungoli along the outer boundary of plot numbers, 140, 142/1-142/2 and meets at starting point 'A'.

SCHEDULE BLOCK-II

NIRGUDA PROJECT

WANI AREA

DISTRICT YAVATMAL (MAHARASHTRA)

(Plan No. C-1(E)III/JJR/547—494 dated the 5th April, 1994)

All Rights

Serial Number	Name of Village	Patwari circle number	Tahsil	District	Area in hectares	Remarks
1.	Mungoli	108	Wani	Yavatmal	67.04	Part
2.	Matholi	108	Wani	Yavatmal	27.25	Part
Total area:					94.29 hectares (approximately)	
					or	
					233.00 acres (approximately)	

Total of All Rights area—Block I + Block-II=

228.47 + 94.29 = 322.76 hectares (approximately) or

797.57 acres (approximately)

Plot numbers to be acquired in village Mungoli:

1 to 6, 7/1, 7/2, 8/1-8/2, 9 to 11, 12/1-12/1A-12/2-12/3, 13/1-13/2, 14, 15, 16/1-16/2, 17 to 19, 20/1-20/2-20/3-20/4-20/5, 21, 22, 150.

Plot numbers to be acquired in village Matholi:

21/1-21/2, 22 to 24, 25/1-25/2-25/3, 26 to 29, Road Part.

Boundary description:

B-K	Line starts from point 'B' and passes through villages Mungoli and Matholi along the southern bank of Wardha River and meets at point 'K'.
K-L	Line passes through village Matholi along the outer boundary of plot numbers 29 and 28, crosses road and meets at point 'L'.
L-E	Line passes through village Matholi along the southern boundary of road, then proceeds through village Mungoli along the outer boundary of plot numbers 20/1-20/2-20/3-20/4-20/5, 22 and meets at point 'E'.
E-D-C-B	Line passes through village Mungoli along the outer boundary numbers 22, 21, 18, 17, 4, 3, 2, 1, 150 and meets at starting point 'B'.

[No. 43015/19/93-LSW]
N. BHAGAT, DIRECTOR

नई दिल्ली, 7 जून, 1995

का.आ. 1862—केन्द्रीय सरकार, को यह प्रतीत होता है कि इससे उपावद्ध अधिसूची में उल्लिखित भूमि में कोयला अभि-
प्राप्त किये जाने की संभावना है ;

अतः, अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, कोयला धारक क्षेत्र (अर्जन और विकास) अधिनियम, 1957 (1957 का 20) (जिसे इसमें
इसके पश्चात् उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 4 की उपधारा 1 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए उस क्षेत्र में
कोयले का पूर्वेक्षण करने के अपने आशय की सूचना देती है ;

इस अधिसूचना के अन्तर्गत आने वाले क्षेत्र के रेखांक सं. सी-1(ई)/III/जे.जे.आर./548 का निरीक्षण वेस्टर्न कोलफील्ड्स
लि. (राजस्व अनुभाग), कोयला एस्टेट, सिविल लाईन्स, नागपुर-440001 (महाराष्ट्र) के कार्यालय में या कलक्टर चन्द्रपुर,
(महाराष्ट्र) के कार्यालय में या कोयला नियंत्रक, 1, काउंसिल हाउस स्ट्रीट, कलकत्ता के कार्यालय में किया जा सकता है।

इस अधिसूचना के अन्तर्गत आने वाली भूमि में हितवद्ध सभी व्यक्ति उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 13 की उपधारा (7) में
निर्दिष्ट सभी नवधों, चाटों और अन्य दस्तावेजों को, इस अधिसूचना के राजपत्र में प्रकाशन की तारीख से नवों दिन के
भीतर, भारसाधक अधिकारी/विभागाध्यक्ष (राजस्व) वेस्टर्न कोलफील्ड्स लि., कोयला एस्टेट, सिविल लाईन्स, नागपुर-440001
(महाराष्ट्र) को भेजेंगे।

अधिसूची "क"

सुरमंजरी खंड

मंजरी क्षेत्र

जिला-चन्द्रपुर (महाराष्ट्र)

क्र.सं.	ग्राम का नाम	पटवारी सफिल सं.	तहसील	जिला	क्षेत्र हैक्टर में	टिप्पणियाँ
1.	मिनसरी	4	चिभूर	चन्द्रपुर	165.46	भाग
2.	अजगांव रिठ	4	चिभूर	चन्द्रपुर	95.68	भाग
3.	उरकुडपार	5	चिभूर	चन्द्रपुर	110.00	भाग

कुल क्षेत्र 371.14 हैक्टर (लगभग)
वा
917.12 एकड़ (लगभग)

अनुसूची "ख"

क्र.सं.	वन रेंज का नाम	प्रभाग का नाम	तहसील	जिला	क्षेत्र हैक्टर में	टिप्पणी
1.	आरक्षित वन	ब्रह्मपुरी	चिभूर	चन्द्रपुर	2282.53 हैक्टर में (लगभग) या 5640.36 एकड़ (लगभग)	भाग

कुल योग

अनुसूची "क" + अनुसूची "ख"

371.14 + 2282.53 = 2653.67 हैक्टर (लगभग)

6557.48 एकड़ (लगभग)

सीमा वर्णन :

- क—ख रेखा "क" बिन्दु से आरम्भ होती है और आरक्षित वन चिभूर रेंज से होकर जाती है और बिन्दु "ख" पर मिलती है।
- ख—ग रेखा आरक्षित वन, नंद रेंज, नागपुर जिला और आरक्षित वन, चिभूर रेंज, चन्द्रपुर को सम्मिलित सीमा के साथ-साथ जाती है तथा बिन्दु "ग" पर मिलती है।
- ग—घ रेखा आरक्षित वन चिभूर रेंज से होकर जाती है और बिन्दु "घ" पर मिलती है।
- घ—ङ रेखा आरक्षित वन, चिभूर रेंज से होकर जाती है, फिर ग्राम उरकुडपार से होकर आगे जाती है और ग्राम उरकुडपार तथा आरक्षित वन चिभूर रेंज की सम्मिलित सीमा के साथ-साथ जाती है और बिन्दु "ङ" पर मिलती है।
- ङ—च रेखा ग्राम पिडीबुवा और आरक्षित वन चिभूर रेंज की सम्मिलित सीमा के साथ-साथ जाती है तथा बिन्दु "च" पर मिलती है।
- च—छ—क रेखा आरक्षित वन चिभूर रेंज से होकर जाती है फिर ग्राम मिजरी, अजगांव रिठ होकर आगे बढ़ती है और आरक्षित वन, चिभूर रेंज से होकर जाती है तथा आरम्भिक बिन्दु "क" पर मिलती है।

[फा.सं. 43015/4/95-एल.एस.डब्ल्यू.]

महेन्द्र भगत, निवेशक

New Delhi, the 7th June, 1995

S.O. 1882 .—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that coal is likely to be obtained from the lands mentioned in the Schedules hereto annexed;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957 (20 of 1957), (hereinafter referred to as the said Act), the Central Government hereby gives notice of its intention to prospect for Coal therein.

The plan bearing No. C-I(E)III/JJR/548-0494 dated the 8th April, 1994 of the area covered by this notification can be inspected in the office of the Western Coalfields Limited (Revenue Department), Coal Estate, Civil Lines, Nagpur-440001 (Maharashtra) or in the office of the Collector, Chandrapur (Maharashtra) or in the office of the Coal Controller, 1, Council House Street, Calcutta.

All persons interested in the lands covered by this notification shall deliver all maps, charts and other documents referred to in sub-section (7) of section 13 of the said Act to the Officer-in-Charge/Head of Department (Revenue), Western Coalfields Limited, Coal Estate, Civil Lines, Nagpur-440001 (Maharashtra) within ninety days from the date of publication of this notification.

SCHEDULE 'A'
SURMANJRI BLOCK
MAJRI AREA

District Chandrapur (Maharashtra)

Sl. No.	Name of village	Patwari circle number	Tehsil	District	Area in hectares	Remarks
1.	Minzari	4	Chimur	Chandrapur	165.46	Part
2.	Ajgaon Rith	4	Chimur	Chandrapur	95.68	Part
3.	Urkudpar	5	Chimur	Chandrapur	110.00	Part
Total area :					371.14 hectares (approximately)	
or					917.12 acres (approximately)	

SCHEDULE 'B'

Sl. No.	Name of Forest Range	Name of Division	Tehsil	District	Area in hectares	Remarks
1.	Reserve Forest Chimur Range	Bramhapuri	Chimur	Chandrapur	2282.53 hectares (approximately) or 5640.86 acres (approximately)	Part
Grand Total (Schedule 'A' + Schedule 'B')				371.14 + 2282.53 =	2653.57 hectares (approximately) or 6557.48 acres (approximately)	

Boundary description :

- A—B Line starts from point 'A' and passes through Reserve Forest Chimur Range and meets at point 'B'.
- B—C Line passes along the common boundary of Reserve Forest, Nand Range, Nagpur District and Reserve Forest, Chimur Range, Chandrapur District and meets at point 'C'.
- C—D Line passes through Reserve Forest, Chimur Range and meets at point 'D'.
- D—E Line passes through Reserve Forest, Chimur Range, then proceeds through village Urkudpar and passes along the common boundary of village Urkudpar and Reserve Forest Chimur Range and meets at point 'E'.
- E—F Line passes along the common boundary of village Pitichuwa and Reserve Forest Chimur Range and meets at point 'F'.
- F—G—A Line passes through Reserve Forest, Chimur Range, then proceeds through villages Minzari, Ajgaon Rith and passes through Reserve Forest, Chimur Range and meets at starting point 'A'.

नई दिल्ली, 6 जून 1995

का.आ. 1883 —केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि इससे उपाखण्ड अनुसूची में उल्लिखित भूमि में कोयला अभिप्राप्त किए जाने की संभावना है;

अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, कोयला धारक क्षेत्र (अर्जन और विकास) अधिनियम, 1957 (1957 का 20) की (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) धारा 4 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उस क्षेत्र में कोयले का पूर्वोक्षण करने के अपने आशय की सूचना देती है;

इस अधिसूचना के अंतर्गत आने वाले क्षेत्र के रेखांक सं. आई.ई.वी./28/95, तारीख 27 जनवरी, 1995 का निरीक्षण नार्दन कोल्फील्ड्स लि. (राजस्व अनुभाग) सिंगरौली के कार्यालय में या कलकटर, सीधी (मध्य प्रदेश) के कार्यालय में या कोयला नियंत्रक, 1, काउंसिल हाउस स्ट्रीट, कलकत्ता के कार्यालय में किया जा सकता है;

इस अधिसूचना के अंतर्गत आने वाली भूमि में हितवद्ध सभी व्यक्ति उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 13 की उपधारा (7) में निर्दिष्ट सभी तथ्यों, जाटों और अन्य दस्तावेजों को, इस अधिसूचना के राजपत्र में प्रकाशन की तारीख से नब्बे दिन के भीतर भारसाधक अधिकारी/विभागाध्यक्ष (राजस्व), नार्दन कोल्फील्ड्स लि., सिंगरौली को भेजेंगे।

अनुसूची

जयंत खंड एकमटेणन (उप खंड-V)

नार्दन कोल्फील्ड्स लिमिटेड, सिंगरौली

जिला—सीधी (मध्य प्रदेश)

पूर्वोक्षण के लिए अधिसूचना भूमि

क्र.सं.	ग्राम	तहसील	जिला	क्षेत्र	टिप्पणियां
1.	मेधौली	सिंगरौली	सीधी	600.00 एकड़ (लगभग)	भाग
कुल क्षेत्र :				600.00 एकड़ (लगभग)	
				या	
				242.82 हेक्टेयर (लगभग)	

सीमा वर्णन :

क-ख	रेखा बिन्दु "क" से आरंभ होती है और ग्राम मेधौली से होकर जाती है तथा बिन्दु "ख" पर मिलती है।
ख-ग	रेखा बिन्दु "ख" से आरंभ होती है और ग्राम मेधौली से होकर जाती है तथा बिन्दु "ग" पर मिलती है।
ग-घ	रेखा बिन्दु "ग" से आरंभ होती है, ग्राम मेधौली से होकर जाती है और बिन्दु "घ" पर मिलती है।
घ-ङ	रेखा बिन्दु "घ" से आरंभ होती है, ग्राम मेधौली से होकर जाती है और बिन्दु "ङ" पर मिलती है।
ङ-च	रेखा बिन्दु "ङ" से आरंभ होती है और ग्राम मेधौली से होकर जाती है तथा बिन्दु "च" पर मिलती है।
च-छ	रेखा बिन्दु "च" से आरंभ होती है और ग्राम मेधौली से होकर जाती है तथा बिन्दु "छ" पर मिलती है।
छ-क	रेखा बिन्दु "छ" से आरंभ होती है और ग्राम मेधौली से होकर जाती है तथा आरंभिक बिन्दु "क" पर मिलती है।

[फा.सं. 43015/8/95-एल.एल.डब्ल्यू.]

नरेन्द्र भगत, निदेशक

New Delhi, the 6 th June. 1995.

S.O.1883 :-Whereas it appears to the Central Government that coal is likely to be obtained from the lands in the locality mentioned in the schedule hereto annexed:

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-Section (1) of Section 4 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957 (20 of 1957), (hereinafter referred to as the said Act), the Central Government hereby gives notice of its intention to prospect for Coal therein.

The plan bearing number Rev/28/95, dated the 27th January, 1995 of the area covered by this notification can be inspected at the office of the Northern Coalfields Limited (Revenue Section), Singrauli or at the office of the Collector, Sidhi (Madhya Pradesh) or at the office of the Coal Controller, 1, Council House Street, Calcutta.

All persons interested in the lands covered by this notification shall deliver all maps, charts and other documents referred to in Sub-Section (7) of section 13 of the said Act to the Officer-in-Charge/Head of the Department (Revenue), Northern Coalfields Limited, Singrauli within 90 days from the date of the publication of this notification in the Gazette of India.

SCHEDULE

JAYANT BLOCK EXTEN (SUB BLOCK V) NORTHERN COALFIELDS LTD., SINGRAULI DISTRICT SIDHI (MADHYA PRADESH)

Land notified for prospecting :

Sl. No.	Village	Tahsil	District	Area	Remarks
1.	Medhauli	Singrauli	Sidhi	600.00 acres (Approximately)	Part
Total area :--				600.00 acres (Approximately) or 242.82 hectares (Approximately)	

Boundary description :

A—B	Line starts from point 'A' and passes through village Medhauli and meets at point 'B'.
B—C	Line starts from point 'B' and passes through village Medhauli and meets at point 'C'
C—D	Line starts from point 'C' passes through village Medhauli and meets at point 'D'
D—E	Line starts from point 'D' passes through village Medhauli and meets at point 'E'.
E—F	Line starts from point 'E' and passes through village Medhauli and meets at point 'F'.
F—G	Line starts from point 'F' and passes through village Medhauli and meets at point 'G'.
G—A	Line starts from point 'G' and passes through village Medhauli and meets at starting Point 'A'.

[No. 43015/8/95—LSW]
N. BHAGAT, Director.

नई दिल्ली जून 6, 1995

का.आ. 1884 .—केन्द्रीय सरकार का यह प्रतीत होना है कि इससे उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में उल्लिखित भूमि में कोयला अभिप्राप्त किए जाने की संभावना है;

अतः, अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, राज्य-धारक क्षेत्र (अर्जन और विकास) अधिनियम, 1957 (1957 का 20) की (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) धारा 4 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उस क्षेत्र में कोयले का रूखेक्षण करने के अपने आशय की सूचना देती है;

इस अधिसूचना के अंतर्गत आने वाले क्षेत्र के रेखाक सं. सी-आई(ई)/III/जे.जे.एम.आर./565-195, तारीख 5 जनवरी, 1995 का निरीक्षण वेस्टर्न कोलफील्ड्स लि. (राजस्व विभाग) कोल इस्टेट, सिविल लाइन्स, नागपुर-440 001 (महाराष्ट्र) के कार्यालय में या कलक्टर चन्द्रपुर (महाराष्ट्र) के कार्यालय में या कोयला नियंत्रण 1, काउंसिल हाउस स्ट्रीट, कलकत्ता के कार्यालय में किया जा सकता है;

इस अधिसूचना के अंतर्गत आने वाली भूमि में हितवद्ध सभी व्यक्ति उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 13 की उपधारा (7) में निर्दिष्ट सभी नक्शों, चार्टों और अन्य दस्तावेजों को, इस अधिसूचना के प्रकाशन की तारीख से नब्बे दिन के भीतर, भार साधक अधिकारी/विभागाध्यक्ष (राजस्व) वेस्टर्न कोलफील्ड्स लि. कोल इस्टेट, सिविल लाइन्स, नागपुर-440001 (महाराष्ट्र) को भेजेंगे।

अनुसूची

धोरवासा खंड

मझरी क्षेत्र

जिला—चन्द्रपुर (महाराष्ट्र)

रेखांक सं. सी.आई. (ई)/III जे.जे.एम.आर./565-195, तारीख 5 जनवरी, 1995

क्र.सं.	ग्राम का नाम	पटवारी सर्किल सं.	तहसील	जिला	क्षेत्र हैक्टर में	टिप्पणियां
1.	चारगांव	28	भद्रावती	चन्द्रपुर	30.30	भाग
2.	धोरवासा	28	भद्रावती	चन्द्रपुर	299.00	भाग
3.	तेलवासा	28	भद्रावती	चन्द्रपुर	5.08	भाग
				कुल क्षेत्र	334.30 हैक्टर (लगभग)	
				या	826.28 एकड़ (लगभग)	

सीमा वर्णन:

क-ख	रेखा बिन्दु "क" से आरंभ होती है और ग्राम चारगांव से होकर जाती है तथा बिन्दु "ख" पर मिलती है।
ख-ग	रेखा ग्राम चारगांव और धोरवासा को सम्मिलित ग्राम सीमा के साथ-साथ जाती है, फिर ग्राम धोरवासा से होकर आगे बढ़ती है और बिन्दु "ग" पर मिलती है।
ग-घ	रेखा ग्राम धोरवासा से होकर जाती है और बिन्दु "घ" पर मिलती है।
घ-ङ	रेखा ग्राम तेलवासा और धोरवासा की सम्मिलित ग्राम सीमा के साथ-साथ जाती है, फिर ग्राम तेलवासा से होकर आगे बढ़ती है और बिन्दु "ङ" पर मिलती है।
ङ-च	रेखा ग्राम तेलवासा से होकर जाती है, फिर ग्राम धोरवासा से होकर आगे बढ़ती है और बिन्दु "च" पर मिलती है।
च-क	रेखा ग्राम धोरवासा और चारगांव की सम्मिलित ग्राम सीमा के साथ-साथ जाती है, फिर ग्राम चारगांव से होकर आगे बढ़ती है और आरंभिक बिन्दु "क" पर मिलती है।

[फा.सं. 43015/9/95-एल.एम.डब्ल्यू]

नरेन्द्र भगत, निदेशक

New Delhi, the 6th June, 1995

S.O.1884. — Whereas it appears to the Central Government that coal is likely to be obtained from the lands mentioned in the Schedule hereto annexed;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957 (20 of 1957) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act), the Central Government hereby gives notice of its intention to prospect for coal therein.

The plan bearing No. C-I(E)III/JJMR/565-195 dated the 5th January, 1995 of the area covered by this notification can be inspected in the Office of the Western Coalfields Limited (Revenue Department), Coal Estate, Civil Lines, Nagpur-440001 (Maharashtra) or in the Office of the Collector, Chandrapur (Maharashtra) or in the Office of the Coal Controller, 1, Council House Street, Calcutta.

All persons interested in the lands covered by this notification shall deliver all maps, charts and other documents referred to in sub-section (7) of section 13 of the said Act to the Officer-in-Charge/Head of the Department (Revenue), Western Coalfields Limited, Coal Estate, Civil Lines, Nagpur-440001 (Maharashtra) within ninety days from the date of publication of this notification.

SCHEDULE

Dhorwasa Block

Majri Area

District Chandrapur (Maharashtra)

(Plan No. C-I(E)III/JJMR/565/195, dated the 5th January, 1995)

Serial number	Name of village	Patwari circle number	Tahsil	District	Area in hectares	Remarks
1.	Chargaon	28	Bhadravati	Chandrapur	30.30	Part
2.	Dhorwasa	28	Bhadravati	Chandrapur	299.00	Part
3.	Telwasa	28	Bhadravati	Chandrapur	5.08	Part
Total area:					334.38 hectares (approximately) or 826.28 acres (approximately)	

Boundary description:

- A—B Line starts from point 'A' and passes through village Chargaon and meets at point 'B'.
- B—C Line passes along the common village boundary of villages Chargaon and Dhorwasa, then proceeds through village Dhorwasa and meets at point 'C'.
- C—D Line passes through village Dhorwasa and meets at point 'D'.
- D—E Line passes along the common village boundary of villages Telwasa and Dhorwasa, then proceeds through village Telwasa and meets at point 'E'.
- E—F Line passes through village Telwasa, then proceeds through village Dhorwasa and meets at point 'F'.
- F—A Line passes along the common village boundary of villages Dhorwasa and Chargaon, then proceeds through village Chargaon and meets at starting point 'A'.

[No. 43015/9/95-LSW]

N. BHAGAT, Director

पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस सत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 23 जून, 1995

का.प्र. 1885—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि लोकहित में यह आवश्यक है कि गुजरात राज्य में शामिल जी.सी.एस. से ए.ई.सी. अहमदाबाद तक पेट्रोलियम के परिवहन के लिये पाईपलाइन गैस ओवररिडि आफ इंडिया लि. द्वारा बिछाई जानी चाहिए।

और यतः यह प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी लाइनों का बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिये एतदुपलब्ध अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करना आवश्यक है।

अतः अब पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाईपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा

3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उसमें उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करने का अपना आशय एतद्वारा घोषित किया है।

यहाँ कि उक्त भूमि में हितबद्ध कोई व्यक्ति उस भूमि के नीचे पाईप लाइन बिछाने के लिए आशेष सक्षम प्राधिकारी, गैस ओवररिडि आफ इंडिया लि. वर्पण बिल्डिंग आर० सी० दत्त रोड बडोदरा को इस अधिभूचना की तारीख 21 दिनों के भीतर कर सकेगा।

और ऐसा आशेष करने वाला हर व्यक्ति विनिश्चितता यह भी कथन करेगा कि क्या यह चाहता है कि उसकी सुनवाई व्यक्तिगत हो या किसी विधि व्यवसायी की मार्फत।

अनुसूची

SCHEDULE

Ramol GCS To AEC Ahmedabad

State : Gujarat Taluka : Daskoi District Ahmedabad

राज्य	गुजरात	तालुका : दसकोई	जिला : अहमदाबाद
गांव	क्रम सं. ब्लॉक नं.	क्षेत्र	
		हेक्टेयर	एयर सेंटी- एयर
हाथीजन		00	01 60
	655	00	00 85
	654	00	00 04
	इन्डियन बी एम रोड से एन जी सी	00	01 69
	652	00	05 90
	रामोल से	00	01 82
	हाथीजन रोड		
	489	00	08 65
	488	00	00 45

[स. एल-14016/19/94-जी पी.]

अर्धेन्दु सेन, निदेशक

MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM & NATURAL GAS

New Delhi, the 23rd June, 1995

S.O. 1885.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transport of petroleum from Ramol GCS to AEC Ahmedabad in Gujarat State pipeline should be laid by the Gas Authority of India Ltd.

And whereas it appears that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land described in the schedule annexed hereto;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of the Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in the Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein;

Provided that any person interested in the said land may, within 21 days from the date of this notification, object to the laying of the pipeline under the land to the Competent Authority, Gas Authority of India Ltd. Darpan Building R. C. Dutt Road, VADODARA-5.

And every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wishes to be heard in person or by legal practitioner.

Village	Sr. No. Block No.	AREA		
		Hactare	Are	Centiare
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Hathijan		00	01	60
	655	00	00	85
	654	00	00	04
	WBM Road to ONGC	00	01	69
	652	00	05	90
	Ramol to Hathijan Road	00	01	82
	489	00	08	65
	488	00	00	45

[No. L-14016/19/94 GP]

ARDHENDU SEN, Director

नई दिल्ली, 23 जून, 1995

का.आ. 1886—यस: केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि लोकहित में यह आवश्यक है कि गुजरात राज्य में बडुई पी एस से पायोनियर इंडस्ट्रीज तक पेट्रोलियम के परिवहन के लिये पाईपलाइन गैस प्रायोगिकी ऑफ इंडिया लि. द्वारा बिछाई जानी चाहिए।

और यतः यह प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी लाइनों को बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिये एतद्भाष्य अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करना आवश्यक है।

अतः अब पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उसमें उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करने का अपना आशय एतद्द्वारा घोषित किया है।

बशर्ते कि उक्त भूमि में हितवृद्ध कोई व्यक्ति, उस भूमि के नीचे पाईप लाइन बिछाने के लिए आक्षेप समझ प्राधिकारी, गैस प्रायोगिकी ऑफ इंडिया लि. दर्पण बिल्डिंग, आर. सी. दत्त रोड, बडोदरा को इस अधिसूचना की तारीख 21 दिनों के भीतर कर सकेगा।

और ऐसा आक्षेप करने याचा हर व्यक्ति विनिश्चितता यह भी कथन करेगा कि क्या यह चाहता है कि उनको भूतवाही व्यक्तिगत हो या किसी विधि व्यवसायी की मार्फत।

अनुसूची

बडुई पी एस से पायोनियर इंडस्ट्रीज

राज्य : गुजरात	तालुका : कडो	जिला : महेसाणा
गांव	क्रम सं. ब्लॉक नं.	क्षेत्र
		हेक्टेयर एयर सेंटी- एयर
वाडु	रोड वाडु से	00 01 43
	वाडु ई पी एस	
	240/1	00 21 97
	240/2	
	244	00 09 58

[संख्या एल-14016/19/94-जी पी.]

अर्धेन्दु सेन, निदेशक

New Delhi, the 23rd June 1995

S.O. 1886.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transport of petroleum from Wadu EPS to Pioneer Industries in Gujarat State pipeline should be laid by the Gas Authority of India Ltd.

And whereas it appears that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land described in the schedule annexed hereto;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of the Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in the Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein;

Provided that any person interested in the said land may, within 21 days from the date of this notification, object to the laying of the pipeline under the land to the Competent Authority, Gas Authority of India Ltd. Darpan Building R. C. Dutt Road, VADODARA-5.

And every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wishes to be heard in person or by legal practitioner.

SCHEDULE

WADU EPS TO POINEER INDUSTRIES

STATE : Gujarat TALUKA : KADI DISTRICT : MEHSANA

Village	Sr. No. Block No.	AREA		
		Hectare	Are	Centiare
WADU	Road Wadu to Wadu EPS	00	01	43
	240/1	00	21	97
	240/2			
	244	00	09	58

[No. L-14016/19/94 GP]

ARDHENDU SEN, Director

नई दिल्ली, 23 जून, 1995

का.आ. 1887—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि लोकहित में यह आवश्यक है कि गुजरात राज्य में शोभासन सी टी.एफ. से विजापुर रोड तक पेट्रोलियम के परिवहन के लिये पाईपलाईन गैस अथवा रिटी ऑफ इंडिया लि. द्वारा बिछाई जानी चाहिए।

और यतः यह प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी लाइनों को बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिये एतद्वारा अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करना आवश्यक है।

अतः अन्न पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाईपलाईन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उसमें उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करने का अपना आशय एतद्वारा घोषित किया है।

यद्यपि कि उक्त भूमि में हितवन्त कोई व्यक्ति उस भूमि के नीचे पाईप लाइन बिछाने के लिए आशय सत्रन प्राधिकारी गैस अथवा रिटी ऑफ इंडिया लि. वर्णन बिल्डिंग, आर सी वत्त रोड, बडोदरा को इस अधिसूचना की तारीख 21 दिनों के भीतर कर सकेगा।

और ऐसा आशय करने वाला हर व्यक्ति विनिर्दिष्टतः यह भी कथन करेगा कि क्या यह सही है कि उक्त पुनर्बाई व्यक्तिगत हो या किसी विधि व्यवसायी की सफाई।

अनुसूची

शोभासन सी टी एफ से विजापुर रोड

राज्य : गुजरात	तालुका : मेहसाणा	जिला : मेहसाणा		
गांव	क्रम सं. ब्लॉक सं.	क्षेत्र		
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	सेंटी-एयर
पुनासन	126	00	07	10
	ओ एन जॉ सं	00	00	35
	कच्छ रोड			
	127	00	06	05
	116	00	01	85
	115	00	01	60
	114	00	01	20
	113	00	02	65

[स. एल-14016/19/94-जी.पी.]

अर्धेन्दु सेन, निदेशक

New Delhi, the 23rd June, 1995

S.O. 1887.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transport of petroleum from Shabhasan CTF to Vijapur Road in Gujarat State pipeline should be laid by the Gas Authority of India Ltd.

And whereas it appears that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land described in the schedule annexed hereto;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of the Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in the Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein;

Provided that any person interested in the said land may, within 21 days from the date of this notification, object to the laying of the pipeline under the land to the Competent Authority, Gas Authority of India Ltd. Darpan Building R. C. Dutt Road, VADODARA-5.

And every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wishes to be heard in person or by legal practitioner.

SCHEDULE

SHOBHASAN CTF to VIJAPUR ROAD

State : Gujarat Taluka : Mehsana District : Mehsana

Village	SR. No. Block No.	AREA		
		Hactare	Are	Centiare
Punasan	126	00	07	10
	ONGC Kacch Road	00	00	35
	127	00	06	05
	116	00	01	85
	115	00	01	60
	114	00	01	20
	113	00	02	65

[No. L-14016/19/94 GP]

ARDHENDU SEN, Director

नई दिल्ली, 23 जून, 1995

SCHEDULE

'T' Point of Sobhasan CTF-Line to Ashok Industries

State: Gujarat Taluka: Maheshana Distict: Mahesana

Village	Sr. No. Block No.	AREA		
		Hactare	Are	Centiare
SOBHASAN	29	00	09	95
	28/1	00	08	13
	27/1	00	08	26

[No. L-14016/19/94 GP]

ARDHENDU SEN, Director

नई दिल्ली, 23 जून, 1995

का.भा. 1889.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि लोकहित में यह आवश्यक है कि गुजरात राज्य में सुपर लाइन से स्टैन्डर्ड इन्डस्ट्रीज तक पेट्रोलियम के परिवहन के लिये पाईपलाइन गैस अथॉरिटी आफ इंडिया लि. द्वारा बिछाई जानी चाहिए।

और यतः यह प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी लाइनों की बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिये एतद्पाबद्ध अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करना आवश्यक है।

अतः अब पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाईपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उसमें उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करने का अपना आणय एतद्वारा घोषित किया है।

वर्तते कि उक्त भूमि में हितवद्ध कोई व्यक्ति, उस भूमि के नीचे, पाईप लाइन बिछाने के लिए आक्षेप सक्षम प्राधिकारी, गैस अथॉरिटी आफ इंडिया लि. दर्पण बिल्डिंग, आर. सी. घट रोड, बड़ोदरा को इस अधिसूचना की तारीख 21 दिनों के भीतर कर सकेगा।

और ऐसा आक्षेप करने वाला हर व्यक्ति विनिर्दिष्ट: यह भी कथन करेगा कि क्या यह चाहता है कि उसका सुनवाई व्यक्तिगत है या किसी विधि व्यवसायी की मार्फत।

अनुसूची

टो पॉइंट ऑफ सोभासन सी टी एफ डेरी

लाइन से स्टैन्डर्ड

राज्य : गुजरात	तालुका : महेशाना	जिला : महेशाना
गांव	क्रम सं. ब्लॉक सं.	क्षेत्र
		हेक्टेयर आर सेंटीयर
कुकास	289	00 02 31
	283	00 05 31

[सं. एल-14016/19/94-जी.पी.]

अर्धेन्दु सेन, निदेशक

का.भा. 1888.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि लोकहित में यह आवश्यक है कि गुजरात राज्य में सुपर लाइन से अथॉरिटी आफ इन्डस्ट्रीज तक पेट्रोलियम के परिवहन के लिये पाईपलाइन गैस अथॉरिटी आफ इंडिया लि. द्वारा बिछाई जानी चाहिए।

और यतः यह प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी लाइनों की बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिये एतद्पाबद्ध अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करना आवश्यक है।

अतः अब पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाईपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उसमें उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करने का अपना आणय एतद्वारा घोषित किया है।

वर्तते कि उक्त भूमि में हितवद्ध कोई व्यक्ति, उस भूमि के नीचे, पाईप लाइन बिछाने के लिए आक्षेप सक्षम प्राधिकारी, गैस अथॉरिटी आफ इंडिया लि. दर्पण बिल्डिंग, आर. सी. घट रोड, बड़ोदरा को इस अधिसूचना की तारीख से 21 दिनों के भीतर कर सकेगा।

और ऐसा आक्षेप करने वाला हर व्यक्ति विनिर्दिष्ट: यह भी कथन करेगा कि क्या यह चाहता है कि उसकी सुनवाई व्यक्तिगत हो या किसी विधि व्यवसायी की मार्फत।

अनुसूची

टो पॉइंट ऑफ सोभासन सी टी एफ से अथॉरिटी आफ इन्डस्ट्रीज

राज्य : गुजरात	तालुका : महेशाना	डिस्ट्रिक्ट : महेशाना
गांव	क्रम सं. ब्लॉक सं.	क्षेत्र
		हेक्टेयर आर सेंटीयर
सोभासन	29	00 09 95
	28/1	00 08 13
	27/1	00 08 26

[सं. एल-14016/19/94-जी.पी.]

अर्धेन्दु सेन, निदेशक

New Delhi, the 23rd June, 1995

S.O. 1888.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transport of petroleum from Spur Line to Ashok Chemical Industries in Gujarat State pipeline should be laid by the Gas Authority of India Ltd.

And whereas it appears that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land described in the schedule annexed hereto:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of the Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in the Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein:

Provided that any person interested in the said land may, within 21 days from the date of this notification, object to the laying of the pipeline under the land to the Competent Authority, Gas Authority of India Ltd. Darpan Building R. C. Dutt Road, VADODARA-5.

And every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wishes to be heard in person or by legal practitioner.

New Delhi, the 23rd June, 1995

अनुसूची

सानंद जी जी एम-II में जालाराम निर्रेणीय

S.O. 1889.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transport of petroleum from Spur Line to Standard Industries in Gujarat State pipeline should be laid by the Gas Authority of India Ltd.

And whereas it appears that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land described in the schedule annexed hereto;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of the Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in the Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein;

Provided that any person interested in the said land may, within 21 days from the date of this notification, object to the laying of the pipeline under the land to the Competent Authority, Gas Authority of India Ltd. Darpan Building R. C. Dutt Road, VADODARA-5.

And every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wishes to be heard in person or by legal practitioner.

SCHEDULE

“T” point of Sobhasan-CTF-Dairy Line to Standard Industries

State : Gujarat Taluka : Mahesana : District : Mahesana

VILLAGE	SR. No. BLOCK No.	AREA		
		Hactare	Aro	Centiare
KUKAS	289	00	02	31
	283	00	05	31

[No. L-14016/19/94 GP]

ARDHENDU SEN, Director

नई दिल्ली, 23 जून, 1995

का.आ. 1890.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि लोकहित में यह आवश्यक है कि गुजरात राज्य में सानंद जी जी एस II में जालाराम निर्रेणीय तक पेट्रोलियम के परिवहन के लिये पाइप लाईन गैस अथॉरिटी आफ इंडिया लि. द्वारा बिछाई जानी चाहिए।

और यतः यह प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी लाइनों को बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिये एक्वायर्ड अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करना आवश्यक है।

अतः अब पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उसमें उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करने का अपना आशय एनद्वारा घोषित किया है।

बताने कि उक्त भूमि में हितबद्ध कोई व्यक्ति उस भूमि के नीचे पाइप लाईन बिछाने के लिए आक्षेप सक्षम प्राधिकारी, गैस अथॉरिटी आफ इंडिया लि. दर्पण बिल्डिंग, आर.सी.दत्त रोड, बडौदरा को इस अधिसूचना की तारीख से 21 दिनों के भीतर कर सकेगा।

और ऐसा आक्षेप करने वाला हर व्यक्ति विनिर्दिष्ट: यह भी कथन करेगा कि क्या यह चाहता है कि उसकी सुनवाई स्थितियों हों या किसी विधि-व्यवसायी की मार्फत।

राज्य : गुजरात	तालुका : कडी	जिला : महेशाना		
		क्रम सं.	क्षेत्र	
गांव	क्रमांक	हेक्टेयर		
		आर	सेंटीयर	
शोष	कच्चा रोड	00	02	40
	1423/1	00	02	41
	1423/2	00	10	60
	1423/3	00	05	07
	1423/4	00	12	61
	1423/5	00	06	05
	नाला	00	02	99
	1423/6	00	09	88
	1423/7	00	15	34
	नाला	00	02	60
	1423/9	00	05	46
	1423/8	00	08	06
	ओ एन जी सी	00	01	30
	कच्चा रोड			
	1423/10	00	15	00
	1423/11	00	00	02
	1423/12	00	05	75
	1423/13	00	02	68
	1423/14	00	02	66
	1423/15	00	05	24
	1423/16	00	01	50
	1423/17	00	14	83
	1423/18	00	09	69

[सं. एन-14016/19/94 जी.पी.]

अर्धेन्दु सेन, निदेशक

New Delhi, the 23rd June, 1995

S.O. 1890.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transport of petroleum from Sanand GGS-II to Jalaram Ceramics in Gujarat State pipeline should be laid by the Gas Authority of India Ltd.

And whereas it appears that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land described in the schedule annexed hereto;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of the Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in the Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein;

Provided that any person interested in the said land may, within 21 days from the date of this notification, object to the laying of the pipeline under the land to the Competent Authority, Gas Authority of India Ltd. Darpan Building R. C. Dutt Road, VADODARA-5.

And every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wishes to be heard in person or by legal practitioner.

**SCHEDULE
SANAND GGS-II TO JALARAM CERAMICS**

STATE : Gujarat TALUKA : Kadi DISTRICT : Mahesana

VILLAGE	SR. NO. BLOCK No.	AREA		
		Hactare	Are	Centiare
THOL	Kaccha Road	00	02	40
	1423/1	00	02	41
	1423/2	00	10	60
	1423/3	00	05	07
	1423/4	00	12	61
	1423/5	00	06	05
	Nalla	00	02	09
	1423/6	00	09	88
	1423/7	00	15	34
	Nalla	00	02	60
	1423/9	00	05	46
	1423/8	00	08	06
	ONGC Kaccha			
	Road	00	01	30
	1423/10	00	15	00
	1423/11	00	00	02
	1423/12	00	05	75
	1423/13	00	02	68
	1423/14	00	02	66
	1423/15	00	05	24
	1423/17	00	01	50
	1423/16	00	14	83
	1423/18	00	09	69

[No. L-14016/19/94 GP]

ARDHENDU SEN, Director

कृषि मंत्रालय

(कृषि और सहकारिता विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 30 जून, 1995

का.आ. 1891 केन्द्रीय सरकार बहुराज्य सहकारी समिति अधिनियम 1984 (1984 का 51) की धारा 4 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रवृत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए तथा भारत सरकार की अधिसूचना सं. एल-11012/1/85/दिनांक 13 जनवरी, 1995 का अधिष्ठापन करते हुए एतद्वारा कृषि मंत्रालय (कृषि एवं सहकारिता विभाग) में संयुक्त सचिव श्री मोहन कांडा की आगामी आदेशों तक केन्द्रीय पंजीकृत सहकारी समिति के पद पर नियुक्त करती है।

[सं. एल-11012/1/85-एल एंड एम]

सी.एम. श्रीनिवासन, उप सचिव

MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE

(Department of Agriculture and Cooperation)

New Delhi, the 30th June, 1995

S.O. 1891.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Multi-State Cooperative Societies Act, 1984 (51 of 1984), and in supersession of the Notification of the Government of India No. L-11012/1/85-L&M dated the 13th January, 1995, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Mohan Kanda, Joint Secretary in the Ministry of Agriculture, Department of Agriculture and Cooperation as the Central Registrar of Cooperative Societies, until further orders.

[No. L-11012/1/85-L&M]
C. S. SRINIVASAN, Dy. Secy.

जल भूतल परिवहन मंत्रालय

(परिवहन पक्ष)

नई दिल्ली, 23 जून, 1995

का.आ. 1892—केप्टन एम. बहल, उप महाप्रबंधक भा.नौ.नौ. कलकत्ता को भारत सरकार, जल भूतल परिवहन मंत्रालय (परिवहन पक्ष) की अधिसूचना सं. का.आ. 924(अ) दिनांक 23 दिसम्बर, 1992 के तहत गोदी कामगारों के नियुक्तियों और नौवहन कंपनियों का प्रतिनिधित्व करने के लिए कलकत्ता गोदी कामगार मंडल का एक सदस्य नियुक्त किया गया था। वे अब भा.नौ.नौ. केन्द्रीय जर्सी, यू.एस.ए. स्थित कार्यालय में स्थानान्तरित हो गया है।

अतः अब गोदी कामगार (रोजगार का विनियमन) नियमावली, 1962 के नियम 4 के परन्तुक के अनुसरण में केन्द्र सरकार उक्त रिक्ति को अधिसूचित करती है।

[फा.सं. एल बी-13014/3/94-यू एस (एल)]

एस. के. दरगन, अवर सचिव

MINISTRY OF SURFACE TRANSPORT

(Transport Wing)

New Delhi, the 23rd June, 1995

S.O. 1892.—Whereas Capt. S. Bahl, Deputy General Manager S.C.I., Calcutta appointed as a member of Calcutta Dock Labour Board representing the employees of Dock Workers and Shipping Companies vide Notification of the Government of India, Ministry of Surface Transport (Transport Wing), S.O. No. 924(E) dated 23rd December, 1992 has since been transferred to S.C.I. Office, New Jersey, USA.

Now, therefore, in pursuance of proviso to rule 4 of the Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Rules, 1962, the Central Government hereby notifies the said vacancy.

[F. No. LB-13014/3/94-US(L)]

S. K. DARGAN, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 23 जून, 1995

का.आ. 1893.—गोदी कामगार (रोजगार का विनियमन) नियमावली 1962 के नियम 4 के उपनियम (1) के द्वितीय परन्तुक के साथ पठित गोदी कामगार (रोजगार का विनियमन) अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 9) की धारा 5 "क" की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रवृत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्र सरकार एतद्वारा उप महाप्रबंधक (तकनीकी), भारतीय नौवहन नियम, कलकत्ता को कलकत्ता गोदी कामगार मंडल के एक सदस्य के रूप में नियुक्त करती है और भारत सरकार, जल भूतल परिवहन मंत्रालय (परिवहन पक्ष) की अधिसूचना सं. का.आ. 924(अ) दिनांक 23 दिसम्बर, 1992 में निम्नलिखित संशोधन करती है, अर्थात् :—

उक्त अधिसूचना में क्रम सं. 1 के समाने "गोदी कामगार के नियुक्तियों और नौवहन कंपनियों का प्रतिनिधित्व करने वाले सदस्य" शीर्षक के तहत प्रविष्टि "केप्टन एम. बहल" के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित प्रविष्टि प्रतिस्थापित की जायेगी, अर्थात् :—

"श्री डी. के. रे, महा प्रबंधक (तकनीकी) भारतीय नौवहन नियम, कलकत्ता"

[फा.सं. एल बी-13014/3/94-यू एस (एल)]

एस. के. दरगन, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 23rd June, 1995

S.O. 1893.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 5A of the Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1948 (9 of 1948) read with the second proviso to sub-rule (1) of Rule 4 of the Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Rules, 1962, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri D. K. Ray, Dy. General Manager (Technical), Shipping Corporation of India, Calcutta as amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Surface Transport (Transport Wing), S.O. No. 924(E) dated 23rd December, 1992, namely:—

In the said notification, under the heading "Members representing the Employers of Dock Workers and Shipping Companies" against serial No. 4, for the entry "Capt. S. Bahl", the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

"Shri D. K. Ray, General Manager (Technical), Shipping Corporation of India, Calcutta."

[F. No. LB-13014/3/94-US(L)]

S. K. DARGAN, Under Secy.

दिल्ली विकास प्राधिकरण

सार्वजनिक सूचना

नई दिल्ली, 29 जून, 1995

का.आ. 1894—केन्द्रीय सरकार, का दिल्ली की एक योजना/क्षेत्रीय विकास योजना में निम्नलिखित संशोधन करने का प्रस्ताव है, जिसे जनता की जानकारी के लिये एतद्वारा प्रकाशित किया जाता है। प्रस्तावित संशोधन के संबंध में यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई आपत्ति हो प्रथवा कोई सुझाव देना हो तो वह अपनी आपत्ति प्रथवा सुझाव लिखित रूप में इस सूचना के जारी होने के 30 दिनों की अवधि के अन्दर भारत सरकार एवं सचिव, दिल्ली विकास प्राधिकरण, विकास सदन, "बी" ब्लॉक, आई.एन.ए., नई दिल्ली को भेज सकते हैं। आपत्ति करने प्रथवा सुझाव देने वाले व्यक्ति को अपना नाम और पता भी अवश्य देना चाहिये।

संशोधन :

"लगभग 6836 वर्ग मीटर भूमि क्षेत्र, जो प्लानिंग डिब्बीज "सी" (मिश्रित लाईन्स क्षेत्र) में आता है और पूर्व में प्रोबयान रोड से पश्चिम में आल इण्डिया रेडियो प्रसारण केन्द्र से, दक्षिण में त्रि. वि. प्रा. के एम.एफ.एस. प्लॉटों से और उत्तर में आवासीय क्षेत्र से घिरा हुआ है, के भूमि उपयोग को "आवासीय उपयोग" में "सरकारी कार्यालय" (ए.आई.आर.) में परिवर्तित किया जाना प्रस्तावित है।"

2. प्रस्तावित संशोधन को दशनि वाल नक्शा निरीक्षण के लिये उद्घाटन अवधि के अन्दर सभी कार्य दिवसों में संयुक्त निदेशक, मुख्य योजना अनुभाग, छोटी मंजिव, विकास भोतार, आई.पी. एस्टेट, नई दिल्ली के कार्यालय में उपस्थित रहेंगे।

[संख्या एफ. 3(27)/87-एम.पा.]

शिव मोहन बंसल, आयुक्त एवं सचिव

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

PUBLIC NOTICE

New Delhi, the 29th June, 1995

S.O. 1894.—The following modification which the Central Government proposes to make in the Master Plan/Draft Zonal Development Plan, is hereby published for public information. Any person having any objection/suggestion with respect to the proposed modification may send the objection/suggestion in writing to the Commissioner-Cum-Secretary, Delhi Development Authority, Viks Sadan, 'B' Block, I.N.A., New Delhi within a period of 30 days from the date of issue of this 1510 GI/95—14

notice. The person making the objection/suggestion should also give his name and address.

MODIFICATION :

"The land use of an area, measuring about 6836 sqm. falling in Planning Division 'C' (Civil Lines Area) bounded by Probyan Road in the East, All India Radio Transmitting Station in the West, DDA SFS Flats in the South and residential area in the North, is proposed to be changed from 'residential use' to 'Government Offices' (AIR)."

2. The plan indicating the proposed modification will be available for inspection at the office of the Joint Director, Master Plan Section, 6th Floor, Vikas Minar, I. P. Estate, New Delhi on all working days within the period referred above.

[No. F. 3(27)/87-MP]

V. M. BANSAL, Commissioner-cum-Secy.

श्रम मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 21 जून, 1995

का.आ. 1895:—कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि योजना, 1952 के पैराग्राफ 52 के उप-पैराग्राफ (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और भारत सरकार के श्रम मंत्रालय की दिनांक 19 मई, 1994 की अधिसूचना संख्या का.आ. 1346 का अधिक्रमण करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार निदेश देती है कि निधि से संबंधित सभी धनराशियों की निम्नलिखित पैटर्न के अनुसार निवेश किया जाएगा, अर्थात्:—

निवेश पद्धति	निवेश किए जाने वाली राशि का प्रतिशत
1	2
(i) केन्द्रीय सरकार प्रतिभूतियां	पच्चीस प्रतिशत
(ii) (क) किसी राज्य सरकार द्वारा सृजित और जारी की गई सरकारी प्रतिभूतियां जैसा क लोक ऋण अधिनियम, 1944 (1944 का 18) की धारा 2 में परिभाषित किया गया है; तथा/अथवा	पन्द्रह प्रतिशत
(ख) ऐसी अन्य परक्राम्य प्रतिभूतियां जिनकी मूल राशि तथा उसके ब्याज की केन्द्रीय सरकार अथवा किसी राज्य सरकार द्वारा पूरी तरह से बिना शर्त गारंटी प्रदान की जाती है, उनमें निम्नलिखित (iv) (क) के अंतर्गत आने वाली प्रतिभूतियां शामिल नहीं हैं।	

1	2	2. उपरिलिखित पैरा 1 के (i),(ii) और (iv) के अंतर्गत पूर्ववर्ती निवेशों की परिपक्वता पर प्राप्त होने वाली धनराशियों में से आवश्यक व्यय को घटा कर शेष का इस अधिसूचना में निर्दिष्ट नई निवेश पद्धति के अनुसार निवेश किया जाएगा।
(iii) भारत सरकार, वित्त मंत्रालय, आर्थिक कार्य विभाग की अधिसूचना संख्या एफ 16 (1)-पी डी/75 दिनांक 30 जून, 1975 के अंतर्गत जारी की गई विशेष जमा राशि स्कीम जिसे अधिसूचना संख्या एफ 16(8)-पी.डी./85 दिनांक 12 जून, 1985 द्वारा विस्तारित किया गया था।	तीस प्रतिशत	3. जहां विशेष जमा योजना के अंतर्गत जमा राशियों तथा उनके परिपक्व होने पर ब्याज प्राप्त होता है, ऐसी राशियां विशेष जमा योजना के अंतर्गत निवेश की जा सकती हैं। इसी प्रकार, उपरिलिखित पैरा 1 की श्रेणी (i), (ii) और (iv) के अंतर्गत प्राप्त ब्याज की धनराशि का उसी श्रेणी में फिर से निवेश किया जा सकता है।
(iv) (क) कंपनी अधिनियम की धारा 4(क) में निर्दिष्ट "लोक वित्तीय संस्थाओं" के बांड/प्रतिभूतियां; आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 2(36)(क) में परिभाषित "सरकारी क्षेत्र की कंपनियां"; तथा/अथवा (ख) सरकारी क्षेत्र के बैंकों द्वारा जारी जमा राशियों के प्रमाण-पत्र।	तीस प्रतिशत	4. उपरिलिखित पैराग्राफों में परिकल्पित निवेश पद्धति को वित्तीय वर्ष की समाप्ति तक पूरा कर लिया जाएगा तथा यह 1 अप्रैल, 1995 से प्रभावी है।

[संख्या जी-20015/2/93-एस.एस.-II]

जे.पी. शुक्ला, अवर सचिव

MINISTRY OF LABOUR

New Delhi, the 21st June, 1995

S.O. 1895.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-paragraph (1) of Paragraph 52 of the Employees' Provident Funds Scheme, 1952 and in supersession of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour No. S.O. 1346 dated the 19th May, 1994, the Central Government hereby directs that all moneys belonging to the Fund shall be invested in accordance with the following pattern namely:—

INVESTMENT PATTERN	PERCENTAGE OF AMOUNT TO BE INVESTED
(i) Central Government Securities	Twenty Five percent.
(ii) (a) Government Securities as defined in Section 2 of the Public Debt Act, 1944 (18 of 1944) created and issued by any State Government; and/or (b) Any other negotiable securities principal whereof and interest whereon is fully and unconditionally guaranteed by the Central Government or any State Government except those covered under (iv) (a) below.	Fifteen per cent
(iii) Special Deposit Scheme introduced by the Notification of Government of India in the Ministry of Finance, Department of Economic Affairs, No. F.16(1)-PD/75 dated 30th June, 1975, as extended by Notification No. F.16(8)-PD/85 dated 12th June, 1985.	Thirty per cent
(iv) (a) Bonds/Securities of Public Financial Institutions as specified under Section 4(a) of the Companies Act; "public sector companies" as defined in Section 2 (36-A) of the Income Tax Act, 1961; and/or (b) Certificates of deposits issued by a public sector bank.	Thirty per cent

2. Where any moneys are received on the maturity of earlier investment under (i), (ii) and (iv) of para 1 above such moneys, reduced by obligatory outgoings, shall be invested in accordance with the new investment pattern prescribed in this Notification.

3. Where moneys are received on maturity of deposits under special Deposit scheme and interest there on such moneys may be invested under the Special Deposits Scheme. Similarly, interest received under categories (i), (ii) and (iv) of para 1 above may be reinvested in the same category.

4. The investment pattern as envisaged in the above paragraphs may be achieved by the end of a financial year and is effective from 1st April, 1995.

[No. G-20015/2/93-SS.II]
J.P. SHUKLA, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 21 जून, 1995

1

2

का.आ. 1896:—केन्द्रीय सरकार, कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकीर्ण उपबन्ध अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) की धारा 17 की उपधारा (3) के खंड (क) धारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और भारत सरकार के श्रम मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना संख्या का.आ. 1872 दिनांक 14 जुलाई, 1994 का अधिक्रमण करते हुए, यह निदेश देती है कि उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 17 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (क) अथवा खंड (ख) के अधीन छूट प्राप्त किसी स्थापना के अथवा कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि जना, 1952 के पैरा 27, या पैरा 27-क अथवा जैसी स्थिति हो के अधीन छूट प्राप्त किसी कर्मचारी या वारियों के वर्ग के संबंध में प्रत्येक नियोजता ऐसे स्थापना या यथास्थिति ऐसे कर्मचारी या कर्मचारी वर्ग से संबंधित मक भविष्य निधि अभिदायों का अंतरण उस मामले के सम् होने से 15 दिन के भीतर उस स्थापना के संबंध में एक रूप से गठित न्यासी बोर्ड को करेगा और उक्त न्यासबोर्ड नियोजक से उक्त अभिदायों की प्राप्ति की तारीख दो सप्ताह की अवधि के भीतर स्थापना अथवा यथास्थिति कर्मचारों या कर्मचारी वर्ग से संबंधित भविष्य निधि इन अर्थात् अभिदाय ब्याज और अन्य प्राप्ति को किन्हीं प्रकार देनदारियों को कटौती करने के बाद निम्न-व्यवस्था अनुसार यह विनिहित करेगा अर्थात्:—

पद्धति	निवेश किए जाने वाली राशि का प्रतिशतांक
	2
(i) केन्द्रीयकर प्रतिभूतियां	पञ्चम प्रतिशत
(ii) (क) राज्य सरकार द्वारा और जारी की गई प्रतिभूतियां जैसा कि अधिनियम, 1944 का 18 की धारा 2 में परिचित किया गया है; तथा	पन्द्रह प्रतिशत
(ख) ऐश्वर्यामय प्रतिभूतियां जिस राशि तथा उसके व्यय केन्द्रिय सरकार अथवा किसी सरकार द्वारा पूरी	पन्द्रह प्रतिशत

तरह से बिना शर्त गारंटी प्रदान की जाती है, उनमें निम्नलिखित (iv) (क) के अंतर्गत आने वाली प्रतिभूतियां शामिल नहीं हैं।

(ii) भारत सरकार, वित्त मंत्रालय, आर्थिक तोष प्रतिशत कार्य विभाग की अधिसूचना संख्या एक 16(1)-पी.डी./75 दिनांक 30 जून, 1975 के अंतर्गत जारी की गई विशेष जमा राशि स्कीम जिसे अधिसूचना संख्या एक 16(8)-पी.डी./85 दिनांक 12 जून, 1985 द्वारा विस्तारित किया गया था।

(iv) (क) कंपनी अधिनियम की धारा तोष प्रतिशत 4(क) में निर्दिष्ट "लोक वित्तीय संस्थाओं" के बांड/प्रतिभूतियां; आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 2(36)(क) में परिभाषित "सरकारी क्षेत्र की कंपनियां"; तथा/अथवा

(ख) सरकारी क्षेत्र के बैंकों द्वारा तोष प्रतिशत जारी जमा राशियों के प्रमाणपत्र।

2. उपरिलिखित पैरा 1 के (i), (ii) और (iv) के अंतर्गत पूर्ववर्ती निवेशों की परिपक्वता पर प्राप्त हुए वाली धन-राशियों में से आवश्यक व्यय को घटाकर शेष राशि का इस अधिसूचना में निर्दिष्ट नई निवेश पद्धति के अनुसार निवेश किया जाएगा।

3. जहां विशेष जमा योजना के अंतर्गत जमा राशियां तथा उनके परिपक्व होने पर ब्याज प्राप्त होता है, ऐसे राशियां विशेष जमा योजना के अंतर्गत निवेश की जा सकती हैं। इसी प्रकार, उपरिलिखित पैरा 1 की श्रेणी (i), (ii) और (iv) के अंतर्गत प्राप्त ब्याज की धनराशि का उसी श्रेणी में फिर से निवेश किया जा सकता है।

4. उपरिलिखित पैराग्राफों में परिकल्पित निवेश पद्धति को वित्तीय वर्ष की समाप्ति तक पूरा कर लिया जाएगा तथा यह 1 अप्रैल, 1995 से प्रभावी है।

[संख्या जी-20015/2/43-एम.एस.-II]
जे.पी. शुक्ला, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 21st June, 1995

S.O. 1896.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (a) of sub-section (3) of Section 17 of the Employees Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952) and in supersession of the notification of the Government of India, Ministry of Labour No. S.O. 1872 dated 14th July, 1994 the Central Government hereby directs that every employer in relation to an establishment exempted under clause (a) or clause (b) of sub-section (i) of Section 17 of the said Act or in relation to any employee or class of employees exempted under paragraph 27, or as the case may be, paragraph 27 A of the Employees' Provident Funds Scheme, 1952, shall transfer the monthly provident fund contribution in respect of the establishment or, as the case may be of the employee or class of employees within fifteen days of the close of the month of the Board of Trustees duly constituted in respect of that establishment, and that the said Boards of Trustees shall invest every month within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of the said contributions from the employee, the provident fund accumulations in respect of the establishment or as the case may be, of the employee, or class of employees that is to say, contributions, interest and other receipts as reduced by any obligatory outgoings, in accordance with the following pattern, namely:

INVESTMENT PATTERN	PERCENTAGE OF AMOUNT TO BE INVESTED
(i) Central Government Securities	Twenty-five per cent
(ii) (a) Government Securities as defined in Section 2 of the Public Debt Act, 1944 (18 of 1944) created and issued by any State Government; and/or (b) Any other negotiable securities the principal whereof and interest whereon is fully and unconditionally guaranteed by the Central Government or any State Government except those covered under (iv) (a) below.	Fifteen per cent
(iii) Special Deposits Scheme introduced by the Notification of Government of India in the Ministry of Finance, Department of Economic Affairs No. F.16(1)-PD/75 dated 30th June, 1975, as extended by Notification No. F.16(8)-PD/85 dated 12th June, 1985.	Thirty per cent
(iv) (a) Bonds/Securities of 'Public financial institutions' as specified under Section 4(1) of the Companies Act; "public sector companies" as defined in Section 2(36-A) of the Income Tax Act, 1961; and/or (b) Certificates of deposits issued by a Public Sector bank.	Thirty per cent

2. Where any moneys are received on the maturity of earlier investment under (i), (ii) and (iv) of paragraph 1 above such moneys, reduced by obligatory outgoings, shall be invested in accordance with the new investment pattern prescribed in this Notification.

3. Where moneys are received on maturity of deposits under the Special Deposit Scheme and interest thereon, such moneys can be invested under the Special Deposit Scheme. Similarly, interest received under paragraphs (i), (ii) and (iv) of para 1 above may be reinvested in the same category.

4. The investment pattern as envisaged in the above paragraphs may be achieved by the end of a financial year and is effective from 1st April, 1995.

[No. G-2003-SS.II]

J.P. SHUKLA, Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 21 जून, 1995

का.अ. 1897:—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा-1 की उप धारा (3) द्वारा प्रवृत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा 1-7-1995 को उस तारीख के रूप में नियत करती है, जिसको उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय-4 धारा-44 और 45 के सिवाय जो पहले ही प्रवृत्त की जा चुकी है (और अध्याय-5 और 6) धारा-76 की उपधारा (1) और धारा-77, 78, 79 और 81 के सिवाय जो पहले ही प्रवृत्त की जा चुकी है) के उपबन्ध आन्ध्र प्रदेश राज्य के निम्नलिखित क्षेत्र में प्रवृत्त होंगे, अर्थात्:—

“जिला रंगारेड्डी के सूरनगर मंडल में राजस्व ग्राम लिंगोजीगुडा के अन्तर्गत आने वाले क्षेत्र”।

[संख्या : एस-38013/40/95-एस एस-1

जे.पी. शुक्ला, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 21st June, 1995

S.O. 1897.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of Section 1 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby appoints the 1st July, 1995 as the date on which the provisions of Chapter IV (except Section 44 and 45 which have already been brought into force) and Chapter V and VI (except sub-section (1) of Section 76 and Sections 77, 78, 79 and 81 which have already been brought into force) of the said Act shall come into force in the following areas in the State of Andhra Pradesh, namely:—

“The areas falling within the revenue village of Lingojiguda in Saroornagar mandal of Ranga Reddy District.”

[No. S-38013/40/95-SS.1]

J. P. SHUKLA, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 15, मई 1995

का.अ. 1898:—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार उभारसिम क्लियरिंग कार्पोरेशन के प्रबन्धतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निश्चित औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, नं.-1, बम्बे के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 15-5-95 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[संख्या एल-31/12/1/85-डी-IV (ए)]

बी.एम. डेविड, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 15th May, 1995

S.O. 1898.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, No. 1, Bombay as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Overseas Clearing Corporation and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 15-5-95.

[No. L-31012/1/85-D.IV(A)]

B. M. DAVID, Desk Officer

CGIT No. I. Bombay

Ref. CGIT 5/86

AWARD (PART II)

Bombay, dated 2nd May, 1991

21. This disposes of an application of the workman for a direction to the Management to pay his back wages from 31-12-82 to 31-12-90, pending the final award. The application which is a short one is available at appendix A to this Award for ready reference. It is opposed by the Management mainly on the following grounds:

- It is not maintainable for the reason that the party to the parent reference is not the applicant—Workman in his individual capacity, but the workmen of the Company as a group.
- The decision of the Supreme Court in *Desh Raj Gupta* is distinguishable and does not apply to the facts of the present case. On the other hand the decision of the apex court in *D. C. Roy's* case will apply.
- On merits also, the applicant is not entitled to back wages, as he has been gainfully employed elsewhere.

22. The applicant—Workman and Parab, one of the Partners of the Company, have filed their affidavits in support of their respective cases. I have heard Shri Pawaskar for the Management and Shri Shetty for the workman.

23. There is no substance in objection (a).—The order of reference mentions the applicant by name as the workman concerned in the dispute as well the party. This is obviously untenable in view of the provisions of section 2-A of the Industrial Disputes Act. This objection is accordingly rejected as untenable.

24. There is also no force in objection (b).—A perusal of *Deshraj Gupta* (reported in 1991 1 LLJ 120), particularly its para 9, bears out that if the Order of punishment initially passed by the Management is declared illegal and even if the punishment is subsequently upheld by the Labour Court on the basis of the fresh evidence led before it, the date of dismissal cannot relate back to the date of the illegal order of the employer, but the order prospectively operates only from the date the court passes it, and consequently the Workman will be entitled to back wages from the date of the Management's order, till the date of the Labour Court's Order. Shri Pawaskar urged that the decision is under the U. P. Industrial Disputes Act and will not therefore, apply to the present matter which is under the Central Act. The provisions of the U. P. Act are in pari materia with those of the Central Act. It is so expressly stated by the Apex Court in the judgment itself. This contention of Shri Pawaskar cannot, therefore, be accepted. Then it was urged that in that case there was a finding of the Labour Court that the Order of dismissal made by the Management was 'illegal', whereas here in the foregoing preliminary part of this Award, I have merely held that the domestic enquiry stands vitiated, because of the three lapses on the part of the Enquiry Officer, that is to say, (a) refusal to allow the applicant to avail himself on an Advocate's assistance, (b) refusal of adjournment of the case beyond 12-11-82 to enable the ailing application to effectively put his case and (c) denial of subsistence allowance. According to Shri Pawaskar, my finding that the inquiry stands vitiated does not mean that it is illegal, and as such *Deshraj* will not apply. I do not agree. This is a distinction without any difference. What I precisely meant to convey—and with—the language of my Award also does not—is that the domestic inquiry was invalid. I am not impressed by this submission.

25. It was then pointed out by Shri Pawaskar what my finding on the preliminary question about the validity of the domestic inquiry will be challenged by the Management, only after I make the final Award, after recording evidence afresh. What he meant to convey is that the probability cannot be ruled out, of my finding being reversed and the Management's Order of dismissal being found valid. In that case, the learned counsel, argues the dismissal of the applicant will get operative from 31-12-82 and not from the date of my final Award. I quite see the logic of Shri Pawaskar's submission. I also appreciate that the Management cannot immediately rush to the High Court or the Supreme Court at the stage against my order holding the inquiry vitiated, because it is an interim

order. But these facts, I think, cannot come in the way of this Tribunal to give proper directions, if on merits, they are deemed necessary. In this connection, I am stating the relevant facts in para 29 infra. Even if my Order is finally set aside as pointed out by the Management, the Court can certainly make Orders by way of restitution.

26. Then Shri Pawaskar urged that the earlier decision of the Supreme Court reported in 1980 1 LLJ 137 Gujarat Steel Tubes Ltd. Vs. Mazdoor Sabha relied upon by the Supreme Court in Deshraj does not in fact lay down, the proposition propounded in Deshraj. With all respect to the Supreme Court, I must say that it will be height of impropriety on my part to entertain the suggestion that the Supreme Court has erred in ascertaining the correct ratio of Gujarat Steel. If I may say so on in all humility the observations of the Apex Court in paras 145 and 146 of Gujarat Steel are in absolute consequence with what is enunciated in para 8 of Desh Raj. I have this point here.

27. I have carefully gone through the ruling of the Supreme Court in 1976(32) F.I.R. 285 D. C. Roy Vs. Presiding Officer M.P. No doubt the Court relying on P.H. Kalyani's case, held that the order of dismissal of the Worker confirmed by the Labour Court after recording of the evidence did relate back to the date of the Management's Order. But that view was taken on the specific finding—to quote the words of the Court itself "the inquiry in the present case does not suffer from defects so serious or fundamental as to make it non est". Indeed Chandrachud, J. (as he then was) in his inimitable style has recorded his caveat in the following words :

"We would, however like to add that the decision in P.H. Kalyani's case is not to be construed as a charter for employers to dismiss employees after the preterence of an inquiry. The inquiry in the instant case does not suffer from defects so serious or fundamental as to make it non est. On an appropriate occasion, it may become necessary to carve an exception to the ratio of Kalyani's case so as to exclude from its operation at least that class of cases in which under the facade of a domestic inquiry, the employer passes an order gravely detrimental to the employee's interest like an order of dismissal. An inquiry blatantly and consciously violating principles of natural justice may well be equated with the total absence of an inquiry so as to exclude the application of the 'relation-back' doctrine. But we will not pursue the point beyond this as the facts before us do not warrant a closer consideration thereof."

28. The present is a case before me, where the domestic inquiry has been held to be invalid not on insubstantial ground but on as many as 3 grounds—see para 24 supra—each one of which, by itself, is a gross violation of the principles of natural justice and sufficient to render the Management's dismissal Order non-est. Looked from any angle, I have no doubt that Desh Raj will apply to the case before me with all force.

29. Having covered all this ground, I pause to consider whether I should make any Orders about interim relief. More than 8 years have elapsed since the Management have passed the dismissal Order. A suit is pending in the High Court, between the partners of the Company for dissolution of the partnership. Para 7 of the Workman's affidavit which is not specifically denied by the Management, prima facie shows that more than Rs. 1.5 lakhs has been shown by partner Parab as due to the applicant as in April 1989. The financial condition of the Company has also been pointed by this partner of the Management firm as bleak. I quite see that these allegations might have been a bit exaggerated. But then they cannot be totally brushed aside. The applicant has affirmed that he has been without alternate employment. Even as that he has been earning same starving wages to keep his body and soul together and to sustain the family. I do not think that would be a sound ground to deny him securing of his legitimate rights against the Management. Shri Shetty has been very fair to the Management when he told this Tribunal that he would be satisfied even if the Management were directed to deposit the arrears of the applicant's wages in any Scheduled Bank pending the final Award. I think considering all the circumstances of this case, it would be fair to both sides to make the following Order :

ORDER

The Management shall give the particulars of the arrears of wages payable to the Workman from 31-12-82 to 31-12-90 within 2 weeks hereof. Thereafter they shall take necessary steps to take out a Fixed Deposit receipt for the sum specified by the Tribunal, in the name of its Secretary. I shall give necessary directions in this regard after the amount is settled. Costs to be costs in the cause.

S. N. KHATRI, Presiding Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 1, BOMBAY

PRESENT :

Shri Justice R. G. Sindhakar, Presiding Officer.
Reference No. CGIT-5 of 1986

PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of Overseas Clearing Corporation

AND

Their Workmen.

APPEARANCES :

For the Management—Shri Aditya Chitle, Advocate

For the Workman—Shri K. M. Shetty.

INDUSTRY : Clearing & Forwarding. STATE : Maharashtra.
Bombay, the 25th of April, 1995

AWARD (Part-III)

My Learned Predecessor has by Award Part-I dated 2nd of June, 1990 permitted the management to led evidence before the Tribunal as deemed fit to substantiate the charges against the Workman. The workman has also been given an opportunity to lead evidence in rebuttal. That he did after finding that the inquiry proceeding the order of termination was vitiated on the ground that he had not been allowed to engage an Advocate, nor granted a deserved adjournment and proceeding with the matter and on the ground of prejudice caused for non-payment of subsistence allowance. Letter by Award Part-II he directed the management to give the particulars of the arrears of wages payable to the workman from 31-12-1982 to 31-12-1990 within 2 weeks from the date of the order and to take thereafter necessary steps to take out the fixed deposit receipts for the sum specified by the Tribunal in the name of its Secretary and said that further necessary directions would be given.

2. As a result of the Award Part-I management filed affidavits and so did the workman file his own. Both sides cross-examined through advocates the witnesses who filed the affidavits. Thereafter arguments have been heard and even written submissions have been made on behalf of the management to supplement the oral arguments.

3. My Learned Predecessor has already observed in Award Part-I that the main charges against the workman were (a) imbitual absence without prior permission on a number of days, (b) refusal to accept about 27 letters tendered personally to the workman, (c) rude behaviour with responsible officers and other co-employees. On perusal of the chargesheet that has been served it is also seen that these were the main charges that were levelled against the delinquent employee. I may mention that one of the charge's was that he declined to type out the material as asked by Shri Kadam he employee's Officer, that he was found reading newspapers during office hours on certain dates and that he was found doing private typing work on the office typewriters during office hours. It was also alleged that he was convasing for enrollment of the Union's membership during duty hours on one of the days.

4. He has replied to this chargesheet and it is stated that the charges were false. He has however mentioned in the said reply dated 31st of March 1982 that the management was playing mischief by putting remarks near his signature on copies of letters accepted by him and also for non-acceptance

of his letters that he was not able to accept letters from the management. It therefore appears that so far as the charge of non-acceptance of letters is concerned he has not disputed the fact that he refused to accept the letters but was assigning reasons for doing so. With regard to the other charges I have already stated above that he styles them as false. In his statement of claim as well as in his affidavit he has given history of previous order leading to successful litigation in which he came to be reinstated. According to him because of this that he came to be harassed by the management and a result of that was the present chargesheet and the consequent order of dismissal. The fact that there was a litigation with regard to his earlier order of termination is not disputed and therefore there is no need for referring to that part of the evidence. However it is double edged weapon. It is the case of the management that because of this reinstatement ordered by the Court with payment of backwages that he started with vengeance misbehaving with a feeling that the management can do no harm to him. It will be, therefore, necessary to scrutinise the material that has been placed on record to find out whether the charges have been substantiated.

5. I will take up charge with regard to the incident dated 13th of March, 1982 first. That is at item No. 7 in the chargesheet. In this connection I may state that the management's case is that he was noticed doing some typing work when Shri Parab came to the Office at 10.00 a.m. and went to his cabin. After some time Shri Parab came out of the cabin and found Shri Drego writing something. He came up to the table of Shri Drego and asked for those papers which Drego declined to do. Shri Parab however took away the papers lying on Drego's table and went to his cabin. He noticed that the papers were not pertaining to any office work. Shri Drego followed him to his cabin and started behaving in a threatening manner and put his hands on Shri Parab's shoulders. He started abusing him and using filthy and foul language most unbecoming of an employee towards employer. The abuses and threats are mentioned and they are:

- (a) Haramkhor, Tum Haramkhor Hai
- (b) Tum hamara khoon pita hai
- (c) Hum Tuzko dekh lega
- (d) Tum sub chor hai
- (e) I will teach you a good lesson.

Shri Kulkarni the Accountant and Shri Khanolkar the then partner hearing this rushed to Parab's cabin and tried to reason with Drego and escorted him out of the cabin. Shri Drego was not in a mood to see reason and once again tried to enter the cabin in an angry mood and hurled those abuses loudly again. Shri Parab's evidence has been supported by the evidence of Shri Achutan and also Shri Jugdhar and Shri Kulkarni. The evidence of Gowade also supports them.

6. Shri Drego in his affidavit denied the charge. According to him he was in the toilet and when he came out he was informed by Shri Kamble that Shri Parab had come, opened his drawer (Shri Drego's drawer) and had taken his hand written paper alongwith carbon. He thereafter went to Mr. Parab's cabin and while he was politely requesting Shri Parab Shri Khanolkar and Shri Kulkarni came inside the cabin pulled him out and told him never to enter the cabin. According to him he never uttered the abuses nor the threatening words. So far as therefore the version of Drego is concerned he is pleading denial of the entire incident. I stated earlier the management has tried to substantiate its case on this charge by leading evidence of those witnesses whom it could produce. The relationship between the management and the employee was at the relevant time not happy. Even then it would be rather difficult to throw out the evidence adduced on behalf of the management consisting of the management's employees on the ground that all this is cooked up to find an excuse for terminating the services of the employee. The workman has filed his statement of claim and though he was aware of the charges levelled against him he has not made specific reference to this particular incident of 13th March 1982. They have faced the cross-examination and I do not find that the evidence given by these witnesses on the point of this misbehaviour on the part of the employee on 13th March 1982 deserved to be rejected. I may mention here that Shri Drego has relied upon his own evidence

in rebuttal of the charge. Shri Kamble's affidavit has not been filed. Drego does not dispute the fact that he went to cabin of Parab. He does not dispute that he was requesting Parab to give him back his papers. He does not dispute the presence of Kulkarni and Khanolkar who according to him came inside the cabin and pulled him out. It is against this background that the evidence has to be assessed and I am inclined to hold acting upon the evidence of these witnesses that this charge is established. It is a case of domestic enquiry. The standard of proof is not as high as is one in a criminal trial. Charge is not to be proved beyond doubt. Preponderance of probability is the test to be applied and though cited it is not necessary to refer to the decisions on the point because there can't be and there is no quarrel with this position.

7. The contention of Mr. Drego in reply to this charge further is that in respect of this incident he has been warned and therefore separate charge could not be now framed and he cannot be punished twice over. He refers to in his affidavit letter dated 13th of March, 1982 page 48 dist of documents filed by the management. The letter makes a reference to this incident and it is of the same date the incident takes place. In the last paragraph it is stated that the management has taken a serious note of this indisciplined behaviour of "your indisciplined behaviour and you are now hereby warned that if you continue to indulge in this type of indisciplined behaviour the management will be constrained to take serious disciplinary action against you". In the first instance when this letter was tendered to him he refused to accept the same and there is an endorsement to that effect made by Shri Achutan. Shri Achutan is a dispatch clerk. He has stated that since August 1981 he refused to accept letters delivered by him. Therefore the warning sought to be tendered by this letter dated 13-3-1982 has not been accepted by him. Besides merely because he was sought to be warned at that time does not mean that that puts an end to the management's right to take disciplinary proceeding if the charge is serious enough and it has been conveyed to him by this letter that if he continued to indulge in this type of behaviour the management will be constrained to take serious disciplinary action against him. In my opinion, therefore, this contention of the workman that he was warned and dealt with for this misconduct and therefore the charge is not sustainable, is not sound.

8. Charge at item No. 2 is refusal to accept the letters or memo tendered to him. The dispatch clerk Sndu Achutan has in the course of his affidavit stated that since after August 1981 Shri Drego refused to accept the letters tendered to him though prior to that he used to accept. According to him he used to make an endorsement of refusal on the said letters and send them by Registered Post A.D. at his residential address. He mentions that such letters were 30 to 60 during the period of August 1981 to March 1982 and 27 letters in the chargesheet are some of those letters refused to be accepted by Shri Drego. This witness has been cross-examined. The line of cross-examination indicates that he is not disputing the fact that he declined to accept the letters though in respect of the letters dated 13-11-1981 his case is they were accepted because Shri Kadam assured Drego that the Company will accept Drego's letters. It is suggested that after 13-11-1981 in spite of this assurance by Kadam the Company declined to accept Shri Drego's letters and that is sought to be made a justification for declining to accept Company's letters. In other words the factum of refusal to accept the letters as stated by Shri Achutan the dispatch clerk is not in dispute and justification is given for the action. I do not see how then it could be urged that the charge of refusal to accept the management's communications could not be said to have been established. I may mention that Shri Jugdhar has also in his affidavit mentioned that Drego refused to accept letters tendered to him personally and list of some of those has been mentioned in the chargesheet. He was also in the course of cross-examination asked whether Company refused to accept the letters tendered to it by Shri Drego he had no knowledge about that but it indicates that justification for refusal is the defence. He has stated in the course of his cross-examination that dispatch clerk used to show him the letters tendered and not accepted by delinquent employee. I, therefore, find the charge of refusal to accept established.

9. The third charge against the delinquent is that he declined to do the work of typing entrusted to him and that was on 10th of November, 1984 around 11.30 a.m. He was asked to type copies of bill of lading in respect of Company's client M. S. Nulon Synthetic Fibre and Chemical Limited, Bombay for export of Industrial 'V' belts (2) orders USSR through the Steamer Agents Sisidhi Steam Navigation Company Ltd. S. S. Jala Rashmi. It is alleged that he not only refused to do the work assigned by Mr. Kadam but also shouted at him in a rude manner. This act amounted to insubordination and disobedience of lawful and reasonable orders of management and disorderly, indecent behaviour on the premises of the establishment including commission of act subversive of discipline and good behaviour. With regard to this charge the defence of the delinquent employee appears to be that it was not a part of the Company's work and that he asked for written orders in that behalf. The evidence in this connection consists of the testimony of Shri Parab who stated that he went to him and told him to type the material and Shri Drego declined to do so. That he did in the presence of the other staff members and he also shouted at Kadam in a rude manner. Shri Parab states that he was a witness to this incident. It has not been denied that he was asked to do typing work. By his reply dated 13th of November, 1981 (page 30 of the list of documents produced by him) he admits that Mr. Kadam asked him to type out the copies of some bills of lading. He denied therein that he refused to do that work. According to him he told Shri Kadam very politely that he should be given in writing to do that work. This approach of a typist in a firm is difficult to understand much less appreciate. If he is asked to do a particular work it is not for him to find out whether it is the work of the firm or of someone else and it is surely not expected of him to ask for written instructions before proceeding to carry out that work. I find that there is material in support to hold that he did not carry out instructions of Parab to type out that material. It is also stated in the course of affidavit that in the presence of the other staff members Shri Drego shouted at Kadam in a rude manner and Shri Parab was then present. His version that he talked politely is not suggested to the witness nor admitted by him. I therefore hold that the said charge is proved.

10. His contention then is that he was warned by letter dated 18th of November, 1981 for his refusal to do the work on 10-11-1981 after considering his reply dated 13-11-1981 and therefore cannot be now charged. Once again I must mention that management has reserved its right to take disciplinary action against him by stating so at the end of the letter dated 18th of November, 1981.

11. The next charge against him is at item No. 4 and it is in respect of negligence in duty by reading newspaper during office hours. The evidence in this connection is that of Shri Parab. Shri Parab however states that Shri Drego was found wasting time by reading newspapers on amongst other dates 31-12-1981, 12-2-1982 and 6-3-1982. It does not appear to be disputed that Shri Drego was reading newspapers and his contention is that he was not provided with work and therefore reading papers which were purchased by the management for the obvious purpose of reading and the staff members were having access to these newspapers. As against this the management's contention is that he had work assigned to him which he was not doing and wasting his time by reading newspapers. I think in the light of cross-examination that has been carried out the charge could be restricted to the reading of newspapers on 12-2-1982. That is because he stated in the course of cross-examination that on referring to the documents it could be said that he saw him reading newspapers on 12-2-1982 and with regard to the 6-2-1982 he was not sure. Earlier he had stated it will be only after referring to the documents that he will be able to say whether he saw him reading newspapers on 31-12-1981, 12-2-1982, and 6-3-1982. Therefore if a solitary day i.e. 12-2-1982 he was seen reading newspapers I do not think it should have been made much of, much less the basis of a charge. The lunch time commences at 1. may be that it was before lunch time he had a glance at the head lines as he says. It was not possible for Mr. Parab to say what work was pending for typing at that time when Drego was found reading a newspaper. It appears that in respect of this incident I would say that the charge is not established. Much deserved, if established to be penalised.

12. I will now deal with charge No. 5. The allegations are that on 27-2-1982 between 3.30 pm and 4.00 pm he was found doing private typing work on office machine during office hours. The evidence in this connection is of Shri Kulkarni and Shri Bandiwadekar. Shri Parab has also given evidence in support. Version of Shri Drego is that this is a cooked up charge and that he had not done any private typing work. Shri Kulkarni in the course of his evidence has stated that he saw Shri Drego doing private typing work and he reported the matter to Shri Kadam and thereafter Shri Khenolkar was informed. Shri Khenolkar came out, verified the fact and in fact Shri Drego took out the papers from the typewriter and tore them and threw them in the waste paper basket. A watch was kept on these papers and after Drego left they were collected and kept in a packet and they were produced in the Course of departmental inquiry. There is some controversy about the date written on that packet but I do not think much need be made about it. The fact remains that the evidence of these 2 persons if accepted would establish that charge and I see no reason to discard that evidence. The contention raised by the Learned Advocate appearing for the employee is that the evidence cannot be accepted because there is a contradiction in the affidavit of Mr. Bandiwadekar and evidence of Mr. Kulkarni. Shri Kulkarni has stated in para 3 of the affidavit that he was present in the office between 3.30pm and 4.00pm on 27-2-1982 and Shri Drego the workman was also present and doing some typing work. He informed Shri Kadam that the workman was doing private typing work and thereafter Shri Kulkarni has stated in para 3 of his affidavit that he was present in the office between 3.30 pm & 4.00 pm on 27-2-1982 private typing. The workman was questioned about it and he took out the papers and threw them in the waste paper basket. Shri Bandiwadekar when examined also gave evidence on similar lines. I do not see therefore any contradiction justifying rejection of that evidence. Here he is not coming out with a case that because he was not provided work he was justified in doing private typing work. The peon Shigvan is dead. He had assisted in collecting the papers. Khenolkar has not been examined but is in evidence that he had filed a suit against the concern. The grievance that there was no memo given earlier and only a chargesheet is filed is only to be stated before it is rejected. When memos are given argument is that he has been dealt with and can't be charged over again.

13. The charge is with regard to his habit of remaining absent without obtaining prior permission during the period July 1981 to March 1982. He was absent for as many as 33 days according to the management. Details of this are given in the chargesheet. Out of these days it appears that on 22-11-1981 he was granted Casual Leave and that remarks is to be found in the chargesheet itself. In this connection Mr. Parab was asked in course of cross-examination as to how this charge could be sustained in respect of this date and the answer that he gave "I cannot say why absence on 20-11-1981 is made a subject matter of charge when the remark column says that with permission one day's C.L. granted." That will have to be therefore left out of consideration. With regard to item No. 16 date 13-3-1982 from the remarks column it will be clear that it is not a case of absenteeism with or without permission but it relates to an incident wherein Shri Drego was found doing typing work and when asked by Shri Parab the partner Shri Drego abused Parab in the presence of staff. That appears to have been covered by charge No. 6 where it is mentioned that he left abruptly the office premises at 11.20 am without permission and when asked by Kadam he told that he was going to the Union's office. I think that aspect could be dealt with while dealing with charge No. 6 because it is not so mentioned at item No. 16 is charge No. 1.

14. With regard to this charge is the evidence of Judgar, Kulkarni Achutan and Parab. They say that he was absent leaving office without permission and was not applying for leave. According to them this was unauthorised absence and treated as such.

15. The delinquent Shri Drego has filed an affidavit and also been cross-examined on behalf of the management. He maintained that on some of these days he was present though shown absent and for some period he had applied for leave. He also tried to refer to his letters addressed to the management.

16. As against this the management has relied upon the oral evidence of the witness coupled with the muster roll and memos produced during the course of the proceeding. Objection was raised to the production of muster roll on behalf of the workman but that has been overruled by me for the reasons stated at the time of overruling the objections. It is submitted that the management was out to dismiss him once again and therefore adopted various tactics and one such tactic was to sow him absent though he was present. It is urged that the workman had no access to the Muster Roll and he learnt about it only when wages were deducted. It is true that the muster roll was being maintained by the management and either Achutan when he was in charge and thereafter Mr. Kulkarni who looked after the Muster Roll who used to mark presence or absence or leave as against the workman's name but that is the practice which was prevailing there. It is rather difficult to reject the evidence of these witnesses on the plea that they were interested in harassing the workman Shri Drego and therefore giving false evidence and cooked up documentary evidence. It is seen from the correspondence that has been produced by the workman himself that he had proceeded on leave without applying for it in advance and thereafter placing on record long letters giving reasons for his absence and asking for leave. The management has time and again given him a warning that serious action will be taken for his misconduct for remaining absent unauthorisedly.

17. So far as the first date is concerned it is 23-7-91 (corrected to 24-7-1981) on that day and on 30th of July 1981 he was absent without prior permission and that fact is not disputed. According to the workman he applied for leave for 24-7-1982 but that application was not entertained by the management and when he went to present it personally he was asked to go out of the cabin. All the same it is not seen that it has been granted. On 30th of July he was given a memo mentioning that he was absent unauthorisedly on 24th of July and on 30th of July without intimation or prior sanction and called upon to explain. He gave an explanation on 3rd of August and stated that he was advised to approach Shri Parab by Mr. Kadam and when he went to see Mr. Parab in his chamber he was asked to get out and never to come back to the Chamber again. So far as this is concerned Shri Parab when examined has not been suggested that he asked him to go out of the Chamber. So far as 30th of July's absence is concerned he states in his explanation that there was a hearing in the Labour Court on that day and hearing had commenced on 29th of July and finished at 4 pm. He did not remember about the hearing of 30th of July and therefore could not apply for leave on 29th. He says he had telephoned Shri Parab brother in law of Mr. Parab about it. He thus denied that he was unauthorisedly absent on these 2 dates. For his absence on these 2 days he was informed on 14th August, 1981 after considering his explanation dated 3rd of August that it had become his habit to remain absent without prior permission and the explanation was not satisfactory and that management has taken a serious view of this type of his behaviour and he may have to face consequences of the same if it is continued any more and that he was severely warned to be careful in future. Therefore on both the days the absence is unauthorised and explanation rejected.

18. It is then contended that he was warned and therefore no action could be now taken by way of subsequent chargesheet. I am unable to accept this submission. This letter dated 14th of August, 1981 itself mentions that for this type of behaviour he may have to face consequences if it was continued any more and when he did continue a chargesheet amongst other dated unauthorised absence for these 2 days was served. The management is accusing him of habitual unauthorised absence and this could be mentioned by way of proof of habit.

19. The next spell is from 25th of August, 1981 to 3rd of September 1981. Here again he was given a memo dated 27th of August, 1981 mentioning that he has been absent from duty from 25-8-1981 without intimation and that amounted to misconduct and he was liable for severe disciplinary action. He was also informed that the management has taken a serious note of his irregular and unauthorised habitual absence from duty. He produces a communication dated 28th of August, 1981 and mentioning that he has been

advised rest for 10 days as he has been suffering from infectious typhoid from 25th of August, 1981. According to him he was sent home on 24th of August by Mr. Khanolkar a partner at 4 pm on 24th of August on resuming duties he says that he was producing medical certificate from St. George's Hospital. The management does not admit that such a communication was received and Mr. Parab when asked about it could not say whether it was received in his office unless he has verified the inward register of his company. It is seen that such a letter is produced by the management with the list of documents however there is no medical certificate accompanying. There again is therefore a spell of unauthorised absence because it is not shown he had been granted leave.

20. The next spell is between 12th of August, 1981 and 18th of August 1981. According to him his salary was deducted. He further states that he had addressed a letter dated nil Sr. No. 27 with his list of documents. He asked for leave for five days with effect from 12th. Mr. Parab was asked about this and his answer was the same as given in respect of letter dated 18-8-1981. Now Mr. Drego's contention is that 18th was a Sunday. But even then period of five days from 12th would end on 16th and that means on 17th he was absent even without applying for leave. In that event he will not get the benefit of Sunday. In the documents produced there is an application under the signature of Mr. Drego received by the management on 15th of October, 1981 he has asked for five days leave for this spell w.e.f. 12-10-1981. It is accompanied by a certificate from St. George Hospital. It is true that leave has not been granted but in view of the fact that here is a medical certificate supporting his application I think the management ought to have granted this leave at any rate after the medical certificate was produced on 4-5-1982. However the chargesheet was given on 24th of March, 1982 and therefore this spell is also included in the charge as unauthorised absence. One need not be hyper technical and I would therefore delete this spell between 12th of October and 18th of October from the charge of unauthorised absence.

21. Thereafter on 10th of November he has been given show cause notice when he declined to type the work assigned to him by Kadam the Assistant Manager and behaved rudely which also is a subject matter of the present charge. He replied to it but the said reply dated 13th of November, 1981 was found not acceptable and he was warned and the management also intimated to him that it will be constrained to take strict disciplinary action against him which he should note.

22. I have already dealt with the absence on 20-11-1981 and excluded it from consideration because he was granted Casual Leave for that day.

23. Coming to the year 1982 he begins that new year with absence without prior permission. However his contention is that he was on duty not only on this day but also on 14-1-1982, 20-1-1982, 15-2-1982, 19-2-1982 and 26-2-1982. This case of the delinquent Shri Drego is not acceptable to the management and Shri Parab has specifically denied it when cross-examined on that point. As I stated earlier the muster roll supports the management's version and oral evidence of Shri Judgar and Shri Kulkarni coupled with that of Parab is in support of the charge. It would be difficult to reject this evidence in preference to the evidence of the delinquent employee when he says that he was on duty and yet marked absent. The management has produced memos addressed to him mentioning dates of his absence. Letter dated 15th of January, 1982 complains of his unauthorised absence on 20-1-1982. Memo dated 16th of February, 1982 complains of his habitual absentism and again another spell of absence from 15th of February, 1982. Letter dated 20th of February, 1982, complains of his absence w.e.f. 19-2-1982 memo dated 27-2-1982 complains of his absence on 26th of February memo dated 4th of March, 1982 complains of his absence on 2nd and 3rd and on 4th of March, 1982 from his set between 11 am and 12.30 noon. Memo dated 11th of March, 1982 complains of his absence on 9th of March memo dated 1st of March, 1982 takes note of the delinquent Shri Drego leaving his office on 1st of March on 12.15 noon without permission and his habit of leaving office without permission on the 2nd of March another memo has been given to him for leaving office at 5 p.m. when the office was upto

5.45 pm. It also informed him that the management has proposed to take action against him because his conduct has crossed all limits. By letter dated 9th of March, 1982 he was informed that on 8th of March he had left office at 1 pm and failed to report back thereafter. The charge is of absence without prior permission and the oral evidence is to the effect that he was absent on the date mentioned in charge No. 1 without prior permission. Drego's contention is that he was on duty and yet marked absent is difficult to accept in the face of the evidence supported by Muster roll.

24. The next date of absence without prior permission is 17-3-1982. Here again for the same reasons it will be difficult to accept his word.

25. Item No. 17 is in respect of absence on 16-3-1982. In the remarks it has been mentioned that he attended office at 11.15 am and left at 11.45 am without permission and did not return to the office. In this connection he has been given a memo dated 18th of March, 1982. The charge will have to be held established on the basis of this material.

26. Charge at item No. 19 charge one is in respect of absence on 19-3-1982 and the remark column shows that he went away at 12 noon for going to the Union's office in spite of the management's refusal to permit him to do so. In the course of his affidavit he has not stated anything about this item. Therefore there is not even a denial on this point and I would hold that as established.

27. Alongwith this charge No. 1, charge No. 6 could be dealt with. That charge is that on 1-3-1982 and 16-3-1982 he abruptly left the office premises at 12.15 noon and 11.20 am respectively without permission and when questioned by Shri Kadam Administrative Officer he told him that he was going to the Office of the Union. I have dealt with these 2 allegations earlier and it is not necessary now to deal with them over again. Mr. Drego also has contended that they are repetition of what has been alleged earlier.

28. Charge No. 8 is in respect of incident on 19-1-1982. Allegation is that he was found canvassing for enrolment of the Union's membership at about 10.30 am. In this affidavit he has in para 23 stated that the allegation is false. I am unable to find any material in support of this charge in the affidavit filed on behalf of the management. None of them have stated on oath that he was canvassing for membership on that day during duty hours. I would, therefore, not hold that charge as proved.

29. After having dealt with the charges framed against him and having found him guilty of charges at Sr. No. 1, 2, 3, 5, 6 & 7 the point for consideration is about the penalty imposed. The Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Central Rules, 1946 will apply. Schedule I clause 14 speaks of disciplinary action for misconduct and sub clause 3 states what acts and omission shall be treated as misconduct; Wilful insubordination or disobedience whether alone or in combination with other to any lawful or a reasonable order of a superior, habitual absence without leave or absence without leave for more than 10 days, habitual late attendance, habitual negligence or neglect of work, riotous or disorderly behaviour during working hours at the establishment or any act subversive of discipline are enumerated in that sub clause. The established charges would justify penalty of dismissal as contemplated by sub clause 2 of clause 14.

30. Mr. Shetty on behalf of the workman contended that before passing the order of dismissal the management has to take into account the past record, aggravating and extenuating circumstances and that the management did not do. It is seen from the letter dt. 31st of December, 1982 that the management has taken into account the report of the inquiry officer holding him guilty of the charges. It is because of the findings that he has been dismissed from the service with immediate effect. The provisions of sub clause 5 of clause 14 provide for taking into account gravity of the misconduct, previous record if any of the workman and any other extenuating or aggravating circumstances that may exist. In my opinion not mentioning the previous record and other extenuating or aggravating circumstances would not vitiate the order of penalty imposed. It should be seen whether this has caused prejudice to the workman. The two charges held established are in my view grave enough to warrant the penalty of dismissal and they are at Sr. No.

3 and 7. Charge at Sr. No. 1 is also grave enough by itself to warrant that penalty. A person held guilty of these charges could not complain about the penalty of dismissal as being not proper. No extenuating circumstances have been brought on record to show that he merited a lesser penalty even after such grave charges were proved against him. In my opinion that delinquent employee merited the penalty imposed and failure to mention the past record other extenuating or aggravating circumstances has not prejudiced the delinquent employee.

31. I may also mention here that the Central rules provided for suspension for a period not exceeding 4 days at a time, dismissal without notice or compensation in lieu of notice if he is found to be guilty of misconduct. The misconduct is enumerated therein and I do not find that any punishment short of dismissal was called for in the present case.

32. I may at this stage also once again deal with the contention raised on behalf of the workman that he was given warning for some acts of misconduct and could not have been dealt with over again. Assuming that this argument is sound I find that for charge No. 7 he has never been dealt with and that charge was by itself grave enough to merit the penalty of dismissal. Therefore even if he could not have been dealt with after warning on some counts the penalty imposed could not be affected.

33. One more point that has been raised is required to be considered. On behalf of the workman it has been submitted that he is entitled to wages w.e.f. date of dismissal till the date of this award. This submission is made because the order of dismissal passed by the management was found to be bad as it was not proceeded by an inquiry in accordance with the principles of natural justice and it has been so held by my Learned predecessor by Part-I award. Thereafter the management sought liberty to justify the action and led evidence in support. Since the earlier order was not valid be continued to be in service and even if this Court were to hold that the dismissal was justified even then for the intervening period he cannot be denied wages.

34. In this behalf management has submitted that the theory of 'relation back' would apply and if this Court were to hold that action is justified then the delinquent employee will not be entitled to any wages as claimed by him.

35. The reference made to this Tribunal mentioned in the schedule reads thus :

"Whether the action of the management of M/s. Overseas Clearing Corporation, Bombay in dismissing their workman Shri F. S. Drego w.e.f. 31-12-1982 is justified ? If not to what relief the workman is entitled to" ?

36. Submission is that in case it is held in favour of the workman that the action is not justified then and only then the latter question will arise namely to what relief the workman is entitled. But if this Court holds it as justified then the second question posed will not arise for consideration and is not required to be answered. It is submitted that it is because of the obvious position that a justified action will disentitle the workmen from any wages subsequent to that date.

37. The second submission is that the finding of this Court on the point of misconduct held proved and the finding that the penalty of dismissal was called for must relate back and in this particular case relates back to the date of order. On behalf of the workman decision in the case between Deshrui Gupta & Industrial Tribunal Lucknow reported in 1991 I.L.J page 120 is relied upon. Therein that case the management was called upon to justify the punishment by adducing additional evidence and Tribunal held that the punishment was legal and justified. The Supreme Court held that if the order of punishment passed by the management was declared illegal and the punishment was upheld subsequently by a Labour Tribunal the date of dismissal cannot relate back to the date of illegal order of the employer and in such cases the workman was entitled to the salary from

the date of dismissal to the date of award. However on behalf of the management reference has been made to a decision in the case between Rambhau and Maharashtra State Road Transport Corporation reported in 1992 Volume II page 872 a decision of Nagpur Bench of the Bombay High Court rendered on August 14, 1992. There in this decision as well as the other decision of the Supreme Court on the point have been considered and the view taken is and the Learned Judge observed.

"the principles deducible from the foregoing may be enumerated :

1. The character of the misconduct viz. an imputation of moral turpitude or of negligence etc., ascribed to the employee is of great importance in the application of principle.
2. The precise degree of imperfections in the enquiry held has to be investigated and categorised.
3. The theory of relation back is no rigid and flexible formula to be applied to every case where the misconduct ascribed is established in the course of adjudication.

It is only after the 1st two stages are over that the Court proceeds to determine the relief awardable".

38. It has been observed that character of misconduct ascribed to the employee plays an important part in the application of principle of 'relation back'. In this case before me I find that it was not a case of no inquiry or a facade of inquiry. The inquiry that has been conducted has been found to be defective because the inquiry officer did not permit the workman to be defended by an Advocate, that the delinquent employee was not given subsistence allowance and that deserved adjournment was not granted. I also find that the charge of misconduct levelled against him and held established is a very serious one and since that is one of the factors to be taken into account as observed in the case of Basudebadas the character of misconduct plays an important part in the application of principles of 'relation back'.

39. However I must mention that my Learned predecessor on 2nd of May 1991 made Award Part-II and therein has discussed the doctrine of 'relation back' being applicable to the present case and expressed the view that it could be invoked. He has also relied upon Deshraj case. However I find that a specific directions to pay back wages has not been given. In fact the Learned Presiding Officer while passing the order observed "I shall give necessary directions in this regard after the amount is settled." The order that he passed reads thus :

"The management shall give the particulars of the arrears of wages payable to the workman from 31-12-1982 to 31-12-1990 within 2 weeks hereof. Thereafter they shall take necessary steps to take out a fixed deposit receipts for the sum specified by the Tribunal in the name of its Secretary I shall give necessary directions in this regard after the amount is settled. Costs to be costs in the cause".

The Part-II Award appears to have been challenged by writ petition No. 3562 of 1991 in the

High Court. High Court by order dt. 2nd of September, 1991 observed that the possibility of first respondent succeeding in the reference cannot be ruled out and it was therefore necessary to secure him for his back wages in view of the dispute intersay between the partners of the petitioners through its partners furnished Bank guarantee for the sum of Rs. 18,000 from Nationalised Banks to the satisfaction of second respondent within 2 weeks The Learned Judge further observed that :

"the observations made in the impugned order shall be ignored while trying the dispute on merit and shall not bind the Tribunal or the parties."

40. This was taken up to the Supreme Court by a special leave petition and it appears that the time granted has been extended and the communication to that effect has been sent by petitioner's Learned Advocate to a partner of the employer's firm. Xerox copy of the order is also accompanying this letter of the Learned Advocate. What happened thereafter to the special leave petition is not borne out by record. Mr. Shetty now informs that there were no further orders passed by the Supreme Court. However as I stated earlier the Part-II Award only mentions the view expressed by my Learned predecessor and that would be obvious from the High Court's observations quoted above and which observations clearly show that the observations made in the impugned order namely Award Part-II shall not bind this Tribunal or the parties. I therefore feel that at the stage of disposing off this matter and passing the final Award I can deal with & refuse the relief of wages w.e.f. the date of dismissal order till the date of passing of this order while upholding the termination order and relating it to the date it was originally passed on the basis of doctrine of 'relation back'.

Award accordingly.

R. G. SINDHAKAR, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 23 जून 1995

का.आ 1899 :—उत्प्रवास अधिनियम, 1983 (1983 का 31) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करने हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार श्री सी.डी. नागर, अवर सचिव को दिनांक 12-6-95 से 19-7-95 तक उत्प्रवास संरक्षी-1, दिल्ली के रूप में नियुक्त करती है।

[संख्या एल. 22012/1/92-उत्प्रवास]

सी.डी. भारद्वाज, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 23rd June, 1995

S.O. 1899.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 3, Sub-section (1) of the Emigration Act, 1983 (31 of 1983), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri V. D. Nagar, Under Secretary as Protector of Emigrants-I, Delhi with effect from 12th June 1995 to 19th July 1995.

[No. A-22012/1/92-Emig.]

C. D. BHARDWAJ, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 14 जून, 1995

का.आ 1900 :—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार आयल एण्ड नैचुरल गैस कमीशन के प्रबंधन के संबंध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में, केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण कानपुर के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 9-6-95 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[संख्या एल-30012/28/88-डो-3 (बी)/आई.आर. (कोल-1)]

ब्रज मोहन, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 14th June, 1995

S.O. 1900.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Kanpur as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Oil and Natural Gas Commission and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 9-6-1995.

[No. L-30012/28/88-D.III (B)/IR (Coal-I)]
BRAJ MOHAN, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SRI B. K. SRIVASTAVA PRESIDING OFFICER,
CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-
CUM-LABOUR COURT PANDU NAGAR DEOKI PALACE
ROAD KANPUR

Industrial Dispute 62 of 1989

In the matter of dispute :

BETWEEN

The Joint Secretary,
O.N.G.C. Karamchari Union,
B-7/1/1 Ballapur Dehradun.

AND

The Chairman,
Oil and Natural Gas Commission,
Tel Bhawan,
Dehradun.

AWARD

1. Central Government, Ministry of Labour, vide its Notification No. L-30012/28/88-D.III(B) dated 2-3-89 has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal—

Whether the action of the management of Oil and Natural Gas Commission Dehradun in not giving the scale of Assistant Grade I (Rs. 700—1430) to Sri B. C. Ramola, Record Keeper Gr. I is justified. If not what relief the workman is entitled to ?

2. The concerned workman B. C. Ramola in his written statement has alleged that initially he was appointed on

24-4-56 as Attendant, a semi skilled post. Later on he was promoted to the post of record keeper on 24-4-57. From the above it will be seen that the post of record keeper was higher than that of attendant still the employer M/s. Oil and Natural Gas Commission revised the pay scale subsequently by virtue of which the grade of attendant was made higher than that of Record Keeper. It was also alleged that there has been a discrimination between him and others regarding fixation of grade. The concerned workman is entitled to get the post of Assistant Gr. (1) carrying the scale of Rs. 700—1430. In subsequent paras the details of grades have been shown.

3. The employer has filed written statement in which it has been alleged that the concerned workman was promoted to the post of Record Keeper Gr. (1) on 17-9-80 with retrospective effect from 1-1-1986. The grade of Record Keeper Gr. (1) and Assistance Gr. (1) are different and belong to different cadres as well. Hence the concerned workman cannot get grade of Assistant Grade (1) which is of superior in nature and which would also amount to promotion.

4. The concerned workman filed certain papers and also the affidavit of one Genda Lal. Whereas employer had filed the affidavit of a Negi, Deputy Director thrice and had also filed 8 papers.

5. It may be mentioned that during the pendency of this reference Genda Lal has admitted in his cross examination that the concerned workman has died during the pendency of reference. Now it is well settled law that by the death of workman reference would not abate.

6. On merits I am inclined to agree with the contention of the employer. I had occasion to go through the service rules of the opposite party employer and by Rational Committee Report from which it is evident that the cadre of record keeper and Assistant are quite distinct. A person belonging to one cadre cannot be allowed to be promoted in the other cadre at a higher post. It would be contrary to rules and all canons of law as well. I further agree that it would amount to granting of promotion. It is settled law that Labour Courts/Tribunal have no power to grant promotions. Only employer has got this prerogative. Further I am of the view that if such claims is entertained it would amount to committing breach of pay scales which have been allowed to one and all.

7. In the end I am of the opinion that because of Assistant Grade (1) and Record Keeper Grade (1) belong to distinct cadre and in the absence of any rule the concerned workman is not entitled for the post of Assistant grade (1) in the scale of Rs. 700—1430.

8. As such he is not entitled for any relief.

9. Reference is answered accordingly.

Dated : 5-6-1995

B. K. SRIVASTAVA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 14 जून, 1995

का. आ. 1901 :—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार यूनैटेड बैंक आफ इंडिया के प्रबंधन के संबंध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में, औद्योगिक अधिकरण, मद्रास के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 13-6-95 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[संख्या एल-12012/120/86/डी II ए/आई. आर. बी-2 एवं संख्या एफ-12012/119/86-डी II ए/आई आर (बी-2)]

बी. के. शर्मा, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 14th June, 1995

S.O. 1901.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of Industrial Tribunal, Madras as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of United Bank of India and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 13-6-1995.

[No. L-12012/120/86-D.II (A)/IR (B-II)]
and [No. L-12012/119/86-D.II (A)/IR (B-II)]

V. K. SHARMA, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, TAMIL NADU
Madras-4).

Friday, the 28th day of April, 1995

PRESENT :

Thiru K. Ponnusamy, M.A., B.L., Industrial Tribunal.

Industrial Dispute Nos. 26/1987 and 44/1987

(In the matter of the dispute for adjudication under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 between the Workmen and the Management of United Bank of India, Madras-4).

BETWEEN

The Workmen represented by

The President,
Tamil Nadu Banks Deposit Collectors Union,
35, Armenian Street,
P.O. Box 180, Madras-600001.

AND

The Regional Manager,
United Bank of India,
59, Kacheri Road,
Mylapore, Madras-600004.

REFERENCE :

In I. D. No. 26 of 1987 :

Order No. L-12012/120/86-D.II (A), dated 9-3-87 of the Ministry of Labour, Government of India, New Delhi.

In I. D. No. 44 of 1987 :

Order No. L-12012/119/86-D.II (A), dated 16-4-87, of the Ministry of Labour, Government of India, New Delhi.

These disputes after remand coming on for final hearing on Thursday, the 27th day of April, 1995 upon perusing the reference, claim and Counter statements and all other material papers on record and upon hearing the arguments of Thiru U. P. Shet, Authorised Representative, appearing for the Workmen, and of Tvl. N. G. R. Prasad and S. Vaidyanathan for Tvl. Row and Reddy, Advocates appearing for the Management and these disputes having stood over till this day for consideration, this Tribunal made the following Common Award.

AWARD

I. D. 26/87 :

This reference has been made for adjudication of the following issue :

"Whether the action of the Management of the United Bank of India, Madras in terminating the services of Shri Koshy Kettikaran, Tiny Deposit Collector,

United Bank of India, Oppanakkara Street, Coimbatore from 20-12-1981 is legal ? If not to what relief is the workman/concerned entitled ?"

I. D. 44/87 :

Whether the action of the Management of the United Bank of India, Madras in terminating the services of Shri Lakshmi An, Tiny Deposit Collector, United Bank of India, Oppanakkara Street, Coimbatore from 11-10-1984 is legal. If not, to what relief is the workman concerned entitled ?

3. The claim of the petitioner briefly stated is as follows :& Shri Koshy Kettikaran, on submitting his application dated 10-10-79/79 was selected and appointed as the Banks Commission Agent under the Tiny Savings Scheme, by the Agent, United Bank of India, Coimbatore. The letter of appointment issued by the Bank to the workman on 20-11-79 stipulates terms and conditions. 3. He has to identify depositors and collect deposits from them under the Tiny Savings Account by moving from door to door at Daily-weekly-fortnightly/monthly intervals as may be considered necessary keeping in view of the convenience of depositors and possibility of obtaining increasing amount of deposit. 6. On receipt of any deposit under the Scheme, he will be required to issue printed coupons to be supplied in triplicate by the Bank equal to the value of the deposits collected and obtain signature of the depositor(s) on the coupons in the space provided for it 7. The amount of deposits collected on any particular day shall not exceed Rs. 1,000 and the amount collected will have to be deposited with the Bank's branch on the same day or on the next working day alongwith the second copy of the coupon. The branch will grant due receipt for the same. The third copy of coupon shall be retained by him for his record. He will be paid by the Bank, commission at the rate of 3% of the deposit collected by him during a month-payable in the first week of the subsequent month. The workman accepted the terms and conditions for employment and has been working in the Oppanakkara Street branch of the respondent. His services were terminated by the Bank on 20-12-84. For collection of moneys towards the Tiny Deposit Accounts of the Customers of the Bank, the Management had issued an identity card with certain rules stated therein. The workman was supplied with coupons of various denominations by the Management of the Bank. He should issue the coupons on receipt of cash from the customers and obtain their signatures on the coupons. The workman should attend the branch of the respondent-bank where he is appointed and submit a statement of collection of deposits in the prescribed form of the bank. He should also sign the said statement of collection prepared by him. The said statement would be checked and verified with records by the officials of the Bank and the total amount of collection of deposits would be accepted by the Cashier of the branch. The pamphlet issued by the respondent-bank under Tiny Savings Scheme, states among other things, "Let our Tiny Savings Scheme take care of these small amounts and collect a tiny sum through regular savings. Under this scheme commission agents from some of our Branches will call on him once every week, fortnight or month and collect Rs. 5 or higher amounts which must be multiples of Rs. 5 in urban and semi-urban areas in rural areas he can deposit with the agent Re. 1 or Rs. 5 (or multiples of these amounts). So, he need not even has to go to a UBI branch, the commission agent collects it from him. It is really that convenience.

4. In the light of the above, that Shri Koshy Kettikaran was in the regular employment of the respondent-bank as the Agent for collection of cash towards the Tiny Deposit Accounts under the Tiny Deposit Savings Scheme of the respondent-bank. The designation "Commission Agent" has no relation to the work of collecting cash at the doors of the customers of the bank under the deposit scheme. The workman was not given by the respondent bank any regular pay scales. The monthly remuneration was linked to productivity in that he was given monthly a lump-sum wages at 3 per cent of the total cash collection made him for credit of Tiny Deposit Accounts. His monthly remuneration varied, therefore, with the collection of cash amounts. The workman's monthly remuneration linked to the total collection of cash for the month, was termed by the Management as "Commission". This commission which is nothing but the wages of the workman, cannot fall within the meaning of

"Commission" as defined in the Banking Regulation Act, 1949, As per the terms and conditions of appointment, he had given a security bond signed by two sureties. One of the sureties Shri V. George Kettikaran withdrew his suretyship on 12-11-1981. However, the respondent-bank allowed the workman to continue the cash collections under the deposit scheme with only one surety. It was only on 11-10-84, the Branch Manager of the respondent-bank, called upon the workman to execute a fresh surety bond with two sureties. Shri Koshy Kettikaran complied with the requirement of the respondent-bank on 17-10-1984 by executing fresh security bond with two sureties. The Branch Manager of the respondent bank without assigning any reasons, terminated the services of Shri Koshy Kettikaran, on 20-12-84. At the time of terminating the services, he was drawing a monthly remuneration not less than Rs. 800/-. He was in the regular and permanent service of the respondent bank at their Oppanakkara Street, Coimbatore branch, with the designation of "Commission Agent". He was performing the duties of a cashier by collecting cash at the doors of customers of the respondent-bank as per the Rules of the Tiny Deposit Scheme, and also under the terms and conditions of his appointment. The termination of his services without assigning any reason is wrongful and illegal. The respondent-bank contravened the terms and conditions of his appointment. No misconduct was alleged against the workman. The petitioner submits that the termination of his services amounts to retrenchment as defined under Section 2(oo) of I.D. Act, 1947. He requests this Industrial Tribunal to hold that the termination of services of Shri Koshy Kettikaran, as Commission Agent from 20-12-1984 is illegal, and order of reinstatement of the workman. Shri Koshy Kettikaran Commission Agent, with back wages and compensation as the Tribunal deems fit and appropriate.

5. The defence of the respondent briefly stated is as follows : The reference itself is incompetent because the petitioner was not a workman as defined u/s. 2(s) of the I.D. Act. He was only a Bank's Commission Agent, under Tiny Savings Scheme. He was paid commission at the rate of 3 per cent on the deposits collected. The order of engagement dt. 28-11-79 issued to him makes it very clear that he will not be an employee of the bank in any sense whatsoever. It is also mentioned that he will have no claim to appointment in the bank under any circumstances. Like other employees of the Bank, he was not required to attend the bank and sign the attendance register. He was not subject to the disciplinary control of the bank. The provisions of the Bipartite Settlement applicable to Bank-employees, was not applicable to him. Apart from canvassing deposits, he was free to engage himself in any other activity which he thought worthwhile. He was only required to deposit the collection on the same or the next day depending upon the amount of collection. Merely because he was issued an identity card, that will not make him a workman of the bank. This was to enable him to secure only deposits. The mere fact that he issued coupons on receipt of cash from customers will not make him an employee of the bank. Depositors who gave money only require some receipt for the amounts given. He was not required to attend the Branch of the respondent bank. He had to only call on the bank to deposit the collections. He was not subject to any other control as already stated. The petitioner made an application for appointment as Commission Agent. He was given a letter of engagement dated 28-11-1979, the terms of which are very clear. It does not make him an employee of the Bank. On the contrary, it clearly says that he has no right to appointment whatsoever. The various pamphlets referred to by the petitioner in paras 6 and 7 do not advance his case any further. They were only intended to popularise the savings. The petitioner was not in employment, much less in the regular employment of the Bank. Commission Agents were engaged not only in this bank, but in several other banks. Commission Agents were engaged under the Tiny Savings Scheme. The services of some of them were terminated and they went to Court. The Industrial Tribunal, Madras by its Award in Complaint No. 1 of 1982 between V. Bala and the Indian Bank and by its award dated 18-1-1985 in I.D. No. 20/1983 between the Workman represented by the General Secretary, Tamil Nadu Banks' Deposit Collectors' Union Moore Street, Madras-600 001 and the Syndicate Bank has held that Tiny Deposit Collectors are not workmen under the Industrial Disputes Act. Sec. 10 of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949, a Banking Company is prohibited from employing any person on the basis of any remuneration by way of commission. The very fact that the petitioner was being paid a commission

on deposits canvassed and brought by him only goes to show that he cannot be treated as and was never treated as workman. These decisions would apply with equal force to this case. Hence the reference is incompetent. The main object of the scheme was to mobilise deposits from Low Income Group, and inculcate a savings habit in their minds. The working of the Scheme did not produce any desirable results at the Coimbatore branch of the Bank where the petitioner was engaged, but had only posed various problems to the Officers of the bank, and caused hindrance to the day to day regular banking work. The Reserve Bank of India, took a policy decision not to encourage the scheme any further. The Head Office of the respondent bank sent a circular dt. 1-12-82 regarding the Indian Banks' Association decision not to extend the Scheme to new branches. The Commission Agents were not interested in making collections through denomination coupons of Rs. 5/- Rs. 10/- and Rs. 20/- but they were keen only making only big collections and for this purpose they demanded Miscellaneous coupons with the prior signature of the Officer/Managers in blank or higher denomination coupons of Rs. 100 to Rs. 500. The practice of the Bank issuing Miscellaneous coupons with the signature of the Manager/Officer had become so deep rooted so much so the Commission Agents went to the extent of demanding its continuance as a matter of right. To achieve their unreasonable demands, they started staging their demonstrations and shouting vulgar slogans in front of the premises of the Bank. The resultant drawback of the scheme was that the reputation of the Bank was getting tarnished. As far as the conduct of the petitioner was concerned, he was acting as the leader of other Commission Agents, and organising demonstrations. He was instrumental in sending threatening letters including letters to the Manager of the Bank or in charge of the Department. One of the two sureties also gave a legal notice whereby he revoked his surety. The other surety was none other than the wife of the petitioner himself. After considering all aspects of the matter, his services were dispensed with in terms of the letter of engagement. Since there was no relationship of Master and Servant and he was purely a commission Agent, no reason need be assigned for termination of his services. However, the respondent is explaining the circumstances under which his services came to be dispensed with, purely for the information of the Tribunal. The petitioner was never in the employment of the Bank. He was purely a Commission Agent. He was not subject to the control or discipline of the bank. Since he was not a workman as defined under Sec. 2(s) of the I. D. Act, the question of retrenchment does not arise. Hence the provision relating to section 2(oo) of the I. D. Act, will not apply. It is, therefore prayed that this Tribunal may be pleased to hold that the petitioner is not a workman under the I. D. Act and the reference is incompetent. It is prayed that this Tribunal may be pleased to hold that the dispensing with the engagement of the petitioner is legal and he is not entitled to any relief.

6. I. D. 26/87 : The Issue for consideration is :

"Whether the action of the Management of the United Bank of India, Madras in terminating the services of Shri Koshy Kettikaran, Tiny Deposit Collector, United Bank of India, Oppanakkara Street, Coimbatore from 20-12-1984 is legal ? If not, to what relief is he entitled

7. I. D. 44/87 : The Issue for consideration is :

"Whether the action of the Management of United Bank of India, Madras in terminating the services of Shri Liakath Ali Tiny Deposit Collector, United Bank of India, Oppanakkara Street, Coimbatore from 11-10-1984, is legal ? If not, to what relief is the workman concerned entitled to ?"

8. The Issues in I. D. 26/87 & 44/87 : The petitioner in I. D. 26/87 was appointed as Commission Agent subject to terms and conditions on 20-11-1979 is borne out by Ex. W-1. The petitioner in both the I.Ds. are the workman, is held in Writ Petition No. 3090 and 2099 of 1992 by the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature, Madras. They are workmen within the meaning of Sec. 2(s) of the I. D. Act. The Tiny deposit scheme was introduced by the respondent bank. The petitioner in both the industrial disputes were appointed for mobilisation and collection of money from the depositors with the commission at the rate of 3 per cent of the deposit.

collected. The duration of the scheme is 6 months in the 1st instance. The period of the scheme was extended and finally abolished. They furnished two sureties each. One surety by name George Kettikaran withdraw his suretyship is evidenced by Ex. W-2. The petitioner in I.D. 26/87 was asked to furnish two sureties acceptable to the bank. One of the sureties is his wife. Koshy Kettikaran was prohibited from carrying on the work, is evident from Ex. W-3. Public Notice was published in Malai Marasu, that the petitioner in I. D. No. 26/87 has no authority to collect money that the collection of money was stopped for time being, and the depositors are not to give money until further notice, is disclosed by Ex. W-4. Two sureties were willing to furnish sureties and asked the Bank to accept the sureties, is revealed by Ex. W-5. The petitioners in both I. Ds. were not engaged permanently, they were dispensed with and terminated with immediate effect and were asked to surrender their identity card, is made out by Ex. W-6. Their engagement was permanently dispensed with and the depositors are asked not to pay money to them, is proved by Ex. W-7. The Conciliation proceedings ended in failure, is established by Ex. W-8. Tiny Savings Account was opened as per the form Ex. W-9. The statement of collection is in the format of Ex. W-10. The opening of the Tiny Savings Account, is as per format of Ex. W-11, and W-12. The scheme was introduced is substantiated by Ex. W-13. The Agency of the petitioners in the two I. Ds. was temporarily suspended without assigning any reason, and the wife of Koshy Kettikaran was accepted as surety by the bank, is disclosed by Ex. W-14. He sent a letter to the Manager, to remove his suspension, and allow to continue with retrospective effect. The petitioner in I. D. No. 44/87 also made a similar request to the Regional Manager is evidenced by Ex. W-15. The petitioner in I. D. No. 44/87 lost his bag while travelling in the bicycle, for collection. In that bag, there was a cash of Rs. 455/- and printed unfilled up coupons. He preferred a complaint to the S. I. of the Police. B-3 Police Station, and Coupon for payment of Rs. 5/- by depositor Iqbal is disclosed by Ex. W-16. The Enquiry was pending, is supported by Ex. W-17. He informed the Bank about the missing of the bag at 12.00 noon on 4-9-1984, about the missing of the bag at 5.15 p.m. on 3-9-1984. He is accountable and bound to make good the loss to the bank. He requested the Manager to permit him to continue the collection, is substantiated by Ex. W-18.

9. The Deputy General Manager asked the Regional Manager to give the particulars regarding the non-engagement of Commission Agent for TSS. is disclosed by Ex. M-10. The Commission Agents decided to demonstrate at 5.00 p.m. from 28-8-1984 in front of the bank for one week and 28th onwards, is revealed by Ex. M-11. This Scheme was introduced, is established by Ex. M-12. The scheme was abolished due to complaints and problems. The object of the introduction of the Tiny Deposit Scheme is to create a saving habit of the weaker section of the Society, is proved by Ex. M-13. The Manager wrote to the Regional Manager, Southern Region, regarding the Tiny Deposit Scheme. One deposit A. Ali paid Rs. 1,000/- to the petitioner in I. D. 44/87 and he has not passed any receipt is disclosed by Ex. M-15. He obtained a loan from the bank, is established by Ex. M-16. Rs. 1,000/- was remitted on 15-11-1984 is proved by Ex. M-17. The Manager sent a letter to the petitioner in I. D. No. 44/87 to submit his explanation as to why action should not be taken against him within 24 hours from the date of receipt of the notice. He submitted his explanation is supported by Ex. M-19 and M-20. The petitioner in I. D. No. 44/87 did not immediately report the missing of the bag to the bank, is made out by Ex. M-21. The petitioner in I. D. No. 44/87 remitted the collection of Rs. 455/- to the bank is established by Ex. M-22. The Manager sent a confidential letter to the Regional Manager, Southern Region, is supported by Ex. M-23. The Bank issued Show Cause notice to the petitioner in I. D. No. 44/87, is proved by Ex. M-24. He submitted his explanation is proved by Ex. M-25. The Bank published the notice in Tamil News Paper is proved by Ex. M-26. The petitioners in both the I. Ds. demanded coupon for Rs. 500/- and 1,000/- and signature of the Manager in the coupon. The Bank refused to concede to the demands of the Commission Agents. Ravikumar was also a Commission Agent and he committed several malpractices and action was taken against him. The Commission Agents and two staff of the

bank demonstrated in front of the bank and shouting vulgar slogans against the Deputy General Manager is proved by the Evidence of MWs 1 and 2. Admittedly, there is no enmity between the Commission Agents and MWs 1 and 2. There is no reason to brush aside the evidence of MWs 1 and 2. The petitioner in the two I. Ds. and Ravikumar, used vulgar words is proved by the legal evidence of MWs 1 and 2. The petitioner in both the I. Ds. were given warning, and put on notice about their misconduct. The petitioners in both the I. Ds. and Ravikumar tarnished the name of the bank. The petitioner in both the I. Ds. were given opportunities and warnings to rectify their mistakes. The termination of the petitioner in both the I. Ds. is legal. Even no enquiry was conducted, it will not vitiate the order of dismissal, is held in 1973 1 LLJ P 278 S. C. Workmen of Firestone Tyre Rubber Co. Vs. Management. Even no enquiry was conducted, and the enquiry conducted is defective, an opportunity must be given to the employer to prove the charges and opportunity must be given to the employee to adduce evidence contra, is held in the above cited case. In these two I. Ds. opportunity was given to the petitioner and respondent to adduce evidence, to prove the charge in this Tribunal. The charge is proved by the evidence of MWs 1 and 2. There is no evidence contra. The petitioners in both the I.Ds. if at all entitled to any relief they are entitled for compensation for retrenchment, is held in 1979 1-LLJ P-1 SC Avion Services (Production Agencies Pvt. Ltd.), Vs. Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, and Others. When the scheme is abolished, and there is no post, no question of reinstatement with back wages arises. The petitioners in both I. Ds. are not entitled to an alternative job such as Cashier, or Sub-Staff, in the bank. The dismissal of the petitioner in both the I.Ds. is legal just, bonafide, and proper. The punishment awarded to the petitioners in both the I.Ds. is not disproportionate or excessive having regard to the gravity of the offence. For the foregoing reasons, this Tribunal comes to the conclusion that the action of the Management of United Bank of India, Madras in terminating the services of Shri Koshy Kattikaran in I.D. 26/87 and Liaquat Ali in I.D. 44/87, Tiny Deposit Collectors, United Bank of India, Opanakkara Street, Coimbatore is legal and they are not entitled to any relief. The first part of the issue is found of the affirmative and second part of the issue accordingly.

In the result award is passed rejecting the claims of the petitioners. No costs.

Dated this the 28th day of April, 1995.

THIRU K. PONNUSAMY
Industrial Tribunal

WITNESSES EXAMINED

Before Remand
for both sides : None
After Remand :
In I. D. No. 26/87
For Workman : None.
For Management : MW1 : Thiru V. Radhakrishnan.
MW2 : Thiru E. Pratapchandran.
In I.D. 44/87
For both sides : None.

DOCUMENTS MARKED

Before Remand : in I.D. 26/87
For Workman
Ex. W-1/28-11-79 : Letter of appointment to Thiru Koshy Kettikaran engaged as Bank's Commission Agent under Tiny Savings Scheme (Xerox copy).
W-2/12-11-81 : Notice of the Advocate Thiru S. Manoharan withdrawing of the sureties by Thiru George Kettikaram (Xerox copy).
W-3/11-10-84 : Letter from Management-Bank, Coimbatore demanding fresh surety bound by the sureties (Xerox copy).
W-4/12-10-84 : Notice to Public in 'Malai Marasu' informing suspension of Petitioner-workman (Xerox copy).

W-5|17-10-84 : Letter by Pvl. K. Ravindran & C.G. Radhakrishnan willing to execute fresh surety bond (Xerox copy).

W-6|20-12-84 : Letter of the Management-Bank, Coimbatore terminating the services of the workmen (Xerox copy).

W-7|1-1-85 : Notice to Public in 'Malai Murasu' informing termination of services of workmen (Xerox copy).

W-8|14-3-86 : Conciliation Failure Report (Xerox copy).

W-9| : Tiny Savings Account Opening Form of the Bank (Xerox copy).

W-10| : Form of Statement of Collection of Deposit (Xerox copy).

W-11| : Rules of Business relating to Tiny Savings Scheme (Xerox copy).

W-12| : Rules relating to collection of deposits, contained in the Passbook of the depositors (Xerox copy).

W-13| : Tamil Pamphlet reference to 'Commission Agent' (Xerox copy).

For Management :

Ex. M. 1|28-11-79 : Letter of appointment to Thiru Koshy Kettikaram engaging as Bank's Commission Agent under Tiny Savings Scheme (Xerox copy).

Ex. M-2|11-9-84 : Report from the Manager, United Bank of India, Coimbatore Branch to Regional Office (Xerox copy).

Ex. M-3|30-4-85 : Confidential report from the Manager, United Bank of India, Coimbatore branch to Regional Office (Xerox copy).

Ex. M-4|20-12-84 : Letter of the Management-Bank, Coimbatore terminating the services of the workman (Xerox copy).

Ex. M-5|24-1-87 : Copy of Award of Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Jaipur (Xerox copy).

Ex. M-6|24-4-85 : Copy of Award of Central Govt. Industrial Tribunal, Jabulpur (Xerox copy).

M-7|26-2-86 : Copy of the notification of the Award of the Central Govt. Industrial Tribunal, Kanpur relating to termination of a Deposit Collector (Xerox copy).

Ex. M-8|18-1-85 : Copy of the Award of the Industrial Tribunal, Madras in I.D. 20/83 (Xerox copy).

Ex. M-9|18-1-85 : Copy of Award of the Industrial Tribunal, Madras in C. No. 1/82 (Xerox copy).

In I.D. 44/87

For workman :

Ex. W-1|7-7-80 : Order of appointment issued to Thiru Liakath Ali (Peti. workman) (Xerox copy).

Ex. W-2|3-9-84 : Letter from Petr. workman to B-3 Police Station.

Ex. W-3|4-9-84 : Acknowledgement of the letter W-2 by B-3 Police Station Kattoor (Xerox copy).

Ex. W-4|4-9-84 : Letter from Petr. workman to the Manager, United Bank of India, Coimbatore intimating loss of bag and complaint (Xerox copy).

Ex. W-5|4-9-84 : Letter of the Management-Bank suspending the Petr. workman from service (Xerox copy).

Ex. W-6|11-9-84 : Receipt for having deposited the value of unsold coupon (Xerox copy).

Ex. W-7|14-9-84 : Letter by Petitioner-workman to B-3 Kattoor Police Station acknowledging receipt of documents (Xerox copy).

Ex. W-8|18-9-84 : Letter by Petr. workman to the Management Bank intimating recovery of the bag etc. (Xerox copy).

Ex. W-9|11-10-84 : Order of termination (Xerox copy).

Ex. W-10 : News Paper cuttings dt 6-9-84 and 11-10-84. (Xerox copy).

Ex. M-11|14-3-86 : Conciliation Failure Report (Xerox copy).

Ex. W-12| : Account Opening Form—Specimen.

Ex. D-13| : Statement of Collection—Specimen.

Ex. W-14| : Specimen of Tiny Savings Scheme.

Ex. W-15| : Extract of Rules given in Pass Book (Xerox copy).

Ex. W-16| : Extract of Tamil Rule of Tiny Savings Scheme (Xerox copy).

For Management :

Ex. M. 1|6-9-84 : Reply given by the Petitioner workman to the Bank's letter dt. 4-9-84 (Xerox copy).

Ex. M. 1|6-9-84 : Reply given by the Petitioner workman to the Bank confirming that the bag was recovered (Xerox copy).

Ex. M-3|20-1-87 : Xerox copy of the award of the Central Govt. Industrial Tribunal Jaipur in case of a Tiny Deposit Collector.

Ex. M 4|24-4-85 : Xerox copy of the award of the Central Govt. Industrial Tribunal, Jaipur, relating to the termination of an Adarsh Agent.

Ex. M-5|26-2-86 : Xerox copy of the Notification of the Award of the Central Govt. Industrial Tribunal Kanpur, relating to the termination of a Deposit Collector.

Ex. M-6|18-1-85 : Xerox copy of the award of the Industrial Tribunal, Madras in I.D. 20/83 relating to the termination of Pigmy Deposit Collector.

Ex. M-7|18-1-85 : Xerox copy of the award of the Industrial Tribunal, Madras in Complaint No. 1|82 filed by V. Balan, Tiny Deposit Collector, of Indian Bank.

Ex. M-8 : Circular issued to the Account holder of Tiny Deposit Scheme (Xerox copy).

After Remand :

In I.D. 26/87

For Workman :

Ex. W-14|15-10-84 : Explanation by Thiru Koshy Kottikaran to the Mgt. Bank (Xerox copy).

Ex. W-15|21-9-84 : Explanation by Thiru J. Liakat Ali to the Management-Bank (Xerox copy).

Ex. W-16|3-9-84 : Complaint of Thiru J. Liakat Ali addressed to B-3 Police Station, Kattoor (Xerox copy).

Ex. W-17|4-9-84 : Xerox copy of General Diary of the Police Department Kattoor.

Ex. W-18|6-9-84 : Letter from Thiru J. Liakat Ali to the Management-Bank (Xerox copy).

For Management :

Ex. M. 10|23-8-86 : Review of Tiny Savings Scheme (Xerox copy).

Ex. M-11|16-8-84 : Translation of the Circular of Coimbatore Dist. Bank's Deposit Collector's Union (Xerox copy).

Ex. M-12 : Tiny Savings Scheme (Xerox copy).

Ex. M-13| : Improved nad modified Tiny Savings Scheme (Xerox copy).

Ex. M-14|23-11-84 : Letter from Manager, United Bank of India, Coimbatore branch to the Regional Manager of the Mgt. Bank (Xerox copy).

Ex. M-15| : Complaint of Ali (Xerox copy).

Ex. M-16| : Xerox copy of Loan Ledger.

Ex. M-17|15-11-84 : Pay-in-slip (Xerox copy).

Ex. M-18|19-1-84 : Letter from Management-Bank to Thiru Liakat Ali (Xerox copy).

Ex. M-19|4-9-84 : Letter from Thiru Liakat Ali to the Management-Bank (Xerox copy).

- Ex. M-20/23-1-84 : Letter from Thiru Liakat Ali to the Mgt. Bank (Xerox copy).
- Ex. M-21/28-9-84 : Confidential letter of Management-Bank (Xerox copy).
- Ex. M-22/18-9-84 : Letter from Thiru Liakat Ali to the Management-Bank, Coimbatore Branch (Xerox copy).
- Ex. M-23/8-8-84 : Confidential letter of Management-Bank (Xerox copy).
- Ex. M-24/14-9-84 : Letter from Management-Bank, Coimbatore branch to Thiru Liakat Ali (Xerox copy).
- Ex. M-25/6-9-84 : Explanation by Thiru Liakat Ali (Xerox copy).
- Ex. M-26/29-12-84 : Notice issued to Thiru V. Koshy Ketukaran ((Newspaper cutting) (Xerox copy).

I.D. 44/87

For both sides : Nil.

नई दिल्ली, 14 जून, 1995

का. आ. 1902.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार स्टेट बैंक आफ इण्डिया के प्रबन्धतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निदिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में औद्योगिक अधिकरण, मद्रास के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 13-6-95 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[संख्या एल-12012/197/90-आईआर बी-आई]

पी. जे. माईकल, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 14th June, 1995

S.O. 1902.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Central Government Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947)), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award of the Industrial Tribunal, Madras as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of State Bank of India and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 13th June, 1995

[No. L-12012/197/90-IRD-I]

P. J. MICHAEL, Desk Officer
ANNEXUREBEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,
TAMIL NADU

MADRAS

Friday, the 21st day of April, 1995

PRESENT :

THIRU K. PONNUSAMY, M.A.B.L.,
INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL

Industrial Dispute No. 99/1990

(In the matter of the dispute for adjudication under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 between the Workmen and the Management of State Bank of India, Madras).

1510 GI/95—16

BETWEEN :

Shri V. Venkaaraman,
S/o, Sh. Pettu Iyer,
No. 249, 4th Cross Road,
Nehru Nagar, Thalaitheru Post, 609605,
Pondicherry State.

AND

The Regional Manager, Region No. III,
State Bank of India,
No. 43, Moore Street,
Madras-600001.

REFERENCE :

Order No. L-12012/197/90-IR B-III, dated
4-12-90, Ministry of Labour, Govt. of India,
New Delhi.

This dispute coming on for final hearing on Friday, the 7th day of April, 1995 upon perusing the reference, claim and counter statements and all other material papers on record and upon hearing the arguments of Tvl. R. Vaigai, and S. Vaidyanathan, for Tvl. Row & Reddy, Advocates appearing for the workmen and of Tvl. T.S. Gopalan, S. Ravindran and N. C. Srinivasa Varadhan, Advocates appearing for the Management, and this dispute having stood over till this day for consideration, this Tribunal made the following :

AWARD

This reference has been made for adjudication of the following issue :—

“Whether the action of the Management of State Bank of India, in dismissing Shri P. Venkataraman, Senior Clerk, in the Karaikkal branch, w.e.f. 19-5-83, is justified? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled to?”

2. The case of the petitioner briefly stated is as follows:

In the year 1975, the petitioner was employed as Clerk in the Respondent Bank in their Baramati branch coming under the Bombay region of the Bank. He was subsequently transferred to the Karaikkal Main branch, at the Respondent's bank during 1977. At the time of his dismissal the petitioner was employed as a Senior Clerk in the Agricultural Development Branch of the respondent bank at Karaikkal. The incident culminated in the framing of the charge sheet, enquiry and dismissal of the petitioner by the respondent of the workmen, under the name of State Bank of India workmen's Staff Union in the respondent-bank. There are two other unions, one for the officers, styled as State Bank of India Officers' Association (Madras Circle) and another for a section of the workmen, under the name of State Bank of India Staff Union (Madras Circle). There were inter Union rivalries between these Unions. The State Bank of India Staff Union of the Madras

Circle was blessed by the Officers Union in which the Acting Branch Manager was a member. At the time of the incident, on 21-1-1983, the petitioner was working in the main branch of the respondent bank at Karaikkal. On that day i.e. 21-1-1983, the petitioner for some unforeseen reasons, came to the office late by 10 minutes. Being the Senior Clerk, he was regularly given, when occasions arose acting chance on a higher grade. On 21-1-1983, there was one such occasion for acting in the higher grade. But, since the petitioner was late by 10 minutes, arrangements were about to be completed by allotting acting chance to one Vijayarangam who was immediately Junior to the petitioner. But as the posting was still not finalised, he requested that he be posted in that seat. Thereupon, necessary alterations were made in the Acting Chance Entry Book wherein the petitioner's name was written for the acting chance for the day. The Branch Manager was sore that the petitioner demanded this right to the acting chance although the petitioner was late to office. The Branch Manager made derogatory remarks against the petitioner to which the petitioner resented. The Branch Manager was furious at the petitioner's resentment and actually manhandled the petitioner who immediately told the Branch Manager that he was applying for leave for the day to enable the Branch Manager to have his own way in the allotment of acting chance. The petitioner then rushed to a Doctor for treatment for injuries sustained as a result of assault on him by the Branch Manager. He immediately sent a telegram to the Regional Manager, State Bank of India, Madras and also made a complaint to the Regional Manager, State Bank of India, Madras-III over phone that he was manhandled by the Branch Manager. The letter to the effect was also sent to the Regional Manager, Madras, the same day.

3. On his complaint, Mr. T. R. Ramasamy, Manager of the Agricultural Development Branch, State Bank of India, Karaikkal, on the directions of the Regional Manager, Madras came to the Main Office, in the same evening (21-1-1983) and conducted preliminary enquiry in which he and several other employees of the bank gave evidence about the incident. He was at no time given copies of the complaint made by the Branch Manager and the report of the Investigating Authority, although he had been repeatedly asking for the copies of the same. On coming to know that he had complained to the higher authorities of the bank, the Acting Branch Manager actively instigated a demonstration by other officers of the branch and also the State Bank of India SUMC and put up a story that he assaulted the Acting Branch Manager on 21-1-1983. He after availing a day's leave on 21-1-1983, returned to work on 22-1-1983 and continued to attend the office from 22-1-1983 to 19-5-1983. He was however, transferred from

the Main branch of the State Bank of India, Karaikkal, to the Agricultural Development branch of the Bank at Karaikkal on 8-2-83 on the alleged ground of business exigencies. On 3-2-83, he was charge sheeted by the Regional Manager of the Respondent Bank. Charges 1 to 4 contained in the charge sheet were levelled against the petitioner. This charge sheet came to be issued to him only after the Officer's Association staged a demonstration on 31-1-1983 and the allegation of the Officer's Association that the petitioner assaulted the Branch Manager on 21-1-1983 and that the Management of the bank had been indifferent in the matter. The charge-sheet was therefore issued at the behest of the Officers' Association. He issued a lawyer's notice to the respondent's head office on 23-3-1983 and also filed a criminal complaint in the Court of Judicial First Class Magistrate, Karaikkal on 23-3-1983. The petitioner could not proceed further on the Criminal complaint because of his continued absence from the Town due to his pre-occupation with the Writ Petition filed in the High Court, Madras on 9-9-1983.—W.P. No. 8583, of 1983 disposed of on 27-1-1987 against his dismissal by the Respondent-bank. When the charge sheet was served on him, he asked the respondent-bank to furnish the copies of the complaint of the Acting Branch Manager, and a copy of the preliminary report of Shri T. R. Ramasami, First Information Report of the respondent. These were not supplied to him. There was not even a reply to the request. The petitioner's request to the bank to grant him permission to defend his case with the help of a lawyer, was never even answered. The respondent bank proceeded with the enquiry on various dates 14-3-1983, to 16-3-1983, although the petitioner told the Enquiry Officer that in the absence of the copies of these reports and other relevant documents which he had requested to be furnished to him and without the assistance of a lawyer, he would not be able to effectively participate at the enquiry and vindicate that he was not guilty on the charges levelled against him. The respondent-bank proceeded with the enquiry ex-parte and by its order No. Dos/Con/196 dt. 26-4-1983 dismissed the Petitioner from Bank's service w.e.f. 19-5-1983. The petitioner thereupon sent an appeal to the Chief Regional Manager, Madras on 22-6-1983, against the dismissal. The Appellate Authority confirmed the order of dismissal by letter No. Dis/Con/444 dated 24-8-1983. He moved the Madras High Court, by filing a Writ and the High Court was pleased to order, an adhoc payment of Rs. 20,000 and to re-open the enquiry. The re-opened enquiry was conducted by the respondent-bank. Even at that enquiry, despite the request of the petitioner, the respondent bank did not provide him with the copies of the First Information Report, Fact Finding Officer's Report, Complaint letter, and other material documents, to put his defence. The Disciplinary proceedings are defective, contrary to law, and principles of natural justice, and the

findings of the respondent bank are perverse. The action of the Management in dismissing the petitioner from service is a clear case of victimisation of the petitioner was proceeded with on trumped up and baseless charges. The Petitioner submits that the following among many other instances would go to show the patent illegality committed in the enquiry proceedings. Two independent witnesses for the respondent-bank who were originally examined for the bank were not produced for enquiry, for cross-examination during the re-opened. They are the only independent witnesses enquiry at the first enquiry on behalf of the respondent bank. He was charge sheeted on 3-2-1983 long after the alleged incident of threat to assault the Branch Manager. The alleged incident is stated in the Magazine brought out by the Officers' Association as actual assault committed on the Acting Branch Manager. He continued to work for nearly a fortnight under the same Branch Manager even after the serious charges were levelled against the petitioner of wielding chappel to assault the Branch Manager. He was not suspended even for a day. The Counsel for the respondent bank, in reply to the legal notice dated 23-3-83 served on the respondent stated that the transfer of the petitioner from the Main Branch at Karaikkal to the Agricultural Development Bank at Karaikkal was not at all a punishment but it was only due to exigencies of business and a routine transfer. The incident of oral protest over the Acting Branch Manager's attitude in the allocation of work for 21-1-1983 was never considered by the Respondent Bank as a misconduct to merit any punishment whatsoever until the Officers' Association magnified it as an assault on the Acting Branch Manager and it demonstrated before the bank premises on 31-1-1983. This is a case of victimisation and the victim, the petitioner herein, is portrayed as the villain of the place. His protest against the derogatory remarks made by the Branch Manager, infuriated the persons in the rival Union and Officers' Association to magnify matters to secure the petitioner's dismissal from service.

4. The defence of the respondent briefly stated is as follows :

Whenever a supervisory staff is absent, the senior most among the clerks is given an acting chance for which he is paid a special allowance. Immediately after the commencement of the working hour, the acting chance used to be given to the seniormost clerk and if the senior-most clerk is not available, then the acting chance is given to the next senior clerk. In January, 1983, the petitioner was working as a Clerk in the Karaikkal Main branch. On 21-1-1983 the petitioner reported later for duty and therefore the acting chance was given that day to the next senior employee. The petitioner got agitated for his not having been given the acting chance on that day, went to the Branch Manager's room and should at the acting Branch Manager, R. Janakiraman,

addressing him in first person singular "who has given you the post of Branch Manager?" "All sundry people are posted as Branch Manager." "Why did you not give me the acting chance. I want your explanation." The acting Branch Manager explained that since he came later and as the work had to commence, as per the existing practice he gave the acting chance to the next senior employee. The petitioner told him (Acting Branch Manager) that he wanted an explanation in writing and that he would not accept an oral explanation. The acting Branch Manager then told the petitioner that it was not necessary to give any written explanation and if the petitioner continued to shout, then he would have to issue a memo. The petitioner retorted saying that "Oh! You had the courage to issue me a memo. I will break your teeth." Then the acting branch manager proceeded to Branch Manager's room and the petitioner followed him with a leave letter that as a protest he was going on leave. The acting Branch Manager asked the petitioner to place leave application on the table. After getting a memo typed for issuing to the petitioner, the acting Branch Manager returned to the Branch Manager's room when he found that the leave letter given earlier by the petitioner was missing. The acting Branch Manager asked the other Officer, Srinivasaraghavan, about the leave letter to which the latter replied that during the absence of the acting Branch Manager, petitioner had taken away the leave letter. When the Acting Branch Manager sought to serve the memo on the petitioner, he once again shouted at the Branch Manager "You have guts to issue the memo, I will beat you in the Office itself and will break your teeth." So, saying, the petitioner tried to destroy the memo which was held by the acting Branch Manager in his hand, by lighting a match stick. But, before the memo could catch fire, the match stick got burnt out. Then the petitioner forcibly snatched the memo from the acting branch Manager and tore it. The petitioner also took his chappal in his hand and raised the same with a view to beat the acting Branch Manager saying "who has posted you as Branch Manager? I will beat you here itself." Then the staff members in the branch intervened and led the petitioner away from the scene. On 3-2-1983, the petitioner was served with a charge sheet setting out the allegations against him and calling upon him to submit his explanation. He was also asked to appear for a domestic enquiry to answer the charges against him. By a letter dated 10-3-83, the petitioner sought permission to bring a lawyer to defend him in the enquiry. As the Presenting Officer was not a legally qualified person, permission to engage a lawyer was declined. Protesting against the refusal to grant permission to engage a lawyer, the petitioner stayed away from the enquiry and the enquiry had to be conducted in his absence. The Enquiry Officer gave his findings holding that charge Nos. 1, 3 and 4 were proved and as far as charge No. 2 was concerned, it was

proved to the extent that the letter given to him by the Branch Manager was torn by the petitioner. On the basis of the findings of the Enquiry Officer, on 8-4-83, the Disciplinary Authority provisionally came to the conclusion that the petitioner should be awarded the punishment of dismissal and he was asked to appear for a personal hearing. On 26-4-1983, the Disciplinary Authority heard the petitioner and after considering his representations, passed orders dismissing the petitioner from service. Against the order of dismissal on 22-6-83, the petitioner preferred an appeal to the Appellate Authority. The Appellate Authority heard the petitioner on 24-8-83. The Appellate Authority dismissed the appeal and confirmed the order of dismissal. On 2-3-87, a letter was addressed to the petitioner informing him that the enquiry will be conducted by Sri A. Anangaraj, Branch Manager, State Bank of India, Kumbakonam, that the petitioner would be given an opportunity to cross-examine the witnesses who had already been examined in support of the charges, that he would be permitted to present his witnesses and documents and that he should furnish to the Enquiry Officer particulars of the witnesses when he proposes to examine in the enquiry. As far as Haja Mohideen was concerned, he was not in India, and therefore, he could not be produced. Regarding Palani, he did not turn up for enquiry. By a second show cause notice dated 17-3-1988, a punishment of dismissal was proposed and the petitioner was asked to appear for a personal hearing on 11-6-1988 to show cause against the proposed punishment. On 11-4-88, petitioner made his written submissions. After considering his representation, the Disciplinary Authority passed orders on 27-6-88 confirming the punishment of dismissal. Against the order of dismissal, on 16-8-1988, the petitioner preferred an appeal to the Appellate Authority and the appeal was disposed of on 18-10-88 dismissing the same. The dismissal of the petitioner is for acts of certain serious misconduct proved in an enquiry held for the purpose and the said dismissal is not liable to be interfered with for all or any of the reasons urged in the claim statement. On 21-1-1983, by the time the petitioner reported for duty, the acting chance had already been given to the next senior clerk Mr. V Jayaraman. It is not correct to say that the posting was not finalised or any alteration was made to give the acting chance to the petitioner. The petitioner was not assaulted by the Branch Manager. As the acting Branch Manager made a complaint about the incident to the Regional Manager, a preliminary enquiry was made by Mr. T. R. Ramaswamy on the direction of the Regional Manager, on 21-1-83, the petitioner did misbehave towards the acting Branch Manager. The indecent behaviour of the petitioner towards an official and superior of the branch was resented by the Officers as well as the award staff. The incident on 21-1-83 was duly reported to the Regional Manager and it seek some

time for the office of the Regional Manager to prepare the charge sheet. Certainly the issue of charge sheet was not pursuant to the alleged allegation of the Officers Association. The criminal complaint filed by the petitioner was dismissed which would go to show that the complaint against the Branch Manager, was not true. The Enquiry Proceedings and the findings of the Enquiry Officer are not vitiated. The disciplinary action against the petitioner was initiated not by way of victimisation for Trade Union activities. The evidence of Haja Mohideen and Palani would not be of such consequence, as these two witnesses had only corroborated what the other witness had deposed. The fact that the petitioner was not kept under suspicion would not absolve him of the charges. The petitioner was punished and the same is just and proper. It is a fact that there was an altercation between the petitioner and the acting Branch Manager on 21-1-83. It was not incumbent to furnish copy of the report which was the basis for the issue of the charge sheet. The petitioner was not in any way prejudiced. In any event, whatever materials are placed before the Enquiry Officer were furnished to the petition or and it was after due action, he was asked to cross-examine the witnesses. The Disciplinary Authority who considered the matter after the disposal W.P. No. 8583/83 duly applied his mind and came to the conclusion that the petitioner deserved the punishment of dismissal for the charges proved against him. The misconduct omitted by the petitioner was per se serious and therefore the same merited the punishment of dismissal. Consideration of past record of service is in material. The order of dismissal cannot be vitiated on the ground that it was given retrospective effect. What ever be the date on which the Enquiry Officer made his report, it was admittedly received by the Bank on 16-2-1988. The omission to mention the date in the enquiry report would not invalidate the report. The Disciplinary Authority considered the report of the Enquiry Officer and rightly came to the conclusion that the charges were established and the punishment of dismissal was called for. The findings of the Enquiry Officer are supported by adequate evidence and the reasoning of the Enquiry Officer is sound. The Enquiry Officer has considered all the relevant materials in coming to the conclusion that the charges against the petitioner were proved. The said finding of the Enquiry Officer is not liable to be disturbed. The conduct of the petitioner which led to his dismissal was highly responsible and it called for a deterrent punishment. The punishment of dismissal cannot be said to be excessive or harsh. The High Court merely directed to conduct the enquiry and there was no direction that the petitioner should be restored to duty before continuing the enquiry.

5. The issue for consideration is : "Whether the action of the Management of State Bank of India,

in dismissing Shri P. Venkataraman, Senior Clerk, in the Karaikkal branch, w.e.f. 19-5-83 is justified? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled to?"

6. The Issue : Admittedly, the petitioner was employed as a Clerk in the respondent Bank Baramati branch, Bombay region and he was transferred to Karaikkal Main Branch in the year 1977. The petitioner was terminated from service w.e.f. 19-5-83. Admittedly, the petitioner came to the bank 10 minutes late on 21-1-83. The incident is said to have taken place on 21-1-83. The reason for dismissal of the petitioner from service is assault on the Branch Manager by the petitioner. Assault amounts to grave misconduct. The petitioner was appointed as a Clerk at Baramati Branch is evidenced by Ex. W-1. He was confirmed as a clerk is established by Ex. W-2. Charge memo was issued to the petitioner calling for his explanation as to why disciplinary action should not be initiated against him. Domestic enquiry was ordered and conducted on 15-5-80 at 11.30 a.m. was sent to the petitioner, is borne out by Ex. W-3. The petitioner wanted transfer from Baramati to Karaikkal branch, is disclosed by Ex. W-10. The petitioner mobilised deposits is evidenced by Exs. W-4 to W-9. He was charge sheeted, is evident from Ex. W-11. He was directed to submit his explanation within 3 days as to why disciplinary action should not be taken against him, is revealed by Ex. W-11. Usually 7 days time is given to submit explanation but in this case, 3 days time was granted to the petitioner to submit his explanation, does not turn much on the case. 15 days further time was granted to the petitioner to submit his explanation is made out by Ex. W-12. The petitioner was transferred from the Main Branch to Agricultural Development Branch, and he was relieved on 7-2-83 and he should join in the Agricultural Development Branch on 8-2-83, is evidenced by Ex. W-13. The petitioner was granted 15 days time to submit his explanation is disclosed by Ex. W-14. The petitioner wanted copies of letter to the Branch Manager, the award of Desai and Sastry to submit his detailed explanation, is evident from Ex. W-15. Santhanakrishnan was appointed as Enquiry Officer, is revealed by Ex. W-16. Enquiry was to be held on 1-3-83 at 10.30 a.m. and notice for that was sent to the petitioner, is disclosed by Ex. W-17. The Enquiry was adjourned to 10-3-83 to be held at 10.00 a.m. is made out by Ex. W-18. Copy of the award was furnished to the petitioner is proved by Ex. W-19. Petitioner sought the permission of the respondent to engage a lawyer to see p.t.c. letters is supported by Ex. W-20. Petitioner wanted particulars of witnesses, their designation, their statement and sought permission of the management to engage a lawyer. He asked for an adjournment of the enquiry to some other date, is substantiated by Ex. W-21.

7. The petitioner was directed to attend the enquiry and notice was sent to the petitioner, is proved by Ex. W-23. Petitioner sought the permission of the management to engage a lawyer and on that ground he sought adjournment of enquiry to some other date is disclosed by Ex. W-24. His request to engage a lawyer was rejected by the management. He was directed to attend the enquiry to be conducted on 11-3-83 at 10.30 a.m. and notice was sent to the petitioner is substantiated by Ex. W-25. Palani was proposed to be examined on the side of the respondent on 11-3-83, is evidenced by Ex. W-26. The enquiry was adjourned to 11-3-83, giving last chance to the petitioner to participate in the enquiry is disclosed by Ex. W-27. He was relieved on 14-3-83 to attend the enquiry is disclosed by Ex. W-28. He was not in a position to attend the enquiry without a lawyer and will initiate criminal proceedings against the officer is evident from Ex. W-29. Enquiry proceedings, is proved by Ex. W-30. Two photostat copies of torn letter were furnished to the petitioner, is proved by Ex. W-31. The respondent informed the petitioner in writing that the enquiry was in progress and documents and witnesses would be produced at the time of the enquiry, is evidenced by Ex. W-32. The petitioner was permitted to produce his documents and witness on 18-3-83, is supported by Ex. W-33. The petitioner through his advocate sent a notice to the Regional Manager, Disciplinary Authority, Region III, State Bank of India Madras to the Karaikkal branch manager by name Janakiraman manhandled the petitioner that he filed a private complaint against the Branch Manager in the Court of the I Class Magistrate of Karaikkal and requested the Enquiry Officer to stay all proceedings till the disposal of the Criminal Complaint is established by Ex. W-34 and W-35. Trunk call charges of Rs. 174/- was debited in the account of the petitioner is substantiated by Ex. W-36. Respondent sent reply to the Advocate of the petitioner is proved by Ex. W-37. Charge Nos. 1, 3, 4 and part of 2 were proved, that the petitioner was given personal hearing on 27-4-83 at 10.30 a.m. and he was dismissed from service without notice and his previous records were taken into consideration for passing the order for termination of his services, with copy of the proceedings and findings of the Enquiry Officer were furnished to the petitioner, is established by Ex. W-38. The petitioner was given personal hearing on 26-4-83 at 9.30 a.m. at the Regional Office Madras by the Regional Manager, Region III, who is Disciplinary Authority, is proved by Ex. W-40. Branch Manager sent a communication to the petitioner that the date for personal hearing was fixed on 26-4-83, is discussed by Ex. W-41. Petitioner was relieved on 23-4-83 at the close of office hours to attend the personal hearing, is evident from Ex. W-42. Branch Manager is awarded the letter received from the Regional Manager to the petitioner,

is proved by Ex. W-43. The petitioner applied for casual leave for 3 days from 21-4-83 to 23-4-83 is supported by Ex. W-44. Dismissal of the petitioner from service is just and proper w.e.f. date of receipt of the letter of original of Ex. W-43 dated 26-4-83. The petitioner preferred an appeal to the Appellate Authority, is proved by Ex. W-46. Petitioner was given personal hearing on 24-8-83 at 10.00 a.m. by the Appellate Authority is supported by Ex. W-47. The petitioner gave statement of the Appellate Authority is proved by Ex. W-48 travelling allowance of Rs. 116.20 was paid to the petitioner by way of cheque to attend the personal hearing before the Appellate at Madras, is established by Ex. W-49. Appellate Authority after careful scrutiny of the evidence, consideration of documents, explanation submitted by the petitioner and materials placed before the Enquiry Officer and after applying his mind, dismissed the appeal is proved by Ex. W-50 and the punishment was confirmed.

8. The petitioner filed a Writ Petition No. 8583/83 in the Hon'ble High Court of Madras against the order of dismissal is disclosed by Ex. W-51. Writ petition was filed to quash enquiry proceedings. The respondent filed counter in the writ petition is supported by Ex. W-52. As per the directions of the Hon'ble High Court, the respondent was directed to pay Rs. 20,000 to the petitioner on or before 10-1-87 without prejudice to both parties in I.D. The writ petition was dismissed. The petitioner preferred Writ Appeal No. 1387/86 in the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Madras, is evident from Ex. W-54. Writ Appeal was dismissed, is established by Ex. W-55. The petitioner had not filed the written statement to the charge sheet as directed by the Hon'ble High Court and the management asked the petitioner to accept the bank cheque for Rs. 20,000 is proved by Ex. W-56. Respondent was directed by the Hon'ble High Court to appoint anyone of the bank official as Enquiry Officer, is evidenced by Ex. W-57. Petitioner accepted cheque for Rs. 20,000. The petitioner filed his written statement of the original of Ex. W-58 to the charge sheet. Mr. A. Thangaraj, Branch Manager, Kumbakonam was appointed as Enquiry Officer is proved by Ex. W-59. The petitioner sent a letter is revealed by Ex. W-60, enquiry was fixed at 10.00 a.m. on 16-3-87, is disclosed by Ex. W-61. Petitioner sent a letter to R.M. is established by Ex. W-62. Enquiry was conducted continuously from 16-3-87 is supported by Ex. W-63. The petitioner requested the Enquiry Officer to postpone the enquiry to 23-3-87 is evident from Ex. W-64. Enquiry was adjourned to 23-3-87 as per the request of the petitioner, is evidenced by Ex. W-65 and W-66. The petitioner demanded full wages and other benefits from the date of dismissal and his reinstatement in service is disclosed by Ex. W-67. Telegram was sent to the petitioner to attend the enquiry is proved by Ex.

W-68. The petitioner was directed to attend the enquiry, is established by Ex. W-69. The petitioner sent a letter to R.M. is evidenced by Ex. W-70. The petitioner requested the Disciplinary Authority, Regional Manager, Region IV to reinstate him in service, is made out by Ex. W-71.

9. The petitioner addressed to the Disciplinary Authority and he requested the Disciplinary Authority to permit him to engage a lawyer to defend his case that he is entitled to engage a lawyer as per the provisions of the Sastry Award, r/w Desai Award that this is the practice and no reply was received from the Disciplinary Authority is evident from Ex. W-72. The petitioner wanted a copy of First Information Report about the incident, complaint by the Branch Manager about the incident, finding of the Enquiry Officer and any other documents which were used against him in the enquiry, is disclosed by Ex. W-73. The petitioner sent a letter by registered post to R.M. is evidenced by Ex. W-74 and W-75. Copy was forwarded to the petitioner. The Enquiry Officer asked the petitioner to forward a copy of the defence statement within 15 days, is evidenced by Ex. W-76. Petitioner submitted his statement of the original of Ex. W-77. The petitioner through his Advocate sent a notice to the Regional Manager, State Bank of India, Region IV Madurai that the Hon'ble High Court on hearing the arguments in Writ petition expressed the view that the principles of natural justice had been violated by the respondent and directed the respondent to conduct the enquiry, all over again affecting an opportunity to the petitioner to cross-examine the witnesses, examined on the side of the respondent, that the respondent has not complied with the directions of the Hon'ble Court copy of the findings of the Enquiry Officer was not furnished, that no intimation of final order was sent to the petitioner, and claimed his arrears of salary, is substantiated by Ex. W-78. The petitioner raised certain objections is evident from Ex. W-79(A). Enquiry Officer Thangaraj conducted the enquiry from 23-3-87 to 28-3-87. The Enquiry Officer after careful analysis of the evidence and consideration of the documents, found the petitioner guilty of all the charges levelled against him, is borne out by Ex. W-79. The petitioner wanted copy of the enquiry proceedings and findings of the Enquiry Officer. The copy of the enquiry proceedings and findings of the Enquiry Officer was sent to the petitioner by registered post is made out by Ex. W-80.

10. The Regional Manager asked the petitioner to appear before the Regional Manager on 11-4-88 at 12.00 noon for personal hearing, is disclosed by Ex. W-80. The Appellate Authority confirmed the punishment imposed by the Disciplinary Authority is established by Ex. W-80. The petitioner wrote a letter to the Disciplinary Authority

to pay T. A. and D. A. Rs. 100 to attend the personal hearing, is disclosed by Ex. W-81. The petitioner submitted his written submission for the personal hearing before the Disciplinary Authority, is revealed by Ex. W-82. The Disciplinary Authority confirmed the punishment of dismissal w.c.f. 19-5-83, is established by Ex. W-83. The petitioner preferred an appeal to the Deputy General Manager, Regional Office, Madurai is disclosed by Ex. W-84. The petitioner was informed by the Appellate Authority that the personal hearing was fixed on 12-9-88 at 11.00 a.m. and he was permitted to be represented by a representative of the Union of Bank employees, is evidenced by Ex. W-85. Telegram was sent to the petitioner for personal hearing on 12-9-88 is made out by Ex. W-86. The petitioner requested the Appellate Authority to reinstate him in service is evident from Ex. W-87. The appeal was dismissed, is established by Ex. W-89. The petitioner presented a petition under Sec. 2-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, before the Labour Commissioner (Central) is disclosed by Ex. W-90. The Assistant Labour Commissioner (Central-D), Madras requested the respondent to offer the comments, is evident from Ex. W-91. The respondent filed a counter is supported by the Ex. W-92. Regional Office, sent a notice to the petitioner to refund Rs. 20,000 within a week, failing which legal action will be taken or recovered from his terminal benefits, is established by Ex. W-93. The petitioner sent reply to the Regional Labour Commissioner (Central) to direct the respondent not to ask for refund of Rs. 20,000 and it cannot recover when Conciliation proceedings are pending, is made out by Ex. W-94. The petitioner made written submission to Commissioner of Labour, is evidenced by Ex. W-95. The Conciliation failed is proved by Exs. W-96 and W-97. The Ministry of Labour (Central) received Conciliation failure report from the Asstt. Commissioner of Labour (Central). Madras is substantiated by Ex. W-98. The Govt. of India, Ministry of Labour referred the industrial dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication is supported by Ex. W-99. Notice was sent to the petitioner and respondent to appear on 7-1-1991 at 10.15 a.m. in this Tribunal is made out by Ex. W-100. The petitioner filed a Writ petition No. 138786 in the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature, Madras is made out by Ex. W-101. Enquiry proceedings is proved by Ex. W-102.

11. The incident took place on 21-1-1983 is not disputed by the petitioner. The petitioner is the Senior Clerk of Karaikkal branch. On the date of incident, the petitioner came to the bank by 10 minutes late. The usual practice is that acting chance is given to the seniormost, which carries special allowance. The acting chance given in writing to the petitioner by Acting Branch Manager was scored by the Acting Branch Manager since the petitioner came late to the bank

by 10 minutes and counter of the petitioner can not be kept vacant without posting a substitute and the acting chance was given to the junior to the petitioner. There was wordy altercation between the petitioner and the Branch Manager. Admittedly the petitioner shouted at the Branch Manager twice. The petitioner has no business to shout at the Branch Manager. The shouting of the petitioner at the Branch Manager amounts to misbehaviour to a superior. The Branch Manager issued a memo to the petitioner. The petitioner gave a leave application. The letter was torn by the petitioner and an attempt was made to burn the letter, with a mach sick, at the attempt was not successful. The petitioner assaulted the Branch Manager is proved by legal evidence. The non-examination of Palani and Haja Mohideen does not affect the case of the respondent since the assault of the Branch Manager by the petitioner is proved by the evidence of other witnesses. Haja Mohideen was not in India at that time. The petitioner preferred a private complaint against the Branch Manager that he manhandled the petitioner and it was dismissed by the I Class Magistrate, Karaikkal. The petitioner has not filed wound certificate to prove that the Branch Manager manhandled the petitioner and caused injury. The officers demonstrated to take action against the petitioner. The petitioner was a member of an unrecognised union and subsequently he ceased to be a member. The petitioner has not proved that he ceased to be a member of the Unrecognised Union. His request to engage a lawyer to defend his case was rightly rejected. The petitioner can utilise the services of the defence representative of a Union. The petitioner was not permitted to engage a lawyer does not violate the principles of natural justice. The copies of the documents relied upon by the respondent and produced before the Enquiry, are furnished to the petitioner to defend his case effectively. No prejudice is caused to the petitioner. He was given reasonable and sufficient opportunity to defend the case. He participated in the enquiry.

12. The Enquiry Officer is not biased. The charges levelled against the petitioner are proved by legal evidence. The findings of the Enquiry Officer are not perverse. The domestic enquiry was fair and proper. It is not vitiated by any infirmity or impropriety. The Disciplinary Authority and Appellate Authority have applied their mind and considered the evidence, documents and explanation submitted by the petitioner. The Enquiry was conducted properly and fairly, according to the provisions of law and procedure prescribed by the Act. The petitioner was not suspended pending enquiry. The principles of natural justice is duly complied with. The witnesses examined on the side of the respondent are not hostile to the petitioner. The petitioner broke the tooth of the Branch Manager. DW1 is ill-disposed towards the respondent since the respondent did not grant additional loan for his business. DW1 is not

an eye witness. The petitioner removed his chapal and attempted to beat Branch Manager and staff prevented him. There is no question of victimisation. The petitioner did good work by mobilising deposit to the tune of Rs. 308,800/- which has to be taken into consideration in awarding punishment to the petitioner. The punishment of dismissal of the petitioner from service is shockingly disproportionate to the gravity of the charges. Punishment of stoppage of two increments with cumulative effect against the petitioner will meet the ends of justice. For the foregoing reasons, this Tribunal comes to the conclusion that the action of the Management of State Bank of India in dismissing P. Venkataraman, Senior Clerk in the Karaikkal branch w.e.f. 19-5-83 is not justified, and the punishment of dismissal of the petitioner from service by the respondent is set aside and the punishment of stoppage two increments with cumulative effect is imposed against the petitioner. He is entitled to full salary and other benefits. First part of the issue found in the negative and the second part of the issue is found accordingly.

In the result, an award is passed setting aside the order of dismissal of the petitioner from service by the respondent. The respondent is directed to reinstate the petitioner in service, with continuity of service, and other attendant benefits, except the stoppage of two increments with cumulative effect. No. costs.

Dated, this the 21st day of April, 1995.

THIRU K. PONNUSAMY, Industrial Tribunal
WITNESSES EXAMINED

For both sides : None.

DOCUMENTS MARKED

For Workman :

Ex.W-1|1-4-75 :—Appointment order issued to Petr. workman Thiru P. Venkataraman as a Clerk (copy).

W-2|20-10-75 :—Confirmation order issued to Petr. workman (xerox copy).

W-3|21-4-80 :—Letter from Mgt. bank, Sholapur Branch, to the Petitioner-workman (Xerox copy).

W-4|22-9-81 :—Letter of appreciation by the Management Bank to the Petitioner-Workman (Xerox copy).

W-5|16-12-81 :—
—do—

W-6|2-3-82 :—
—do—

W-7|18-10-82 :—Letter from Petitioner-workman to the Management-Bank (Xerox copy).

W-8|6-11-82 :—Letter of appreciation by the Management-Bank to the petitioner workman (Xerox copy).

W-9|6-11-82 :—
—do—

W-10|27-10-82 :—Transfer application of Petitioner-workman (Xerox copy).

W-11|3-2-83 :—Charge memo issued to Petitioner-workman (Xerox copy).

W-12|5-2-83 :—Letter from petitioner-workman to the Management-Bank requesting to grant time to give his explanation to the charge memo (Xerox copy).

W-13|7-2-83 :—Transfer order issued to Petitioner-workman (Xerox copy).

W-14|9-2-83 :—Letter from Mgt. Bank to Petitioner-workman (Xerox copy).

W-15|16-2-83 :—Letter from Petr. workman to the Management-Bank requesting to furnish copy of complaint of Branch Manager (Xerox copy).

W-16|17-2-83 :—Letter of appointment of Enquiry Officer (Xerox copy).

W-17|25-2-83 :—Enquiry Notice issued to the Petr. workman (Xerox copy).

W-18|3-3-83 :—Letter of appointment of Presenting Officer (Xerox copy).

W-19|3-3-83 :—Letter from Enquiry Officer to the Petitioner-workman (Xerox copy).

W-20|7-3-83 :—Letter from Petr. workman to the Management-Bank praying to permit him to engage lawyer (Xerox copy).

W-21|7-3-83 :—Letter from Pet. workman to the Enquiry Officer requesting to furnish copy of the Enquiry Officer requesting to furnish copy of records and grant adjournment of enquiry (Xerox copy).

W|22 :—List of documents and witnesses of prosecution (Xerox copy).

W-23|10-3-83 :—Enquiry Notice issued to Petr. workman (Xerox copy).

W-24|10-3-83 :—Letter from Petr. workman to the Enquiry Officer praying defence by lawyer & adjournment (Xerox copy).

Ex. W-25|10-3-83 :—Letter from Enquiry Officer to the Petr. workman rejecting permission to engage lawyer by the Petr. workman (Xerox copy).

Ex. W-26|11-3-83 :—Letter by Presenting Officer to petitioner workman showing the name of Prosecution witness (Xerox copy).

- W-27|11-3-83 :—Enquiry Notice issued to the Petitioner-workman (Xerox copy).
- W-28|14-3-83 :—Letter from Management Bank to Petitioner-workman relieving for enquiry (Xerox copy).
- W-29|14-3-83 :—Statement of Petitioner-workman to the Enquiry Officer (Xerox copy).
- W-30|14-3-83 :—Proceedings of the Enquiry Officer (Xerox copy).
- W-31|15-3-83 :—Letter from Presenting Officer to Petitioner-workman (Xerox copy).
- W-32|15-3-83 :—Letter from Enquiry Officer to Petitioner-workman calling defence witnesses (Xerox copy).
- W-33|16-3-83 :—Letter from Petitioner-workman to the Enquiry Officer to introduce witnesses on 18-3-83 (Xerox copy).
- W-34|23-3-83 :—Lawyers' notice issued by Petitioner-workman to the Management-Bank (Xerox copy).
- W-35|23-3-83 :—Copy of Private complaint by Petitioner-workman (Xerox copy).
- W-36|24-3-83 :—Debit Note for telephone bill by Management-Bank (Xerox copy).
- W-37|8-4-83 :—Reply by Management-Bank to Ex. W-34 (Xerox copy).
- W-38|8-4-83 :—Letter from Disciplinary Authority to Petr. workman proposing punishment of dismissal (Xerox copy).
- W-39| :—Findings of the Enquiry Officer (Xerox copy).
- W-40|19-4-83 :—Letter from Disciplinary Authority to Petr. workman calling for personal hearing on 27-4-83 (Xerox copy).
- W-41|29-4-83 :—Letter from Management-Bank to Petitioner-workman for advancing the personal hearing from 27-4-83 to 26-4-83 (Xerox copy).
- W-42|21-4-83 :—Letter from Management-Bank to Petitioner-workman relieved for personal hearing (Xerox copy).
- W-43|21-4-83 :—Letter from Management-Bank to Petitioner-workman (Xerox copy).
- W-44|21-4-83 :—Leave application of Petitioner-workman (Xerox copy).
- W-45|26-4-83 :—Dismissal order issued to Petitioner-workman (Xerox copy).
- W-46|22-6-83 :—Appeal preferred by Petitioner-workman against his dismissal (Xerox copy).
- W-47|16-8-83 :—Letter from Appellate Authority to Petitioner-workman for personal hearing on 24-8-83 (Xerox copy).
- W-48|24-8-83 :—Minutes of Personal hearing (Xerox copy).
- W-49|29-8-83 :—Letter from Disciplinary Proceedings Section to Petitioner-workman (Xerox copy).
- W-50|24-8-83 :—Letter from Appellate Authority to Petitioner-workman confirming the punishment of dismissal from service (Xerox copy).
- W-51|9-9-83 :—Writ Petition No. 8583/83 filed by Petitioner-workman before the High Court of Madras (Xerox copy).
- W-52|27-10-83 :—Counter affidavit of Management-Bank in W.P. No. 8583/83 filed before Madras High Court (Xerox copy).
- W-53|2-12-86 :—Order of Hon'ble High Court, Madras in W.P. No. 8583/83 (Xerox Copy).
- W-54|18-12-86 :—Writ Appeal No. 1387/86 filed by Petitioner-workman before the High Court of Madras (Xerox copy).
- W-55|12-1-87 :—Telegram about dismissal of Writ Appeal (Xerox copy).
- W-56|8-1-87 :—Letter of Thiru R. Srikrishnan Advocate enclosing cheque for Rs. 20,000/—(Xerox copy).
- W-57|27-1-87 :—Order of High Court, Madras in W. P. No. 8583/83 (Xerox copy).
- W-58|26-1-87 :—Explanation by Petitioner-workman to the Disciplinary Authority (Xerox copy).
- W-59|2-3-87 :—Letter from Disciplinary Proceedings Cell, Madurai to Petitioner-workman regarding appointment of Enquiry Officer (Xerox copy).
- W-61| :—Certificate of Posting addressed to Thiru S. P. Raman (Xerox copy).
- W-61|6-3-87 :—Enquiry Notice issued to Petitioner-workman (Xerox copy).
- W-62|10-3-87 :—Certificate of posting addressed to Thiru S. P. Raman (Xerox copy).
- W-63|10-3-87 :—Telegram by Enquiry Officer to Petitioner workman intimating the date of enquiry (Xerox copy).
- W-64|11-3-87 :—Letter from Petitioner-workman to the Enquiry Officer seeking postponement of enquiry (Xerox copy).
- W-65|12-3-87 :—Telegram by the Enquiry Officer to Petr. workman intimating the date of enquiry (Xerox copy).
- W-66|12-3-87 :—Enquiry Notice issued to Petr. workman (Xerox copy).
- W-67|13-3-87 :—Telegram by Petitioner-workman to the Disciplinary Authority praying to reinstate him in service (Xerox copy).

- W-68|13-3-87.—Telegram by Disciplinary Authority to Petr. workman (Xerox copy).
- W-69|13-3-87.—Letter from Disciplinary Authority to Petr. workman confirming the Telegram sent by Petr. workman (Xerox copy).
- W-70|13-3-87.—Certificate of Posting addressed to Petitioner-workman (Xerox copy).
- W-71|19-3-87.—Letter from Petr. workman to the Disciplinary Authority praying to reinstate him in service (Xerox copy).
- W-72|19-3-87.—Letter from Petr. workman to the Disciplinary Authority regarding to permit him to engage a lawyer (Xerox copy).
- W-73|19-8-87.—Letter from Petr. workman to the Enquiry Officer requesting to furnish copy of documents (Xerox copy).
- W-74|20-3-87.—Certificate of Posting addressed to Thiru S. P. Raman (Xerox copy).
- W-75|22-3-87.—Telephone bill by Petr. workman (Xerox copy).
- W-76|4-4-87.—Letter from Enquiry Officer to Petr. workman (Xerox copy).
- W-77|21-4-87.—Defence Statement of Petr. workman (Xerox copy).
- W-78|7-3-88.—Letter from M/s. Raghavan-shankar & Kumar, Advocates to the Management - Bank, Madurai branch (Xerox copy).
- W-79| —Finance of the Enquiry Officer (Xerox copy).
- W-79(A).—Annexures to Ex. W-79 (Xerox copy).
- W-80|17-3-88.—Show Cause Notice issued to Petr. Workman (Xerox copy).
- W-81|7-4-88.—Reply by Petr. workman to Ex. W-80, (Xerox copy).
- W-82|11-4-88.—Written submission of Petitioner-workman (Xerox copy).
- W-83|27-6-88.—Letter from Disciplinary Authority to Petitioner - workman (Xerox copy).
- W-84|16-8-88.—Appeal preferred by Petitioner-workman against the punishment imposed by Disciplinary Authority (Xerox copy).
- W-85|2-9-88.—Letter from Appellate Authority to Petitioner-workman to hear personal hearing on 16-8-88 (Xerox copy).
- W-86|3-9-88.—Telegram by Appellate Authority to Petitioner-workman intimating the date of personal hearing (Xerox copy).
- W-87|6-9-88.—Letter from Petitioner-workman to Appellate Authority (Xerox copy).
- W-88|12-9-88.—Minutes of Personal hearing by Appellate Authority (Xerox copy).
- W-89|8-10-88.—Confirmation of Punishment by Appellate Authority (Xerox copy).
- W-90|16-2-89.—Petition under Section 2-A of the I.D. Act filed by Petr. workman before the Labour Commissioner (Central), Madras-6 (Xerox copy).
- W-91|3-3-89.—Letter from the Asst. Labour Commissioner (Central) I, Madras to Petitioner-workman (Xerox copy).
- W-92| —Counter to Ex. W-90 filed before the Labour Commissioner (Central), Madras-6 (Xerox copy).
- W-93|25-3-89.—Letter from Assistant Law Officer of Management Bank to Petitioner-workman (Xerox copy).
- W-94|8-4-89.—Letter from Petr. workman to the Regional Labour Commissioner (Central), Madras (Xerox copy).
- W-95|1-7-89.—Written submissions of Petr. workman filed before the Labour Commissioner (Central), Madras-6 (Xerox copy).
- W-96|24-4-90.—Written comments of Law Department of Management Bank filed before the Assistant Labour Commissioner (Central), Madras-6 (Xerox copy).
- W-97|28-8-90.—Conciliation Failure Report (Xerox copy).
- W-98|11-9-90.—Letter No. 12012/197/90-IRB.III, Ministry of Labour, Govt. of India, New Delhi (Xerox copy).
- W-99|26-11-90.—Order of reference in I.D. No. 99/90 (Xerox copy).
- W-100|8-12-90.—Summons from this Tribunal in I.D. 99/90 (Xerox copy).
- W-101|22-12-86.—Writ Appeal No 1387/86 filed by Petitioner-workman before the Hon'ble High Court, Madras (Xerox copy).
- W-102| —Proceedings of the Enquiry Officer (Xerox copy).

For Management : Nil

नई दिल्ली, 13 जून, 1995

का. प्र. 1903.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम,
1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में,

केन्द्रीय सरकार उत्तर रेलवे के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निविष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, कानपुर के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 13/6/95 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[संख्या एल—41011/48/90—आई आर बी आई]

पी. जे. माइकल, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 13th June, 1995

S.O. 1903.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Kanpur as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Northern Railway and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 13-6-95.

[No. L-41011/48/90-IRBI]

P. J. MICHAEL, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SRI B. K. SRIVASTAVA, PRESIDING OFFICER CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, PANDU NAGAR, DEOKI PLACE ROAD, KANPUR

Industrial Dispute No. 121 of 1991

In the matter of dispute between :

Assistant General Secretary, Uttar Railway Karamchhari Union, 39-II Multistoreyed Building (Colony), Charbagh, Lucknow.

And

The Divisional Personnel Officer Northern Railway Moradabad.

AWARD

1. Central Government Ministry of Labour vide its notification no. L-41011/48/90-I.R.(D.U.) dated 4-9-91, has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal—

“Whether the DC STE Construction Lucknow and D.P.O. Northern Rly. Moradabad are justified in not regularising the services of S/Sri Jagan Nath Rai as Painter and Pratap Narain Sharma as wireman w.e.f. 5-1-87 and 15-9-87 respectively in terms of Rule 2512(ii) of the Railway Establishment Rules ? If not, what relief the workmen concerned are entitled to ?”

2. In this case there are two workmen viz., Jagan Nath Rai and Pratap Narain Sharma, In the joint written statement it has been alleged that Jagan Nath Rai was appointed on 27-1-76 as Khalasi under the then S.I./ACS(R) Northern Railway Moradabad. He was promoted to the post of Painter in January 1980. He continued on this post and on 5-1-87 after clearing trade test he was given grade Rs. 950-1500 Since he has been continuing on this post for a long, he is entitled for regularisation. The case of the workman Pratap Narain Sharma is that he was appointed Khalasi on 18-10-76 under SI/ACS(R) Northern Railway Moradabad. He was given promotion on the post of wireman w.e.f. 15-7-84. He cleared trade test held by ASTE construction Northern Railway Lucknow, hence he was promoted to the post of wireman. Since he has been working on this post for long time according to railway Rules 2512(ii) of the Railway Establishment Manual both of them are entitled for regularisation on respective post.

3. The opposite party railway has also filed written statement. It was alleged that Jagan Nath Rai was promoted on 5-1-87 and not in January, 1980. He is not continuing on this post from January, 1980. As regards Pratap Narain-Sharma it is alleged that he was appointed against a work charged post on 18-10-76. On 30-8-89, he was sent to Moradabad for being regularised as Khalasi. Hence they are not entitled for regularisation.

4. The concerned workmen have filed rejoinder in which they have denied the allegations made by the employer. Workmen have filed their respective affidavits in support of their case in which they have confirmed all the allegations made in the written statement.

5. The employer railway has not filed any affidavit and has also not cross examined the concerned workmen. The case has proceeded ex parte against them, since the date of filing of the affidavit by the concerned two workmen. As the evidence of the two workmen is un rebutted and there is no proof worth the name in support of pleas raised in the written statement of employer, I believe the version of the concerned workmen in entirety. As such they are entitled for regularisation from the date they have claimed.

6. Accordingly my answer to the reference is in affirmative and it is held that the they are entitled for regularisation from the date mentioned in the reference order. Concerned workmen shall also get Rs. 200 as costs of the case from the employer.

B. K. SRIVASTAVA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 12 जून, 1995

का. प्रा. 1904.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार गन कैरिज फैक्ट्री, जबलपुर के प्रबन्धतंत्र के संबंध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निदिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, जबलपुर के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 7-6-95 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[संख्या एल-14012/5/89-डी-2 (बी)]

नी. एम. डेविड, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 12th June, 1995

S.O. 1904.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) the Central Government hereby publishes the Award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal Jabalpur as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Gun Carriage Factory, Jabalpur and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 7-6-95.

[No. L-14012/5/89-D-2(B)]

B. M. DAVID, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

IN THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR (MP).

Case Ref. No. CGIT/LC(R)(255)/1989

BETWEEN :

Shri Ram Narayan Vishwakarma, Ex-T. No. 15692/IE, New Kanchghar, House No. 2986 (Near Shobhapur Railway Gate), P.O. Adhartal, Jabalpur (MP).

AND

The General Manager, Gun Carriage Factory, Jabalpur (MP).

PRESIDED By : Shri Arvind Kumar Awasthy.

APPEARANCES :

For Workman.—Shri Kamlesh Dutta, Advocate.

For Management.—Shri B. Da'Silva, Advocate.

INDUSTRY : GCF DISTRICT : Jabalpur (MP).

AWARD

Dated, 26th May, 1995

This is a reference made by the Central Government, Ministry of Labour, vide its Notification No. L-14012/5/89 D 2(B), dated 5th December,

1989, for adjudication of the following industrial dispute :—

SCHEDULE

"क्या गन कैरिज फैक्ट्री, जबलपुर (म.प्र.) के प्रबन्धकों द्वारा श्री राम नारायण विश्वकर्मा एक्स टि. नं. 15692/आई एफ सी (एम) गन कैरिज फैक्ट्री, जबलपुर के आदेश दिनांक 17-10-85 द्वारा अनिवार्य रूप से सेवानिवृत्त किए जाने की कार्यवाही न्यायोचित है, यदि नहीं तो, संबंधित कर्मकार किस अनुसंधान का हकदार है?"

2. The admitted facts of the case are that the workman, Shri Ram Narayan Vishwakarma, was working as Fitter 'B' in I.F.G. (Main) Section of Gun Carriage Factory, Jabalpur and that the workman was suspended and charge-sheet was issued to the workman on 11-4-84 to the effect that on 24-3-1984 at 8.40 a.m. workman Shri Ram Narayan Vishwakarma, abused, threatened and assaulted Dy. General Manager, Shri Sushil Gupta while he was coming to his duty. It is also not in dispute that the FIR was lodged in the police station on 23-3-84 and Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jabalpur vide his judgment dated 17-3-1988 acquitted the workman of the charges under Sec. 341, 353, 506 & 294 I.P.C. It is also a common ground that the Disciplinary Authority compulsorily retired the workman from the service vide order dated 17-10-85.

3. The case of the management is that the workman, Shri Ram Narayan Vishwakarma, was under suspension for his disorderly behaviour with effect from 7-10-1983 and while he was under suspension the workman on 24-3-84 at about 8.40 a.m. stopped the D.G.M. Shri Sushil Gupta while he was going to his duty and assaulted, abused and threatened him; that after the domestic enquiry the charge of gross misconduct of assault on an officer was fully proved and looking to the past service record of the workman the impugned order of compulsory retirement was passed against the workman.

4. The case of the workman is that Shri Sushil Gupta was in the habit of abusing and threatening every worker and on the protest of the workman Shri Gupta was annoyed and his attitude became vindictive towards the workman; that the workman was suspended. A false report was lodged against the workman Shri Ram Narayan Vishwakarma that on 24-3-84 the workman assaulted and threatened Shri Sushil Gupta; that the workman was acquitted by the Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate for the charges under Sec. 341, 33, 506 & 294 of I.P.C.; that an ex parte departmental enquiry was held against the workman and on the basis of the perverse findings of the Enquiry Officer penalty of compulsory retirement was imposed on the workman. The case of the workman further is that the enquiry officer has not taken into consideration of the fact that the statement of Shri Sushil Gupta were contradictory and not suppor-

ted by the statement of other witnesses. The workman has prayed to declare the impugned order dated 17-10-1985 of compulsory retirement illegal. He has further prayed for reinstatement with full back wages. However, the workman has given up the prayer of the back wages and he has only prayed for reinstatement without any consequential benefits.

5. Following are the issues framed in the case :

ISSUES

1. Whether the domestic departmental enquiry is proper and legal ?
2. Whether the punishment awarded is proper and legal ?
3. Whether the management is entitled to lead evidence before this Tribunal ?
4. Whether the termination action taken against the workman is justified on the facts of the case ?

5. Relief and costs.

6. Issue Nos. 1 & 3.—Domestic enquiry is held just and proper. Consequently, Issue Nos. 1 & 3 are in favour of the management.

7. Issue No. 4.—Shri Sushil Gupta has stated that on 24-3-1984 at about 8.40 a.m. while he was going to the Factory to attend the duty, Shri Ram Narayan Vishwakarma, slapped him and threatened that he will not leave him and further threatened that he will be killed. It is further stated that the workman hurled abuses and threw him on the ground. Shri Sushil Gupta was working as Dy. General Manager and there is nothing in his evidence to doubt the veracity of his statement. Shri Sushil Gupta has no enmity with the workman and there is no reason to hold that the senior officer of the rank of Dy. General Manager was any how interested in giving false evidence against the workman, Shri Ram Narayan Vishwakarma. The learned Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate has acquitted the workman vide his judgment dated 17-3-1988 and from the perusal of the judgment it is clear that the workman was acquitted as the prosecution has failed to prove the case beyond reasonable doubt. The acquittal of the workman by the learned Addl. C.J.M. is not fact to hold that the workman has not committed offence because in that criminal case the offence is to prove to the hilt while in the departmental enquiry the standard of proof is not that high. Consequently, in view of the clear and unblemish statement of Shri Sushil Gupta I hold that the learned Enquiry Officer has committed no mistake in holding that the charges were proved against the workman. Finding of the Enquiry Officer is just and proper and Issue No. 4 is answered in favour of the management.

8. Issue No. 2.—The contention of the learned Counsel for the management is that in the case of compulsory retirement the power of the Tribunal to interfere is very limited and unless perversity and the arbitrariness is established the power of compulsory retirement is not liable to be interfered with. Learned Counsel for the management has placed reliance in the case reported in 1994 (LLJ) p. 411 Union of India Vs. V. P. Seth & Others wherein in the Hon'ble Supreme Court has laid down the view advanced by the management.

9. The workman has committed gross misconduct of assaulting the senior officer of the management and that too during the period of suspension. The previous record of the workman is blameworthy and several censures and warnings were issued to the workman. The contents of Annexure D, para 7 are as follows :—

Date	Offence	Penalty by DA	Penalty by on appeal
8-1-63	Neglect of duty	Censured	Nil
2-4-64	-do-	Warned	Nil
15-5-69	Gross Misconduct	Warned	Nil
13-4-77	Placed under suspension w.e.f. 14-5-69 & revoked w.e.f. 15-5-69		—
29-4-77	Misuse of LTC	Warned	—
11-7-77	-do-	-do-	—
21-1-83	Gambling	One increment	—
30-3-81	Incited workers of IFG not to do the work	Censured	—
7-4-81	Disobedience of order	Warned	—
15-11-81	Neglect of duty	Censured	—
18-11-81	Neglect of duty	Censured	—
28-9-84	Reverted to Fitter C	Appeal dt. 27-11-84 Dismissed by DGO vide Order No. 7034/374/85/7/A/Vig. dt. 26-8-85	—

10. Consequently it is clear that the management has already shown undue leniency to the workman and the penalty of compulsory retirement imposed on the workman calls for no interference. Issue No. 2 is answered in favour of the management.

11. Issue No. 5.—Consequently, the punishment of compulsory retirement is just and proper and the workman is not entitled to any relief. Reference is answered in favour of the management without any order as to costs.

ARVIND KUMAR AWASTHY, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 12 जून, 1985

का. प्र. 1903.—बी.के. वि. वि. अधिनियम,
1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में,

केन्द्रीय सरकार गन कैरिज फैक्ट्री जबलपुर के प्रबन्धतंत्र के संबंध तिथियों और उनके कर्मचारियों के बीच, अनुबंध में निश्चित औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, जबलपुर के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 7/6/95 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[संख्या एल—14012/94/90-आई आर (डी यू)]

बी. एम. डेविड, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 12th June, 1995

S.O. 1905.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Jabalpur as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Gun Carriage Factory Jabalpur and their workmen, which has received by the Central Government on the 7-6-95.

[No. L-14012/94-90-IR(DU)]

B. M. DAVID, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

IN THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL-TRIBUNAL CUM-LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR (MP)

Case Ref, No. CGIT|LC(R)(105)|1991

BETWEEN :

Shri Prakash Rajak C/o Shri S. K. Dubey,
General Secretary, G.C.F. Labour Union, 365/4
Type-2 G.C.F. Estate, Jabalpur-482001
(MP)

AND

The General Manager, Gun Carriage Factory, Jabalpur (MP)-482001.

PRESIDED IN : By Shri Arvind Kumar Awasthy
APPEARANCES :

For Workman : Shri S. K. Dubey.

For Management : Shri B. Da'Silva, Advocate.

INDUSTRY : Gun Factory DISTRICT : Jabalpur
(MP)

AWARD

Dated : ay 25, 1995

This is a reference made by the Central Government, Ministry of Labour, vide its Notification No. L-14012/94/90-IR(DU). Dated 9-5-1991, for adjudication of the following industrial dispute :

SCHEDULE

“क्या गन कैरिज फैक्ट्री जबलपुर (मं० प्र०) के प्रबंधको द्वारा श्री प्रकाश राजक के नं० 5947/आई० ई० लेबर-बी० ग्रेड को आदेश वि. 25/11/85 द्वारा उसके वेतनमान में एक स्टेज पर एक वर्ष के लिये कटौती कर अर्थात् वेतनमान रु० 217 से 214 किये जाने को कार्यवाही न्यायोचित है? यदि नहीं तो संबंधित कर्मकार किस अनुतोष का हकदार है?”

2. The admitted facts of the case are that Shri Prakash Rajak, Labourer 'B' in Gun Carriage Factory, Jabalpur, was suspended on the basis of the report made by the Security Officer and the charge-sheet was issued against him that on 14-7-84 in the night shift at about 9.15 p.m. the workman Shri Prakash Rajak, assaulted Shri Anil Kumar Gupta in between GCF Post Office and Inspection Bungalow with Hockey stick resulting injury to him and this tantamount gross misconduct. It is also not in dispute that after the domestic enquiry the management vide order dated 25-11-1985 passed an order of withholding increment for one year.

3. The case of the management is that on 14-7-84 at about 9.15 p.m. in between GCF Post Office and Inspection Bungalow of GCF Estate workman, Shri Prakash Rajak, along with the four other persons assaulted Shri Anil Kumar Gupta, the workman of the factory with a Hockey Stick and that the Enquiring Office reported the statement of the complainant and eye witnesses and the workman produced the defence witness and all the opportunity to the workman to defend the case was granted; that the charges are established against the workman beyond reasonable doubt and the impugned order was passed against the workman.

4. The case of the workman is that there is no sufficient evidence against the workman to establish the charge of assault and the Disciplinary Authority has not properly appreciated the evidence adduced in the domestic enquiry. It is further alleged that the alleged misconduct has occurred far away from the place of the employment and it cannot be the subject matter of the disciplinary action against the workman. The workman has alleged that the finding of the Disciplinary Authority is perverse and he has prayed that the punishment awarded to him be declared illegal and all the consequential benefits be granted to the workman.

5. Following are the issues with my findings:—

ISSUES

1. Whether the enquiry is just, proper and legal?
2. Whether the management is entitled to lead evidence before this Tribunal?
3. Whether the charges of misconduct are proved on the facts of the case?
4. Whether the punishment is proper and legal?
5. Relief and costs?

6. Issue No. 1 & 2 : From the perusal of the domestic enquiry, it is clear that the workman fully participated in the enquiry and the Enquiry Officer has provided him all the opportunity to defend his case. Consequently, admission of the workman that the domestic enquiry conducted against him was fair and proper is in accordance with the facts of the enquiry. Issue No. 1 & 2 are answered in favour of the management.

7. Issue No. 3 : Complainant, Shri Anil Kumar Gupta, has clearly stated that the workman, Shri Prakash Rajak was with the culprits who caused assault by Hockey Stick on him. Specific question was asked in the cross-examination of Shri Anil Kumar Gupta regarding the identification of the workman, Shri Prakash Rajak, while he was doing Marpeet. Apart from the statement of the complainant, Shri Anil Kumar Gupta, and independent eye witnesses, Shri Subodh Srivastava has also stated that when he was going with Shri Anil Kumar Gupta, the workman Prakash Rajak, inflicted the Hockey blows on the head of Anil Kumar Gupta. In the long cross-examination of Shri Subodh Srivastava, no facts taking the doubt in the testimony has come out and there is not an iota of evidence to doubt the veracity of the statement of eye witnesses, Shri Subodh Srivastava. Shri Subodh Srivastava is not an interested witness and his presence at the time of the incident was also not challenged. Consequently, the reliable eye witness of the incident, Shri Subodh Srivastava, fully corroborates the statement of the complainant and finding of the Disciplinary Authority that the charge against the workman of assault on Shri Anil Kumar Gupta is hereby confirmed. The finding of the learned Disciplinary Authority is in accordance with the evidence on record. Issue No. 3 is answered in favour of the management.

8. Issue No. 4: The contention of the learned Counsel for the workman is that the incident has taken place far away from the place of the employment and it does not constitute the misconduct. From the statement of Shri Anil Kumar Gupta and witnesses of the workman recorded in the domestic enquiry, it is clear that the workman and the complainant, Shri Anil Kumar Gupta, was a member of the rival union of G.C.F. and it appears that the incident has taken place due to the rift between different unions. However, assault by the workman to the employee of the factory is offence relating to moral turpitude and it amounts to misconduct.

9. The management has already taken the lenient view and the punishment awarded to the workman calls for no interference. Issue No. 4 is answered in favour of the management.

10. Issue No. 5 : Workman is not entitled any relief. Reference is answered in favour of the management, Parties to bear their own costs.
ARVIND KUMAR AWASTHY, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 12 जून, 1995

का. प्र. 1906.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार टेलीकॉम फैक्ट्री, जबलपुर के प्रबन्धन के संबंध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, जबलपुर के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 7-6-95 का प्राप्त हुआ था।

[संख्या एल—40012/13/85-डी-II (बी)]

बा.एम. डेविड, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 12th June, 1995

S.O. 1906.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal in the Jabalpur as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Telecom factory, Jabalpur and their workman which has received by the Central Government on the 7-6-95.

[No. L-40012/13/85-D.II(B)]

B. M. DAVIC, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

IN THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT
JABALPUR (MP)

Case Ref. No. CGIT/LC(R)/43/1986

BETWEEN

Shri Shyam Lal Yadav S/o Shri Babulal Yadav, No. 5, Telegraph Quarters,
Kamla Nehru Nagar, Jabalpur (MP)

AND

The General Manager, Telecom Factory,
Jabalpur (MP).

PRESIDED IN : By Shri Arvind Kumar Awasthy.

APPEARANCESS

For Workman : Shri A. K. Shasi, Advocate.

For Management : Shri B. Da' Silva, Advocate.

INDUSTRY : Telegraph DISTRICT : Jabalpur
(MP)

AWARD

Dated : May 29, 1995

This is a reference made by the General Government, Ministry of Labour, vide its Notification No. L-40012(13)/85-D.II(B) Dated 18th March, 1986, for adjudication of the following industrial dispute :

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the management of Telecom Factory, Jabalpur (MP) in dismissing Shri Shyam Lal Yadav from service w.e.f. 25-3-84 is justified? If not, to what relief the concerned workman is entitled to?"

2. Admitted facts of the case are that the workman Shri Shyam Lal Yadav, was working as Chowkidar in the Telecom Factory, Jabalpur. It is also common ground that the charge-sheet dated 3-8-1981, was issued against the workman alleging that on the intervening night of 14-7-81 and 15-7-91 the workman committed theft of three kilogram of zinc pieces of the Factory and that Shri C. R. Kundu, Asstt. Engineer was appointed as the Enquiry Officer and on the basis of the report dated 16-2-1984 of the Enquiry Officer the workman was held guilty and his services were terminated by the impugned order dated 25-3-1984.

3. The case of the workman is that he was falsely implicated for commission of theft of three kilogram zinc pieces and during the departmental enquiry sufficient opportunity was not granted to the workman to defend his case. The workman has alleged that the finding of the Enquiry Officer is perverse and that the Disciplinary Authority has not considered the unblemish service of 30 years of the workman while imposing the punishment; that the punishment is bad in law and is highly excessive and unjustified.

4. The case of the management is that on the night of 14-7-81 the workman, Shyam Lal, was on duty as Chowkidar in the third shift from 10 p.m. to 6 a.m. and before completing his duty the workman was apprehended by the S. A. F. Jawan on duty and 3 Kg. of zinc pieces were found hidden in the bed sheet of the workman; that the workman was taken to the office of the security staff and the zinc pieces and the bed sheet was seized and the workman gave his explanation that the zinc pieces were placed by someone else in his bed sheet; that the workman fully participated in the domestic enquiry and he cross-examine all the witnesses of the management did not support him; that the workman was found guilty and the punishment of dismissal was awarded to him in accordance with the gravity of the charge.

5. Following are the issues :—

1. Whether the enquiry is proper and legal?
2. Whether the punishment awarded is entitled to lead evidence before this Tribunal?
3. Whether the management is entitled to lead evidence before this Tribunal?
4. Whether the termination of the workman is justified on facts on the case?
5. Relief and costs?

6. Issue No. 1 & 3 : My learned predecessor vide order dated 13-7-1987 has held that domestic enquiry has vitiated and the opportunity was granted to the management to prove the misconduct. Management has examined Ram Chandra Verma (M. W. 2) Ramesh Chandra (M. W. 3) and Bhaiya Lal (M. W.4) and no evidence was led by the workman in his defence.

7. Issue No. 4 : Ram Chandra (M. W. 2) and Bhaiya Lal (M. W. 4) have stated that they were on duty of Chowkidar along with the workman, Shyam Lal Yadav, on Gate No. 2 of the Telecom Factory in the shift from 10 p.m. to 6 a.m. Chandra Verma has further stated that at about 5.30 a.m. the workman, Shyam Lal, was seeing coming out from the room with the his bed sheet and when the bed sheet was got opened it was found that five pieces of the zinc were hidden therein. Ram Chandra Verma has further stated that Ramesh Chandra was also present at the time when the stolen property was recovered from the possession of the workman, Shyam Lal. Ramesh Chandra (M. W. 3) has fully corroborated the statement of Ram Chandra (M. W.2) Bhaiya Lal (M. W. 43) has stated that he was on duty in the Secretary Room and Workman, Shyam Lal, was caught by Shri Ram Chandra (M. W. 2) carrying the stolen five pieces of zinc. The statement of management's witnesses, Ram Chandra (M. W. 2), Ramesh Chandra (M. W.3) and Bhaiyalal (M. W. 4) are consistent and there is nothing in the cross-examination to doubt the veracity of their statement.

8. The workman. Shyam Lal, has admitted that three kilograms of zinc pieces was recovered from his possession. The defence of the workman, Shyam Lal, is that someone else had kept the stolen zinc inside his bed sheet. The workmen has not led any evidence to prove the defence story. Defence story is totally unnatural. Nobody will carry his bed sheet with heavy load of three kilogram of stolen zinc. The natural conduct would have been of reporting immediately to the person concerned about the fact that some one else had played the mischief by keeping the zinc in his bed sheet. Workman Shyam Lal, was

working as a Chowkidar. No thief will dare to hide the stolen zinc inside the bed sheet of a Chowdikar. The defence of the workman is unnatural and not supported by any evidence. The admission by the workman that three kilograms of zinc was found hidden, in his bed sheet and false explanation by the workman, further lends credibility of the averments made by the three witnesses of the management that the workman. Shyam Lal was apprehended while committing theft of zinc pieces. Consequently, I hold that the charge of gross-misconduct by the workman is fully proved. Issue No. 4 is answered in favour of the management.

9. Issue No. 2: Workman, Shyam Lal was a Watchman and the theft by a Watchman is a serious misconduct. Management has rightly dismissed the services of the workman, Shyam Lal,

10. Dismissal of the workman from service is just and proper and he is not entitled to any relief. Reference is answered in favour of the management. Parties to bear their own costs.

ARVIND KUMAR AWASTHY, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 13 जून, 1995

का. आ. 1907.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार कार्यकारिणी अभियन्ता (पोस्टल सिविल) लखनऊ के प्रबन्धतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निदिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, कानपुर के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 9-6-95 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[संख्या एल-40011/4/90-आई आर (डी यू)]

बी. एम. डेविड, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 13th June, 1995

S.O. 1907.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal Kanpur as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Executive Engineer (Postal Civil) Lucknow and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 9-6-95.

[No. L-40011/4/90-IR(DU)]

B. M. DAVID, Desk Officer

1510 GI/95—18

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SRI B.K. SRIVASTAVA PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, PANDU NAGAR, DEOKI PALACE ROAD, KANPUR

Industrial Dispute No. 262 of 1990

In the matter of dispute between :

President, All India Telegraph Engineering Employees Union 11/1 P&T Colony Aish-bagh, Lucknow.

AND

Executive Engineer

(Postal Civil)

Ashok Marg, Lucknow.

AWARD

1. Central Government Ministry of Labour, vide its notification No. L-40011/4/90-IR(DU) dated 24-10-90 has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this tribunal :—

Whether the action of executive engineer (Postal Civil) Lucknow in terminating the services of S/Sri Zuber Ahmad, Krishna, Pramod Kumar Sharma, Bishambhar Dayal and Sajiwan Lal w.e.f. 1-6-89 is justified ? If not what relief the workmen concerned are entitled and from what date ?

2. In this case there are five workmen. In their written statement they have given their respective date of appointment as under —

Zuber Ahmad	—10-10-86
Krishna	—13-10-86
Pramod Kumar	
Sharma	—11-3-87
Vishambhar	
Dayal	—14-7-88
Sajawan Lal	—09-3-89

It was further alleged that they had worked continuously since the date of their respective appointments. As employer was displeased with them as they had raised a demand for regularisation. They illegally terminated their services on 1-6-89. This termination order illegal as no notice pay and retrenchment compensation was paid to them. Further juniors to them have been retained in service.

3. The employers did not file any written statement further they continued to remain absent in spite of sufficient service of notice.

4. On 6-12-94 Zuber Ahmad and Pramod Kumar Sharma had moved an application in this

Tribunal that they do not press their claim as they want to approach Central Administrative Tribunal for this purpose.

5. In support of their claim affidavits of Vishambhar Dayal, Pramod Kumar Sharma, Sajiwan Lal and Juber Ahmad were filed in which they have sworn that before termination of services they were not paid notice pay and retrenchment compensation, although they had worked continuously. Nothing was sworn regarding retention of junior persons as there is no evidence in rebuttal I believe it.

6. In view of above, in respect of Juber Ahmad and Pramod Kumar Sharma it is held that they are entitled to no relief as they have not pressed their claim.

7. As regards workman Krishna he has not filed any affidavit in support of his claim and fellow workers who are a party in this case have also not sworn anything regarding the service of Krishna. In this way it is held that Krishna had not worked since 13-10-86 as alleged by him for want of proof. Hence question of his illegal termination does not arise. In this way reference in question is also answered against him.

8. As regards workman Sajiwan Lal he himself has concerned in his affidavit that he had worked for 82 days as such he is not entitled for benefit of Section 25F Industrial Disputes Act. He has also not said any thing in his affidavit about retention of junior persons to him in service. As such the objection relating to violation of section 25G I.D. Act is also not established for want of proof. Thus his termination could not be established illegal in either way. In this way Sajiwanlal has failed to prove that his services have been terminated illegally. As such reference in his case is also answered against him.

9. Lastly there rewards the case of Vishambhar Dayal to be considered. From his unrebutted affidavit it is established that he had worked for more than 240 day continuously from 14-7-88. Since no notice pay and retrenchment compensation have been paid to him his termination is illegal. As such it is awarded that the employer shall reinstate him in service and shall pay him full back wages.

10. The workman Vishambhar Dayal shall also get Rs. 100/- as costs of the case.

B. K. SRIVASTAVA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 13 जून, 1995

का. आ. 1908.—श्रीद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में 'केन्द्रीय सरकार निदेशक इंडियन इन्स्टिट्यूट ऑफ शुगर केन रिसर्च के प्रवन्धतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के

बीच, अनुबंध में निविष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, कानपुर के पंचपट को प्रहणित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 9-6-95 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[संख्या एल-12012/97/89-आई आर (डी यू)]

बी. एम. डेविड, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 13th June, 1995

S.O. 1908.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Kanpur as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Director Indian Institute of Sugar Cane Research and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 9-6-95.

[No. L-42012/97/89-IR(DU)]

B. M. DAVID, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SRI B.K. SRIVASTAVA, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, PANDU NAGAR, DEOKI PALACE ROAD, KANPUR

Industrial Dispute No. 323 of 1989

In the matter of dispute between :

Joint Secretary,
Krishi Karamchari Sabha,
1 Abbdul Adiz Road,
Lucknow.

AND

Director,
Indian Institute of Sugar Cane Research,
Rai Bareli Road,
Lucknow.

AWARD

Central Government, Ministry of Labour, vide its notification number L-42012/97/89-IRDU dated 19th December, 1989, has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal :—

Whether the Director, Indian Institute of Sugarcane Research, Lucknow was justified in terminating the services of Sri Ram Kewal as Fieldman/Field Asstt. w.e.f. 19-10-84 ? If not, what relief the workman concerned is entitled to ?

2. The concerned workman Ram Kewal Singh in his written statement has alleged that he was appointed as Fieldman/Field Asst. at Sugar Cane Research Instituted Lucknow on 24-2-84. He was being paid Rs. 300/- per month whereas a regular Fieldman/Field Assitant were being paid Rs. 1000/- per month. When the concerned workman protested against this discrepancy between the wages of the two his services were dispensed on 19-10-84. As he had completed 240 days during this period his services could not be terminated without payment of notice pay and retrenchment compensation. In this way the termination of his services is bad in law. It has been further alleged that juniors to him were retained in service. Subsequently the employer had employed Somnath, Sahib Deen, Suraj Singh etc., on the same post but no opportunity was given to the concerned workman before making their appointments. The employer has filed written statement in which in the first case it has been alleged that Indian Institute of Sugar Cane Research is not an industry consequently the concerned workman is not a workman at all. Hence this reference is bad in law.

3. On facts it was alleged that the concerned workmen was employed under a time bound scheme known as Agricultural Production Cess Scheme on adhoc basis w.e.f. 14-2-84 to 12-10-84. Since the scheme was completed his services were dispensed with 19-10-84. It is denied that juniors have been retained in service or fresh appointments were made in place of the concerned workman.

4. The concerned workman has filed rejoinder in which he has reiterated the allegations of written statement and have further denied the factual pleas.

5. In support of his case, the concerned workman filed his affidavit as well as annexure I certificate dated 6-11-84. It is established that he had worked from 14-2-84 to 19-10-1984 as Fieldman/Field Asstt. In rebuttal Munna Lal the Assistant Administrative Officer of the employer has filed affidavit on 22-2-91 with which attendance roll from October 1982 to 9-10-84 were filed. A statement was also filed to show that he had worked for 177 days during this period. Thereafter, another affidavit of Munna Lal was filed on 22-9-91 by which 10 papers were filed including Scheme, the appointment letter etc.

6. First it will be examined if the appointment of Ram Kewal was under a scheme. The employer has filed the affidavit of Munna Lal Administrative Officer and also the copy of appointment letter annexure 6 of the affidavit dated 22-2-91, from which it is apperent that this appointment of Ram Kewal was under A.P. Cess Scheme P.L. 480 project from 14-2-84 to 12-10-84. Indeed Ram

Kewal in his cross examination has also admitted this fact by stating that he was appointed under a scheme. Hence this issue is decided in favour of the employer and against the workman and it is held that the concerned workman was appointed under a scheme.

7. From the annexure 6 of the affidavit of Munna Lal it is further borne out that this appointment was for a fixed period for 14-2-84 to 12-10-84 or till further orders which ever is earlier. Ram Kewal in his evidence has admitted this fact as well. He has further admitted in his cross examination that his services were determined after the completion of the Scheme. It is well settled law that provisions of section 25F G and H would not apply in a case where the workman is employed under a scheme and his services are terminated after completion of scheme. In view of this the concerned workman in my opinion, under the circumstances has no right to assail the termination of his services.

8. From the evidence of Munna Lal as well as extracts of attendance register from Feb. 1984 to Oct. 1984 it is found that the concerned workman had completed 177 days as such provisions of section 25F of the Act on this score would also not be applicable in the present case. Hence validity of impugned termination order cannot be challenged on this score.

9. Much emphasis has been paid by the authorised representative for the concerned workman on the fact that the concerned workman had been allowed to continue even after 12-10-84 i.e. upto 18-10-84. In other words he was allowed to continue even after the fixed period. In my opinion, the concerned workman cannot get any profit from this fact as well as he himself has admitted that the Scheme come to an end and thereafter his services were determined.

10. In the end in my opinion, in the instant case the order by which the services concerned workman has been determined cannot be called retrenchment at all as no question of its being illegal arises.

11. In the end having found that the services of the concerned workman came to an end after completion of scheme under which he was employed, my award is that the action of the employer in terminating the services of the concerned workman was justified and the concerned workman was entitled to no relief.

B. K. SRIVASTAVA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 12 जून, 1995

का. आ. 1909.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार बम्बे पोर्ट ट्रस्ट के प्रबन्धतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, बम्बे (नं. 2) के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 12-6-95 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[संख्या एल—31011/11/91-आई आर (मिनि)]

बी. एम. डेविड, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 12th June, 1995

S.O. 1909.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Bombay (No. 2) as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Bombay Port Trust and their workmen, which has received by the Central Government on the 12-6-95.

[No. L-31011/11/91-IR(Misc)]

B. M. DAVID, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT
INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 2 BOMBAY
PRESENT :

Shri S. B. Panse, Presiding Officer.

Reference No. CGIT-2/35 of 1992

Employers in relation to the Management of
Bombay Port Trust

AND

THEIR WORKMEN

APPEARANCES :

For the Employers:—Shri M. B. Anchan
Advocate.

For the Workmen:—Shri S. R. Wagh Advoca-
cate.

Bombay, dated 22nd May, 1995

AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour, New Delhi through their letter No. L-31011/11/91-IR(Misc) dated 28-5-92 had referred to the following industrial dispute for adjudication; in the following terms:—

SCHEDULE

“Whether the actoin of the management of
Bombay Port Trust Bombay in withhol-

ding a day's wages of about 800 workmen on 30-5-87 following the festival of Ramzan-Id on 29-5-87 on 'no work—no pay' without holding any enquiry is just, proper and legal? If not, to what relief are these workmen are entitled to?”

2. The Secretary of the Transport and Dock Workers Union, Bombay had filed a statemnt of claim in pursuance of the notice issued to it. The union contended that the workmen of the Bombay Port Trust could not report for the duty on 30-5-87 because some anti-social elements created commotion in the area in the morning, where the BPT Workshop is situated and particularly near the gate of BPT workshop, and prevented the workmen from entering the workshop to report for duty. The workmen were trying to enter the workshop to report for duty but could not do so due to those anti-social elements. They could not attend the duties by reasons beyond their control. Thereafter they thought that their absence will be treated as special leave as was done in the past.

3. The Trust deducted one days's wage to about 800 workers employed in department known as the BPT Workshop from the wages of May 1987 paid in the month of June 1987. The BPT failed to follow the duty process of law before enforcing the deduction on one day's wages. This deduction was of 30th May, 1987. The workmen jointly addressed a petition dated 10-6-87 to the Chairman of Bombay Port Trust explaining the circumstances and requesting for treating the absence of that day as special leave but their prayer was turned down.

4. The union submits that the absence of the workman from the duty on that day was due to reasons beyond their control. Under such circumstance the action of the management of deducting the wages of that day is unjust and illegal. They have prayed for special leave of that day and wages of that day along with other reliefs.

5. The management filed its written statement at exh. 3 and denied the claim of the Union. It is submitted that the muslim holiday of Ramzan Id which was fixed on 30-5-81 tentatively was actually celebrated on 29-5-87. All the staff observed a holiday on 29-5-87 and 30-5-87 was a working day, for them. The workmen in the BPT Workshops absented themselves from duty on 30-5-87. Their absence was treated as illegal stoppage of work without any prior notice. Therefore, from their wages one day wage was deducted for their absence, on the principles of “No work—no pay”.

6. The management submitted that in view of different circulars, muslim holidays were tentatively fixed in view of the observance of Ramzan-Id on 29-5-87 instead of 30-5-87. The workmen remained absent from duty on that day without any excuse as they did not work, the wage of that day was not paid to them. The action of the manage-

ment is justified. The claim of the union is unreasonable and deserves to be rejected. They are not entitled to any relief as claimed.

7. The issues that fall for my determination and my findings thereon are as follows:

Issues

Findings

1. "Whether the action of the management of Bombay Port Trust Bombay in withholding a day's wages of about 800 workmen on 30-5-87 following the festival of Ramzan-Id on 29-5-87 on 'no work no pay' without holding any enquiry is just, proper and legal?"

YES the action is justified.

2. If not, to what relief are these workers entitled to?

Does not survive

REASONS

8. Both the parties have not lead any oral evidence. They have filed their written arguments in support of their submissions.

9. It is not in dispute that the Bombay Port Trust vide Manager (SOM) officer's circular no. P/GM-PM/5485 dated 4-12-86 had declared the list of 13 holidays for the BPT staff excluding office staff and staff of Deputy Conservators department. In the year 1987 subject to festivals of Muslim wages had been fixed tentatively for observing the same on the days on which they were actually celebrated. In the year 1987 the muslim holiday of Ramzan Id which was fixed on 30-5-87 tentatively was actually celebrated on 29-5-87 and all the staff members observed holiday for Ramzan Id on 29-5-87 and 30-5-87 was a working day for them.

10. On 28-5-87 the management issued a circular—

"The holiday for Ramzan-Id will be observed by all out door staff on the day following the sighting of the moon. For all indoor staff, the holiday already declared on staff, the holiday already declared on 30th May, 1987 will remain unchanged."

11. It is not in dispute that the 800 workmen remained absent from duty on 30-5-87. Therefore the management had not paid the wages for that day on the basis no work no pay. In the statement of claim its is alleged by the union that they could

not attend the duty due to the circumstances beyond their control, namely un-social elements prevented them from joining the duties. As this is asserted by the union they have to prove it. They have failed to prove it, in the written arguments advanced by the union the contention taken in the statement of claim are repeated.

No such oral evidence is lead. There is no documentary evidence also to show that due to unavoidable circumstances the workmen could not attend the duties. They have not produced any documents such as clips of news papers, complaints or other materials to show that on 30-5-87 the anti-social elements assembled near the gate of the BPT and they prevented the workers from attending the duty. As this is so the action of the management denying to pay the wages of 30-5-87 on the principles of no work no pay is justified.

12. It is tried to suggest that the management could have held an enquiry regarding the absenteeism of these 800 workmen. Prima-facie it appears that the workers remained absent on 30-5-87, It being the first declared holiday and without following the circular issued by the management, the circular, I have already referred above. Under such circumstance there was no need for the management to hold any enquiry. The burden is on the union to lead evidence before the Tribunal to justify their action of remaining absent. For all these reasons, I record my findings on the issues accordingly and pass the following order.—

ORDER

1. The action of the management of Bombay Port Trust Bombay in withholding a day's wages of about 800 workmen on 30-5-87 following the festival of Ramzan-Id on 29-5-87 on 'no work no pay' without holding any enquiry is just, proper and legal.

2. No order as to costs.

S. B. PANSE, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 9 जून, 1995

का. आ. 1910.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार न्यू बैंक आफ इंडिया (पी. एन. बी.) के प्रबन्धतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निहित औद्योगिक विवाद में, केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण कानपुर के पंचपट को प्राप्ति करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 9-6-95 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[संख्या एल-12012/259/93/आई. आर. बी.-2]

बी. के. शर्मा, डैस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 9th June, 1995

S.O. 1910.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Kanpur as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of New Bank of India (PNB) and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 9-6-1995.

[No. L-12012:259:93-IR(B.II)
V. K. SHARMA, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SRI B. K. SRIVASTAVA PRESIDING
OFFICER CENTRAL GOVERNMENT
INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL - CUM - LABOUR
COURT PANDU NAGAR DEOKI PALACE
ROAD KANPUR
Industrial Dispute No. 32 of 1994

In the matter of dispute between :

Sri Kamlesh Chaturvedi
General Secretary
New Bank of India Staff Union
128/F/95 Kidwai Nagar
Kanpur.

AND

Regional Manager
New Bank of India
Punjab National Bank
94 M.G. Marg Lucknow.

AWARD

1. Central Government, Ministry of Labour, vide its notification No. L-12012/259/93 I.R. B-2 dated 4-4-1994, has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal—

"Whether the action of the management of the erstwhile New Bank of India (now Punjab National Bank), Lucknow in terminating the services of Shri Akhil Kumar Rout, Water Boy, w.e.f. 1990 is justified? If not, what relief is the said workman entitled to?"

2. In the present case after the receipt of the reference order notice was issued by the Tribunal on 19-5-94 fixing 26th July to the Union for filing its statement of claim but neither the workman nor any one from the side of the Union appeared in the case for filing the statement of claim uptill 30-3-95. On 20-3-95 the case was ordered to come up for hearing on 9-5-95 with the direction to issue notice afresh. As such notice was again sent to the Union on 27-3-95. Since the

Tribunal was on tour on 9-5-95 as such case was ordered to come up on 30-5-95. On 30-5-95 again none appeared in the case from the side of the Union nor the statement of claim was filed.

3. Thus from the above conduct of the Union it is evident that neither the Union nor the workman is interested in prosecuting its case.

4. In view of the facts and circumstances stated above, I hold that the action of the management in terminating the services of Sri Akhil Kumar Rout, w.e.f. 1990 is justified and the workman is held entitled to no relief.

5. Reference is answered accordingly.

B. K. SRIVASTAVA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 9 जून, 1995

का. आ. 1911.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार विजया बैंक के प्रबंधन के संबंध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक, परिवहन, सं. 2, बम्बई के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 9-6-95 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[संख्या एन—12012/358/90/आई आर. (बी.-2)]

बी. के. शर्मा, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 9th June, 1995

S.O. 1911.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, No. 2, Bombay as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Vijaya Bank and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 9-6-1995.

[No. L-12012/358/90-IR(B.II)
V. K. SHARMA, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 2, BOMBAY

PRESENT :

Shri S. B. Panse, Presiding Officer.

Reference No. CGIT-2/16 of 1991

Employers in relation to the management of
Vijaya Bank

AND

Their Workmen.

APPEARANCES :

For the Employers.—S/Shri P. K. Rele, R. N. Shah, & A. M. Pota, Advocates.

For the Workmen.—Shri M. B. Anchan, Advocate.

Bombay, the 19th May, 1995

AWARD PART-I

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour, New Delhi by its letter No. 12012/358/90-I.R(B-II) dated 4-4-91 has referred to the following industrial dispute for adjudication in the following terms :—

“Whether the action of the management of Vijaya Bank in imposing a penalty of stoppage of 6 increments on Sri Pradeep R. Hegde, Clerk is justified ? If not, what relief the workman is entitled to ?”

2. Shri Pradeep R. Hegde was appointed as a Clerk in the Vijaya Bank party No. 1, on 19-12-1985. The workman was suspended when he was working as a clerk at For Branch. On 7-4-87 he was chargesheeted on the charges “committing an act prejudicial to the interest of the Vijaya Bank and/or committing gross negligence involving the said Bank in serious financial loss of Rs. 10,000 and failing to maintain absolute integrity and devotion to duties” allegedly committed in November 1980. The workman contended that he gave explanation which was not accepted. A departmental enquiry was held against him. The procedure adopted in the said enquiry was against the principles of natural justice. He pleaded that even though C.B.I. Inspector was the Presenting Officer for the management his application to appoint an advocate to represent him was rejected. This cause grave prejudice. He could not defend himself properly. He pleaded that the Enquiry Officer conducted the enquiry in absence of his representative, by which his right to defend in the enquiry was taken away.

3. The workman submitted that the enquiry officer came to the conclusion that the charges are not proved against the workman but the Disciplinary Authority set aside the report of the Enquiry Officer and came to the conclusion that the charges are proved. It awarded the punishment of stoppage of six increments permanently which will have the effect of postponing his future increments. This punishment was put to heavy loss to the workman, which is disproportionate to the charge proved. It is submitted that the workman is punished twice for the same offence. He is also transferred from Bombay to Jalgoan. This double punishment is illegal and not justified. It is proved that the punishment which is ordered by the management be set aside with other reliefs. In alternative it is submitted that if the Tribunal finds the workman guilty of the alleged misconduct then in that case lesser punishment may be awarded to the workman.

4. The management by their written statement (Exh. 3) denied all the contentions of the workman.

It is submitted that Mr. P. R. Hegde was not a workman as defined in section 2(S) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 and adjudicate the alleged dispute. It is averred that Mr. Hegde being ex-member of the union, it has no locus to expose the cause of the worker.

5. The management contended that the enquiry which was held against the worker was just and proper. The Enquiry Officer followed the procedure and principles of natural justice. It is averred that the disciplinary authority came to right conclusion with cogent reasons, and awarded the punishment. It is pleaded that as the workman is promoted to the cadre of Junior Officer the effect was of stoppage of 4 increments only. It is submitted that there is no substance in the reference and it has to be rejected. It proceeded to state that if the Tribunal comes to the conclusion that the departmental enquiry was not fair and proper in that case the management may be given an opportunity to support its action.

6. My Learned Predecessor framed issues at Exh. 4 for determination. It can be seen that issue Nos. 1-3 are to be treated as preliminary issues and are to be decided in this award. The issues and my findings thereon are as follows :—

Issues	Findings
1. Whether this Tribunal has jurisdiction to entertain and decide the present reference?	YES
2. Whether the union in question has locus stand to espouse the cause on behalf of the employee in question?	YES
3. Whether the imposition of penalty by the Disciplinary Authority, even though the Inquiry Officer had found the workmen not guilty of the charges levelled against him, is unjust and illegal?	The enquiry was not held properly, therefore further action is unjust and illegal.

REASONS

7. It is tried to argue on behalf of the management that as Mr. Hegde is no more a clerk and is promoted to the post of Junior Officer, he is not a workman. As this is so, the reference is not tenable. This argument is based on the basis that when the reference was made the concerned clerk was an Officer. This fact is not dispute. But what is to be seen is that when the action was taken against Mr. Hegde he was a clerk. He was admittedly the workman under the Industrial Disputes Act. The promotion to the cadre of Junior Officer does not take the jurisdiction of the Tribunal for deciding what had taken place when the concerned person was a workman. It is also not in dispute that after the promotion Mr. Hegde became a member of the Officers Union. It is tried to argue on behalf of the union that the action of the management against Mr. Hegde was when he was a

workman. It is therefore they are entitled to represent his case. I find substance in it. It is not that the union is defending the case of Hegde. When the action was taken in the capacity as an Officer.

8. It is tried to argue that the dispute is not an industrial dispute. There is no merit in it. When Hegde was a clerk the domestic enquiry was held against him and he was given the punishment in the said enquiry.

9. The management placed reliance on Bombay Union of Journalist v/s. the Hindu 1961 LLJ II, 436, Western India Match Company Ltd. v/s its workmen 1970 II, LLJ p. 256, Dahingapar Tea Estate v/s. its workmen 1958 II LLJ p. 498. The facts of all these cases are quite different than the facts before me.

10. Mr. Pradeep Hegde was chargesheeted on 7-4-86. (chargesheet Exh. 5.2). From the persual of the chargesheet it reveals that the charges which are levelled against the workman are of a serious nature. It reads as :

“Sri Pradeep R. Hegde, while working as a clerk in Vijaya Bank, Fort Branch, Bombay in the month of November 1990 committed gross misconduct in as much as he committed an act prejudicial to the interest of the Vijaya Bank and/or committed gross negligence involving the said Vijaya Bank in a serious financial loss as under :

Sri Pradeep Hegde utilised the and/or removed the blank cheque No. 070051 which cheque along with other cheques was surrendered by Shri R. R. Joshi after closing his account and Sri Pradeep Hegde utilised the said cheque in the sum of Rs. 10,000 under the said cheque who falsely credited on 14-11-1990 in SB account No. 29595 of Sri P. K. Hegde at Indian Bank, Fort Branch, Bombay.

Thus Sri Pradeep R. Hegde failed to maintain his absolute integrity and devotion to his duties and committed the act prejudicial to the interest of the bank thereby contravened the sub-clause (j) of clause 19.5 chapter XIX of the Bipartite Settlement 1966.”

11. Mr. Hegde affirmed that he made a request for appointment of legal representative but his request was turned down. There is no dispute regarding it. It can be seen that the Presenting Officer in this case was the CBI Inspector. He investigated the incident. It is needless to say that the CBI Inspector is well versed in criminal law. As against that the workman was represented through the Union's office bearer by name Naik. It is not in dispute that at the initial stage he could not be present at the time of enquiry. No doubt in the

beginning an adjournment was granted for conducting the case as the representative of the workman was not present. When again such a request was made, it was turned down. The 5 witnesses were examined and they were to be cross-examined by the workman concerned. Again and again the explanation given for rejecting the request of the workman was that the witnesses have come from outside. It is not that the witnesses were required to come again and again at the instance of the workman. It is a common knowledge that when such an enquiry is taken place and when such serious charges are levelled against the workman it would have been prudent on the part of the Enquiry Officer to adjourn the matter but it had not taken place. It must have caused prejudice to the workman.

12. It is tried to argue on behalf of the management that the workman in his cross-examination had admitted that he was given full opportunity to defend himself in the enquiry. No doubt so far as he is personally concerned he was allowed to cross examine. It means that he was given full opportunity. He had to do so because his application for the appointment of an Advocate was rejected. When the Presenting Officer was the CBI Inspector it was necessary that the case of the workman would have been also represented by the person who is having a legal knowledge. It is tried to suggest that the Office Bearer of the union who represented the case knows law. But that is not sufficient. In fact, it can be seen that that person did not cross-examine the witnesses. When there are serious charges levelled against the particular person which includes mis-appropriation then in that case it is always said that if asked for the workman should be allowed to be represented by an Advocate. It involves punishment of major nature.

13. It is tried to argue on behalf of the management that as regards persistent request of the concerned Officer i.e. Hegde to be represented by a Lawyer is not just. It is a well settled that legal representation is not an essential element of natural justice in all cases, and unless the completed question of law, voluminous record of evidence, lack of adequate educational background or ability to defend on the part of the delinquent warranting permission to engage a lawyer are involved denial of representation by a lawyer would not tantamount to violation of principles of natural justice. None of the above factors are involved in the instant case and as such it is argued that the rejection of application for appointment of an advocate is just. I do not find merit in it. I have already referred to above that the charges which are levelled against the workman involves complex question of law and criminal liability. The submissions of the management that the Bipartite Settlement did not allow the representation by a lawyer appears to be incorrect.

14. It is tried to argue on behalf of the workman that for the acts of 1986 the enquiry is conducted in 1985-86 which suffers from laches. I do not find any merit in it. There is no delay for conducting the enquiry at all. The CBI completed the investigation in October-November 1985. Immediately thereafter the management suspended the concerned clerk on 19-12-85 and issued a charge-sheet on 7-4-1986. Therefore there is no delay at all. As the workman was not allowed to defend himself through a lawyer, further it also appears that he was not given sufficient opportunity for cross-examination of the witnesses through the advocate or through his representative, the enquiry which is held against him has to be said to be against the principles of natural justice. For all these reasons I record my findings on the issues accordingly and pass the following order :—

ORDER

1. The Tribunal has jurisdiction to decide the reference.
2. The union has locus standi to espouse the cause on behalf of the employees.
3. The enquiry held against the workman was against the principles of natural justice.

S. B. PANSE, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 15 जून, 1995

का. आ. 1912.—आयोगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अन्वय में, केन्द्रीय सरकार इण्डियन आयल कॉर्पोरेशन लिमि. के प्रबंध-तंत्र के संबंध में नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारियों के बीच, अनु-बंध में निदिष्ट आयोगिक विवाद में, आयोगिक अधिकरण, मद्रास के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 13-6-95 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[संख्या-एल-30012/9/88-डी-3(बी)/आई आर (कोल-1)]
ब्रज मोहन, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 15th June, 1995

S.O. 1912.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Industrial Tribunal, Madras as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Indian Oil Corporation Ltd. and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 13-6-95.

[No. L-30012/9/88-DIII(B)]IR(coal-I)]
BRAJ MOHAN, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,
TAMIL NADU, MADRAS

Friday, the 26th day of May, 1995

PRESENT :—

Thiru K. Ponnusamy, M.A.B.L., Industrial
Tribunal.

Industrial Dispute No. 48/1988

(In the matter of the dispute for adjudication under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 between the Workman and the Management of Indian Oil Corporation Limited, Madras.)

BETWEEN

Shri E. Singaravelu,
No. 9, Thattankulam,
Old Washermanpet,
Madras-600021.

AND

The General Manager,
Indian Oil Corporation Limited,
134, Nungambakkam High Road,
Madras-600 034.

2. Shri Manuel Coreya,
IOC Contractor, 683,
Tirumottyur High Road,
Tondiarpet, Madras-81.

Reference : Order No. L-30012/9/88-D.III(B),
dated 8-6-88/26-7-1988, Ministry of
Labour, Govt. of India; New Delhi.

This dispute after restoration coming on for final hearing on Monday, the 15th day of May, 1995 upon perusing the reference, Claim and Counter statements and all other material papers on record and upon hearing the arguments of Thiru V. Prakash, Advocate appearing for the Workman and of Tvl. T. S. Gopalan, and N. C. Srinivasavaradhan, Advocates appearing for management No. 1, and Tvl. A. R. Jagadeesan and Ramamoorthy, Advocates for Management No. 2, and this dispute having stood over till this day for consideration, this Tribunal made the following :—

AWARD

This reference has been made for adjudication of the following issue :

“Whether the Management of M/s. Indian Oil Corporation Limited, Madras as justified in terminating the services of Shri E. Singaravelu, Mazdoor, employed through their contractor Shri Manuel Coreya, If not, what relief the concerned workman is entitled to?”

This I.D. is filed for reinstatement of the petitioner in service, with back wages, and other attendant benefits.

2. The claim of the petitioner briefly stated is as follows :—The petitioner-workman joined the service of the first respondent through second respondent in the year 1980 on a daily wage of Rs. 8/- and was continuously employed till 15-8-1986 and his last drawn wages is Rs. 15/- per day. He was terminated from service from 15-8-1986 by the respondent by an oral order and under these circumstances, the petitioner with the assistance of the Tamil Nadu Legal Aid Board issued a Counsel's notice on 10-2-87 to the respondents to which there has been no reply. Under these circumstances, he had no other alternative but to raise an Industrial dispute under Sec. 2-A of the Industrial Disputes Act. On failure of conciliation the aforesaid dispute has been referred for adjudication. He is entitled to reinstatement with back wages and attendant benefits. He was continuously employed from 1980, upto the date of his termination on 15-8-1986. In view of this continuous service, as referred to in the Counsel's notice issued on his behalf, he is entitled to the protection of Chapter VB of the Industrial Disputes Act. He is really the workman of the first respondent corporation, as he is doing a work integral to their industrial processes and his work is perennial in nature. Apart from this, the second respondent not holding a valid licence under the Contract Labour (Abolition and Regulation, Act and the first respondent not being validly registered under the said Act as principal employer he is direct employee of the first respondent, corporation as held by the Division bench of the Hon'ble Madras High Court in Best & Crompton Case. The establishment in which he was working there are employees more than 500 and therefore the respondents could not effect any retrenchment without complying with the provisions of Sec. 25-N of Industrial Disputes Act. His termination of the service on 15-8-86 amounts to retrenchment within the meaning of Sec. 2(oo) of the I.D. Act. The termination is void ab initio for failure to obtain Govt's permission before effecting the same due to failure of the respondent in either giving 3 months notice or wages in lieu of notice and retrenchment compensation before effecting the impugned retrenchment. The respondents are employing workmen junior to the Petitioner-workman and without preparation of any seniority list, the impugned retrenchment has been effected. For the aforesaid reasons, it is prayed that this Tribunal may be pleased to pass an award reinstating the petitioner-workman with back wages and other benefits.

3. The defence of Mgt. 1 briefly stated is as follows :—The first respondent is a Govt. of undertaking engaged in the Storage, distribution and marketing of Petroleum products. It has got a regional office at Madras. In the Madras city it is also having four terminals, one at Korrukupet, one at Tondiarpet, one at Royapuram, and one inside the Madras Port. All

these terminals have pipeline connections by which the produce is being transferred from one stocking point to another. It has also got storage tank in these terminals. The pipelines and storage tanks develop leaks and are also subject to damage due to wear and tear. To pipelinings between one storage point to another goes through public roads, underneath railways tracks, private hutments, and over land which does not belong to respondent corporation. In fact, the length of the pipelinings outside the premises constitute the major part of the pipelinings. The repairs to the pipelinings and storage tanks are given on contract by calling limited tenders from parties who have got long experience in attending to these repairs. Quotations are invited from various parties and the contract is given to the lowest bidder. These jobs do not normally last more than one month. These contracts are not given to one and the same party. The contract job involves work both inside and outside the terminals and invariably the major part of the work is outside the terminals. The Contractor brings his tools and equipments or attending to repairs. The second respondent brings his own men for attending to the work and he submits a consolidated bill as per the accepted quotations. The second respondent is having his own establishment at No. 683, T. N. Road, Madras-81. He also undertakes repairing of pipelinings for Madras Port and Hindustan Petroleum Ltd., Admittedly, the petitioner was engaged by the second respondent in connection with the contract job undertaken by the later. This respondent has no control or supervision over the men brought in by the respondent. The second respondent brings in about 5 to 8 workmen and one day he has brought 20 workmen. There was therefore no obligation on the part of the second respondent to take out any licence under the provision of Contract Labour (Regulation & Abolition) Act. As far as the first respondent is concerned. It has registered itself as a principal employer and the certificate of Registration covers the contractors who have taken out licence under the Contract Labour (Regulation and Abolition) Act. In the aforesaid circumstances, the first respondent is not answerable to the claim of the petitioner and in fact, the first respondent has no knowledge of the engagement of the petitioner by the 2nd respondent. The petitioner was not in the services of the first respondent. It is for the 2nd respondent to justify the alleged termination of the petition petitioner and as far as first respondent has registered itself as a principal employer. As far as the jobs which were entrusted to the second respondent were concerned, they were not on regular or continuous nature. They were intermittent and the job used to be allotted to different contractors depending on the rates quoted by them, the lower bidder being awarded the

contract.. The petitioner was not engaged by the first respondent nor was he attending to any work integral to the industrial process of the first respondent. The petitioner was not in the employment of the first respondent and hence there was no question of service of the petitioner by the first respondent. The petitioner was not in the employment of the first respondent, and hence he is not entitled to any relief against the first respondent. It is therefore prayed that this Tribunal may be pleased to make an award rejecting the claim of the petitioner.

4. The defence of the 2nd respondent briefly stated is as follows :—This Industrial dispute raised by the petitioner is not sustainable either in law or on facts and the petition merits dismissal in limine. The petitioner was an unskilled workman available for employment as such by any employer requiring workmen for odd jobs casually. This respondent had employed the petitioner as an unskilled casual workman for transporting materials by hand Cart or Cycle rickshaw to work-spot and such employment was off and on and not continuous as claimed therein and that the petitioner would not have been employed for more than 120 days in any year. The petitioner was paid daily wage at the rate of Rs. 15/- on the days he was employed. This respondent is not a contractor of the first respondent as alleged. The first respondent would entrust small job works like welding, repairs to pipelines, to storage tanks, pumps etc. off and on and pay this respondent the charges as per his bills. This respondent would carry out such job works on the spot and not within the four walls of any factory premises. As when such work orders are received from the first respondent, this respondent would employ skilled job workmen and also the petitioner, if required to transport materials to the workspots and back. The nature of employment being casual, the petitioner cannot by any stretch of imagination be deemed to be a permanent employee eligible for permanency. So far this respondent is concerned, he has not been entrusted works by the first respondent on all days continuously in any year. Being a job worker, he does not come under the purview of the Contract Labour (Abolition & Regulation) Act, for obtaining any licence thereunder. Further, this respondent has at no time, employed 20 and more workmen. He sent the petitioner on 5-8-86 to the first respondent's installations for delivering some materials. On reaching the installations, the petitioner was waiting to deliver the materials when one Kannan involved in the theft of some articles at the installation was being interrogated by the Police. The said Kannan named the petitioner also as one of his four accomplices in the theft within the hearing of the petitioner and after such admissions by the said Kannan, the petitioner did not present himself for any work in the office of the

respondent. He had actually absconded lest he would be arrested by the Police and nothing was heard of him thereafter till receipt of his notice through counsel dt. 10-2-87. The petitioner who was employed off and on casually for less than 120 days in any year has no right to invoke Sec. 2-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for raising this dispute. The petitioner who has never rendered continuous service in any year for more than 240 days has no right to claim any relief under Sec. 25-F of the said Act. In as much as the petitioner has stayed away on his own accord for the reasons stated above, the allegation that this respondent terminated his service on 15-8-1986 is entirely false and baseless. He prays that this Tribunal may be pleased to dismiss the above petition with costs.

5. The issue for decision.—Whether the petitioner is entitled to reinstatement in service, with continuity of service, back wages and other attendant benefits.

6. The issue :—The petitioner joined the services of the first respondent through the second respondent in the year 1980 on daily wages of Rs. 8/-. The petitioner was provided with entry pass in the terminals of the first respondent, is proved by Ex. W-1. The 2nd respondent is the contractor of the first respondent. The petitioner sent a notice through his counsel to the respondents 1 and 2 that his termination from service is void ab-initio and requested for his reinstatement in service with continuity of service, backwages and other attendant benefits, is evidenced by Ex. W.3. The petitioner raised an industrial dispute U/s. 2.A of the I.D. Act, before the Regional Labour Commissioner (Central), Madras for conciliation. The conciliation ended fruitless is borne out by Ex. 4. Registered Certificate of the first respondent was amended, is made out by Ex. M.2. The second respondent was contractor of the first respondent for doing the repairs and maintenance of pipelines, tanks, pumps tankers and other fabrication is evident from Ex. M.1. The second respondent and the workman Sekar arrived at a settlement, is substantiated by Ex. M-4. The petitioner worked inside and outside the terminals from the year 1980 on daily wages of Rs. 8/- is not disputed by the respondents. The services of the petitioner were terminated w.e.f. 15-8-86. The first respondent is the principal employer. The petitioner was continuously employed from 1980 till the termination of the services on 15-8-86. The work carried out by the petitioner was integral to the industrial process of the first respondent. The first respondent has not obtained permission of Govt. of India before the termination of the petitioner from services: first respondent had not issued notice to the petitioner that he was

had not issued notice to the petitioner that he was termination from service. The termination of the petitioner from service is oral. Reason for the termination of the petitioner from service is not furnished by the first respondent. Charge was not framed against the petitioner. The petitioner was not aware of any particulars to defend his case and repudiate the charge levelled against him. The principles of natural justice are not complied with by the first respondent. The termination of the petitioner from service is violative of the principles of natural justice when there is violation of principles of natural justice, the termination of the petitioner from service by the respondent is void and illegal.

7. The first or second respondent has not paid wages in lieu of 3 months notice or retrenchment compensation. Work was done under the control and supervision of the first respondent. The second respondent was holding a licence under Contract Labour (Abolition & Regulation) Act. The first respondent was registered as the principal employer. So, the petitioner is direct employee of the first respondent. This aspect is supported by the decision of the Honble High Court of Madras in *Best & Crompton* case. The petitioner and 499 workmen were in the first respondent terminals. The first respondent retrenched the petitioner without complying with provisions of Section 25-N of the I.D. Act, within the meaning of Section 2(o).

The petitioner is a workman within the meaning of Sec. 2(S). Petitioner was employed for more than 120 days in a calendar year. The petitioner was engaged as unskilled casual workman, that he transported the materials by cycle or rickshaw, and welding, repairs of pumps storage tank etc. is not disputed by the respondents. The copy of the contract not produced. As per the instructions of the Supervisor of the first respondent, the work was done. The 2nd respondent terminated the services of the petitioner as per the directions of the supervisor of the first respondent. The petitioner has daily work. The petitioner worked continuously for 240 days is admitted by MW1. The respondents have not chosen to send reply to the notice sent by the petitioner through his counsel. The petitioner worked on National holidays and Festival Holidays, and wages was not paid. Petitioner's working hours is from 8.00 a.m. to 5.15 p.m. The petitioner worked 1 or 2 hours extra, but the wages was not paid for the work. The petitioner was not charge sheeted. His explanation was not called for. No domestic enquiry was conducted. No opportunity was given to the petitioner to submit his explanation, and to put forth his case. One cannot be condemned without being heard. The Hon'ble Supreme Court has delivered a landmark judgment for the abolition of the contract labour and the workers should be made direct by the employer. So, this Tribunal is duty

bound to take note of the judgement recently delivered by the Apex Court. The first respondent is the Principal employer. Supervisor of the first respondent guided the works of the petitioner. The work done by the petitioner was regular and continuous in nature. During the period of employment of the petitioner under first respondent, it was not Contract Labour. The contract labour is not applicable. The 2nd respondent is a licensed contractor and the first respondent the registered principal employer u/s. 7 of the Contractor Labour Act.

8. The 2nd respondent who took out licence under provisions of Contract Labour (Abolition & Regulation) Act entered into a contract with first respondent. The petitioner cannot be kept as casual worker for eternity. He is entitled to permanency u/s. 25-O of the Act.

9. Relationship of employer and employee is created between the first respondent and the petitioner. The 2nd respondent has obtained a licence under Section 12 of the Act. The Principal employer viz., the first respondent deemed to be the employer. The petitioner is deemed to be the employee of first respondent. The definition of employee should have extended meaning. The first respondent appointed the petitioner through the agency of the 2nd respondent. Disciplinary action is taken by the first respondent. Responsibilities are with the petitioner. Every work of the petitioner is done under the supervision and control of the supervisor of the first respondent. The first respondent exercises authority and control over of the work of the petitioner. The work performed by the the petitioner is under the principal employer viz., the first respondent and not the 2nd respondent. If these two things are satisfied and even the petitioner is appointed by the 2nd respondent, petitioner becomes employees of the first respondent. He cannot be considered as employee of the 2nd respondent. There is no proof that contract is sham one and it is only amended and the same cannot be relied upon to denied the relationship of employee and employer between the petitioner and the first respondent. The supervisor of the first respondent, controlled the work of the petitioner and chalked out the work. The petitioner became employee of the first respondent in course of time. There is no proof that the engagement or employment of the petitioner through the 2nd respondent is a mere camouflage. There is no scheme. There is no evidence at what point of time direct linking is established between the petitioner and the first respondent, eliminating the 2nd respondent from the scene.

10. Petitioner is working for the past 14 years. He is entitled to be recognised as a regular permanent employee of the first respondent. The contract labour system is followed to provide

profit to the 2nd respondent at the expense of the Government of India and it can be avoided by making the petitioner as employee of the first respondent. Economic control and work control are the important factors to decide whether the petitioner is an employee of the first respondent. Industrial dispute Act is Welfare Legislation enacted to abolish contract labour, ensure payment of wages and satisfactory service conditions. Liberal interpretation has to be given to Welfare Legislation. Contract Labour (Abolition and Regulation) Act is passed to prevent exploitation of workers and to introduce better conditions of work. The Act contains provision to do away with contract labour (Regulation and Abolition) Act. Sec. 10 of the Act provides for Abolition of Contract Labour and make provision for essential maintenance. It is just and proper to absorb the petitioner as employee of the first respondent since he has continuously worked for more than 240 days as per the instructions given by the Supervisor of the first respondent and attended the work assigned by the Supervisor of the first respondent who exercised control over the petitioner. Supervisory work is done by the first respondent and he takes disciplinary action against the petitioner. Even assuming that the petitioner was appointed by the 2nd respondent, the petitioner now has become the employee of the first respondent in course of time. The relationship of employer and employee is created between the petitioner and the first respondent. Viewed from any angle, the petitioner is entitled to be absorbed by the first respondent. After the termination of the petitioner, he has not done any work. So he is not entitled to back wages as per the dictum "No work No pay". For the foregoing reasons, this Tribunal comes to the conclusion that the petitioner is entitled to be absorbed in service with continuity of service. He is not entitled to back wages and other attendant benefits. The point is found accordingly.

In the result, an award is passed directing the first respondent to absorb the petitioner in service, with continuity of service. The other claims prayed for by the petitioner are rejected. No costs.

Dated at Madras this the 26th day of May, 1995.

THIRU E. PONNUSAMY, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL

WITNESSES EXAMINED

For Workmen : W.W.1. Thiru E. Singaravelu.

For Management : M.W.1 Thiru M. Sanakara-narayanan.

DOCUMENTS MARKED

For Workmen

Ex. W.1--Zerox copies of entry pass of Tvl. Singaravelu, Arumugam and Soundara-
rajan.

W.2. 10-7-87--Lawyer's notice issued to Management No. 1 & 2 (copy)

W.3. 12-2-87--Acknowledgement cards received from Management 13-2-87 Nos. 1 & 2 (Zerox copy)

W.4. 16-3-88--Conciliation failure report (copy).

For Managements

Ex. M.1. -- Amendment certificate issued by Deputy Chief Inspector of Factories, I Division, Madras-35 (Zerox copy)

M.2. 24-10-86. -- Letter from Dy. Chief Inspector of Factories, I Division, Madras-35 to Management No. 1. (Zerox copy)

M.3. 4-2-86. --do--

M.4. 4-11-87. Memorandum of settlement arrived at U's. 12(3) of the I.D. Act, 1947, before the Asst. Labour Commissioner (Central) I, Madras between Thiru Manuel Correyah, Indian Oil Corporation and Thiru G. Sekar (Zerox copy).

